CNL(18)50

NASCO Implementation Plan for the period 2019 – 2024

The main purpose of this Implementation Plan is to demonstrate what actions are being taken by the Parties / jurisdictions to implement NASCO's Resolutions, Agreements and Guidelines.

In completing this Implementation Plan please refer to the Guidelines for the Preparation and Evaluation of NASCO Implementation Plans and for Reporting on Progress, CNL(18)49.

Questions in the Implementation Plan are drawn from the following documents:

- NASCO Guidelines for Management of Salmon Fisheries, CNL(09)43 (referred to as the 'Fisheries Guidelines');
- Report of the Working Group on Stock Classification, CNL(16)11;
- Minimum Standard for Catch Statistics, CNL(93)51 (referred to as the 'Minimum Standard');
- Revised matrix for the application of the six tenets for effective management of an Atlantic salmon fishery, WGCST(16)16¹;
- NASCO Plan of Action for the Application of the Precautionary Approach to the Protection and Restoration of Atlantic Salmon Habitat, CNL(01)51;
- NASCO Guidelines for Protection, Restoration and Enhancement of Atlantic Salmon Habitat, CNL(10)51 (referred to as the 'Habitat Guidelines');
- Williamsburg Resolution, CNL(06)48;
- Guidance on Best Management Practices to address impacts of sea lice and escaped farmed salmon on wild salmon stocks (SLG(09)5) (referred to as the 'BMP Guidance');
- Guidelines for Incorporating Social and Economic Factors in Decisions under the Precautionary Approach (CNL(04)57); and
- Road Map' to enhance information exchange and co-operation on monitoring, research and measures to prevent the spread of G. salaris and eradicate it if introduced', NEA(18)08.

Party:	
Jurisdiction / Region:	

¹ This document can be obtained from the NASCO Secretariat; email hq@nasco.int

1. Introduction			
1.1 What are the o	hiectives fo	r the management of wild salmo	n? (Mar 200 words)
		ng the legislation for your jurisdiction	
1.2 What reference	e points (e	g. conservation limits, manage	ment targets or other
	,	re used to assess the status of sto	ocks? (Max 200 words)
(Reference: Sect	$\frac{1}{2}$ ons 2.4 and 2	2.5 of the Fisheries Guidelines)	
1.3 What is the cu	rrent status	of stocks under the new classifi	ication system outlined
in CNL(16)113			
Stock Classification Score	Sa	almon Classification Category	No. rivers
0		Not at Risk	
1		Low Risk	
2		Moderate Risk	
3		High Risk	
N/A		Artificially Sustained	
N/A		Lost	
N/A		Unknown	
Additional comments:			
	• • • •		
	•	genetics, age composition, run-	<u> </u>
account in the	managemer	nt of salmon stocks? (Max 200 wor	ras)
1.5 To provide a l	paseline for	future comparison, what is the	current and potentia
-		t? (Max 200 words)	•
(Reference: Sect	ion 3.1 of the	Habitat Guidelines)	
1.6 What is the cu	rrant axtant	of freshwater and marine salmo	onid aquaqultura?
Number of marine farms	Tent extent	of freshwater and marine same	mu aquacunure:
Marine production (tonne	·e)		
Number of freshwater fac	/		
Freshwater production (to			
		l location of aquaculture facilities and	l aquaculture free zones
in rivers and the sea.	5 5110 Wing the	recurred of aquaculture facilities and	a aquacaitare free Zones
	_	ss used to consult NGOs and o	
industries in tl	ie developm	ent of this Implementation Plan	• (Max 200 words)

2.	Management of Salmon Fisheries:
	In this section please review the management approach to each of the fisheries in your
	jurisdiction (i.e. commercial, recreational and other fisheries) in line with the relevant NASCO
	Resolutions, Agreements and Guidelines. For Parties / jurisdictions that prosecute mixed-stock fisheries, there should at least one action related to their management.
2.1	What are the objectives for the management of the fisheries for wild salmon?
	(Max. 200 words)
2.2	What is the decision-making process for the management of salmon fisheries,
	including predetermined decisions taken under different stock conditions (e.g. the
	stock levels at which regulations are triggered)? (Max. 200 words)
	(This can be answered by providing a flow diagram if this is available.) (Reference: Sections 2.1 and 2.7 of the Fisheries Guidelines)
	(Reference: Sections 2.1 and 2.7 b) the Fisheries Guidetines)
2.3	(a) Are any fisheries permitted to operate on salmon stocks that are below their
	reference point (e.g. Conservation Limits)? If so, (b) how many such fisheries are
	there and (c) what approach is taken to managing them that still promotes stock
	rebuilding? (Max 200 words)
(a)	(Reference: Section 2.7 of the Fisheries Guidelines)
(4)	
(b)	
(a)	
(c)	
2.4	(a) Are there any mixed-stock salmon fisheries? If so (b) how are these defined,
	(c) what was the mean catch in these fisheries in the last five years and (d) how
	are they managed to ensure that all the contributing stocks are meeting their
	conservation objectives? (Max. 300 words in total)
(a)	(Reference: Section 2.8 of the Fisheries Guidelines)
(a)	
(b)	
(c)	
(d)	
2.5	How are socio-economic factors taken into account in making decisions on
2.0	management of salmon fisheries? (Max. 200 words)
	(Reference: Section 2.9 of the Fisheries Guidelines)
2.6	
2.6	What is the current level of unreported catch and what measures are being taken
	to reduce this? (Max. 200 words) (Reference: Section 2.2 of the Fisheries Guidelines and the Minimum Standard)
	nejerence. Section 2.2 of the Pasheries Guidelines and the Minimum Standard)
2.7	Has an assessment under the Six Tenets for Effective Management of an Atlantic
	Salmon Fishery been conducted? If so, (a) has the assessment been made available

to the Secretariat and (b) what actions are planned to improve the monitoring and control of the fishery? (c) If the six tenets have not been applied, what is the timescale for doing so? (Max. 200 words) (Reference: Six Tenets for Effective Management of an Atlantic Salmon Fishery, WGCST(16)16)		
(a)		
(b)		
(c)		
wit		wild salmon and challenges for management associated in fisheries, including bycatch of salmon in fisheries
Threat /		
challenge F1 Threat /		
challenge F2	2	
Threat /		
challenge F3		
Threat / challenge F4		
		allenges which should be labelled F5, F6, etc.
Fy F		g
Imp ider Gui	plementation Plan (2 ntified in section 2.8 idelines and demon	s are planned during the period covered by this 019 – 2024) to address each of the threats and challenges is to implement NASCO's Resolutions, Agreements and strate progress towards achievement of its goals and gement of salmon fisheries?
Action F1:	Description of action:	
	Planned timescale (include milestones where appropriate):	
	Expected outcome:	
	Approach for monitoring effectiveness & enforcement:	
	Funding secured for both action and monitoring	Choose an item.
	programme?	
Action F2:	Description of action:	
	Planned timescale	
	(include milestones	
	where appropriate):	
	Expected outcome:	
	Approach for monitoring	

enforcement: Funding secured for both action and monitoring programme? Action F3: Description of action: Planned timescale (include milestones where appropriate): Expected outcome: Approach for monitoring		effectiveness &	
both action and monitoring programme? Action F3: Description of action: Planned timescale (include milestones where appropriate): Expected outcome: Approach for		enforcement:	
monitoring programme? Action F3: Description of action: Planned timescale (include milestones where appropriate): Expected outcome: Approach for			Choose an item.
programme? Action F3: Description of action: Planned timescale (include milestones where appropriate): Expected outcome: Approach for			
Action F3: Description of action: Planned timescale (include milestones where appropriate): Expected outcome: Approach for		monitoring	
action: Planned timescale (include milestones where appropriate): Expected outcome: Approach for			
Planned timescale (include milestones where appropriate): Expected outcome: Approach for	Action F3:	Description of	
(include milestones where appropriate): Expected outcome: Approach for			
where appropriate): Expected outcome: Approach for			
Expected outcome: Approach for		× .	
Approach for		where appropriate):	
		Expected outcome:	
monitoring			
		monitoring	
effectiveness &			
enforcement:			
Funding secured for Choose an item.			Choose an item.
both action and			
monitoring			
programme?		• •	
Action F4: Description of	Action F4:		
action:			
Planned timescale			
(include milestones			
where appropriate):		where appropriate):	
Expected outcome:		Expected outcome:	
Approach for			
monitoring			
effectiveness &			
enforcement:			
Funding secured for Choose an item.		<u> </u>	Choose an item.
both action and			
monitoring		monitoring	
programme?		_	

Copy and paste lines to add further actions which should be labelled F5, F6, etc.

Protection and Restoration of Salmon Habitat: In this section please review the management approach to the protection and restoration of habitat in your jurisdiction in line with the relevant NASCO Resolutions, Agreements and Guidelines. How are risks to productive capacity identified and options for restoring degraded or lost salmon habitat prioritised, taking into account the principle of 'no net loss' and the need for inventories to provide baseline data? (Max. 200 words) (Reference: Section 3 of the Habitat Guidelines) How are socio-economic factors taken into account in making decisions on salmon habitat management? (Max. 200 words) (Reference: Section 3.9 of the Habitat Guidelines)

		sures are planned to protect wild Atlantic salmon and its
nai eac	` '	te change and (b) invasive aquatic species? (Max. 200 words
	ference: Section 3.2 of t	he Hahitat Guidelines)
(a)		
(b)		
3.4 Ide	ntify the main thre	ats to wild salmon and challenges for management in
		d freshwater habitat.
Threat /		
challenge H	1	
Threat / challenge H	2	
Threat /	<u>Z</u>	
challenge H	3	
Threat /		
challenge H		
Copy and pa	ste lines to add further thi	reats/challenges which should be labelled H5, H6, etc.
3.5 Wh	at SMART action	s are planned during the period covered by this
		019 - 2024) to address each of the threats and challenges
	•	to implement NASCO's Resolutions, Agreements and
		strate progress towards achievement of its goals and
		ction, Restoration and Enhancement of Atlantic Salmon
Ha	bitat?	
Action H1:	Description of	
	action:	
	Planned timescale	
	(include milestones where appropriate):	
	** *	
	Expected outcome:	
	Approach for	
	monitoring effectiveness &	
	enforcement:	
	Funding secured	Choose an item.
	for both action and	
	monitoring	
	programme?	
Action H2:	Description of	
	action:	
	Planned timescale	
	(include milestones where appropriate):	
	Expected outcome:	
	Approach for	
	monitoring effectiveness &	
	enforcement:	
	Funding secured	Choose an item.

	for both action and monitoring	
	programme?	
Action H3:	Description of action:	
	Planned timescale (include milestones	
	where appropriate):	
	Expected outcome:	
	Approach for	
	monitoring	
	effectiveness &	
	enforcement:	
	Funding secured	Choose an item.
	for both action and	
	monitoring	
	programme?	
Action H4:	Description of	
	action:	
	Planned timescale	
	(include milestones	
	where appropriate):	
	Expected outcome:	
	Approach for	
	monitoring	
	effectiveness &	
	enforcement:	
	Funding secured	Choose an item.
	for both action and	
	monitoring	
	programme?	

Copy and paste lines to add further actions which should be labelled H5, H6, etc

4. Management of Aquaculture, Introductions and Transfers, and Transgenics:

Council has requested that for Parties / jurisdictions with salmon farms, there should be a greater focus on actions to minimise impacts of salmon farming on wild salmonid stocks. Each Party / jurisdiction with salmon farming should therefore include at least one action relating to sea lice management and at least one action relating to containment, providing quantitative data in Annual Progress Reports to demonstrate progress towards the international goals agreed by NASCO and the International Salmon Farmers Association (ISFA):

- 100% of farms to have effective sea lice management such that there is no increase in sea lice loads or lice-induced mortality of wild salmonids attributable to the farms;
- 100% farmed fish to be retained in all production facilities.

In this section please provide information on all types of aquaculture, introductions and transfers, and transgenics (including freshwater hatcheries, smolt-rearing etc.

4.1 (a) Is the current policy concerning the protection of wild salmonids consistent with the international goals on sea lice and containment agreed by NASCO and ISFA? (b) If the current policy is not consistent with these international goals, when will current policy be adapted to ensure consistency with the international

	goals and what management measures are planned to ensure achievement of these goals and in what timescale? (Max. 200 words for each) (Reference: BMP Guidance)
(a)	
(b)	
4.2	(a) What quantifiable progress can be demonstrated towards the achievement of the international goals for 100% of farms to have effective sea lice management such that there is no increase in sea lice loads, or lice-induced mortality of wild salmonids attributable to sea lice? (b) How is this progress monitored, including monitoring of wild fish? (c) If progress cannot be demonstrated, what additional measures are proposed and in what timescale? (Max. 200 words each) (Reference: BMP Guidance) The measures by which these goals may be achieved, and against which the Review Group will be measuring the effectiveness of the Implementation Plan, are set out in the BMP Guidance SLG(09)5 (Best management practice; reporting and tracking; factors facilitating implementation) as agreed by NASCO and ISFA.
(a)	
(b)	
(c)	
4.3	(a) What quantifiable progress can be demonstrated towards the achievement of the international goals for achieving 100% containment in all (i) freshwater and (ii) marine aquaculture production facilities? (b) How is this progress monitored, including monitoring of wild fish (genetic introgression) and proportion of escaped farmed salmon in the spawning populations? (c) If progress cannot be demonstrated, what additional measures (e.g. use of sterile salmon in fish farming) are proposed and in what timescale? (Max. 200 words each) (Reference: BMP Guidance) The measures by which these goals may be achieved, and against which the Review Group will be measuring the effectiveness of the Implementation Plan, are set out in the BMP Guidance SLG(09)5 (Best management practice; reporting and tracking; factors facilitating implementation) as agreed by NASCO and ISFA.
(a)(i)	
(a)(ii)	
(b)	
(c)	
4.4	What adaptive management and / or scientific research is underway that could facilitate better achievement of NASCO's international goals for sea lice and containment such that the environmental impact on wild salmonids can be minimised? (Max 200 words) (Reference: BMP Guidance and Article 11 of the Williamsburg Resolution)
4.5	What is the approach for determining the location of aquaculture facilities in (a) freshwater and (b) marine environments to minimise the risks to wild salmonid

	stocks? (Max. 200 words for each)	
(a)		
(b)		
4.6	What progress has been made to implement transfers and stocking? (Max. 200 words) (Reference: Articles 5 and 6 and Annex 4 of the	, and the second se
4.7	Is there (a) a requirement to evaluate undertaking any stocking programme an purely socio-political / economic reasons? (Reference: Guidelines for incorporating social Precautionary Approach and Annex 4 of the W	d (b) a presumption against stocking for (Max. 200 words each) and economic factors in decisions under the
(a)		
(b)		
4.8	What is the policy / strategy on use of tra (Reference: Article 7 and Annex 5 of the Willia	
4.9	For Members of the North-East Atlantic of place, or are planned, to implement the e'Road Map' to enhance information excresearch and measures to prevent the sprit if introduced, including the developm (Max. 200 words) (Reference 'Road Map' to enhance information research and measures to prevent the spread of NEA(18)08)	leven recommendations contained in the hange and co-operation on monitoring, ead of <i>Gyrodactylus salaris</i> and eradicate nent and testing of contingency plans? **exchange and co-operation on monitoring,
4.10	Identification and the second second second	
4.10	Identify the main threats to wild salm relation to aquaculture, introductions an	8
Threat		,
Challe		
challer		
Threat		
challer		
Threat		
Copy a	ge A4 nd paste lines to add further threats/challenges which	should be labelled A5, A6, etc.
4.11 Action	1	dress each of the threats and challenges NASCO's Resolutions, Agreements and towards achievement of its goals and
	action:	

	Planned timescale (include milestones	
	where appropriate):	
	Expected outcome:	
	Approach for	
	monitoring effectiveness &	
	enforcement:	
	Funding secured for both action and	Choose an item.
	monitoring	
	programme?	
Action A2:	Description of action:	
	Planned timescale	
	(include milestones	
	where appropriate):	
	Expected outcome:	
	Approach for	
	monitoring effectiveness &	
	enforcement:	
	Funding secured for both action and	Choose an item.
	monitoring	
	programme?	
Action A3:	Description of action:	
	Planned timescale	
	(include milestones	
	where appropriate):	
	Expected outcome:	
	Approach for monitoring	
	effectiveness &	
	enforcement:	
	Funding secured for both action and	Choose an item.
	monitoring	
	programme?	
Action A4:	Description of action:	
	Planned timescale	
	(include milestones	
	where appropriate):	
	Expected outcome:	
	Approach for monitoring	
	effectiveness &	
	enforcement:	

Funding secured for	Choose an item.
both action and	
monitoring	
programme?	

Copy and paste lines to add further actions which should be labelled A5, A6, etc