

Agenda item 5.1 For information

Council

CNL(19)33

Annual Progress Report on Actions Taken Under the Implementation Plan for the Calendar Year 2018

EU-Sweden

CNL(19)33

Annual Progress Report on Actions taken under the Implementation Plan for the Calendar Year 2018

The primary purposes of the Annual Progress Reports are to provide details of:

- any changes to the management regime for salmon and consequent changes to the Implementation Plan;
- actions that have been taken under the Implementation Plan in the previous year;
- significant changes to the status of stocks, and a report on catches; and
- actions taken in accordance with the provisions of the Convention

These reports will be reviewed by the Council. Please complete this form and return it to the Secretariat **no later than 28 March 2019**.

Party:	European Union
Jurisdiction/Region:	Sweden

1: Changes to the Implementation Plan

1.1 Describe any proposed revisions to the Implementation Plan (Where changes are proposed, the revised Implementation Plans should be submitted to the Secretariat by 1 December).

No revisions, as a new implementation plan will be presented for 2019-2023.

1.2 Describe any major new initiatives or achievements for salmon conservation and management that you wish to highlight.

Coastal catch of salmon has been insignificant in 2015-2018 due to new fishing rules and a restricted licensing system. Hence, mixed-stock fishery on the coast is not a problem anymore. Restrictions on landing of large salmon in rivers below full reproductive capacity will be enforced in 2020.

2: Stock status and catches.

2.1 Provide a description of any new factors which may significantly affect the abundance of salmon stocks and, if there has been any significant change in stock status since the development of the Implementation Plan, provide a brief (200 word max) summary of these changes.

The commercial coastal fishery for salmon is insignificant since 2015, with only two traps operating and no reported catches of salmon as they are focusing on other species (garfish, brown trout). The development is due to a ban on gill-net fishing in deeper coastal waters as well as restrictive issuing of new licenses to operate fixed engines/traps. Also, there is a bag-limit on the coast for non-commercial fishermen using rod and line.

2.2 Provide the following information on catches: (nominal catch equals reported quantity of				
salmon caught and retained in tonnes 'round fresh weight' (i.e. weight of whole, ungutted, unfrozen fish) or 'round fresh weight equivalent')				
In-river	Estuarine	Coastal	Total	
16.5*	0	0	16.5	
* 46 % of the				
catches is fin-				
clippt reared				
smolt-				
orgination				
compensatory				
releases, water				
power stations			10.1	
10.1^{*}			18.1	
• 40 % Of the				
clippt reared				
smolt-				
orgination				
compensatory				
releases, water				
power stations				
1.65			1.65	
806 salmon, 19,	4%			
	wing information retained in tonnes weight equivalent In-river 16.5* * 46 % of the catches is fin- clippt reared smolt- orgination compensatory releases, water power stations 18.1* * 46 % of the catches is fin- clippt reared smolt- orgination compensatory releases, water power stations 1.65 806 salmon, 19,	wing information on catches:(no. retained in tonnes 'round fresh weight weight equivalent').In-riverEstuarine16.5*0* 46 % of the catches is fin- clippt reared smolt- orgination compensatory releases, water power stations018.1*1* 46 % of the catches is fin- clippt reared smolt- orgination compensatory releases, water power stations118.1*1* 46 % of the catches is fin- clippt reared smolt- orgination compensatory releases, water power stations1806 salmon, 19,4%1	wing information on catches:(nominal catch equal retained in tonnes 'round fresh weight' (i.e. weight of why weight equivalent'). In-river Estuarine Coastal 16.5* 0 0 * 46 % of the catches is fin- clippt reared smolt- orgination 0 0 I8.1* 18.1* 1 * 46 % of the catches is fin- clippt reared 1 1 I8.1* 1 1 * 46 % of the catches is fin- clippt reared 1 1 18.1* 1 1 * 46 % of the catches is fin- clippt reared 1 1 800f- 0 1 1 806 salmon, 19,4% 1 1 1	

3: Implementation Plan Actions.

3.1 Provide an update on progress against actions relating to the Management of Salmon Fisheries (Section 2.8 of the Implementation Plan).

Note: The reports under 'Progress on Action to Date' should provide a brief overview with a quantitative measure of progress made. While referring to additional material (e.g. via links to websites) may assist those seeking more detailed information, this will not be evaluated by the Review Group.

Action F1:	Description of Action (as submitted in the IP) Expected Outcome (as submitted in the IP)	Implementing new fishing rules to lessen exploitation of wild salmon in rivers with low status. Increased stocks through lessened exploitation.
	Progress on Action to Date (Provide a brief overview with a quantitative measure of progress. Other material (e.g. website links) will not be evaluated.)	In the majority of rivers fishing pressure has decreased due to voluntary fishing restrictions implemented by river associations, e.g. restricted fishing period, bag limits, ban on fishing at high water temperatures. However, a management evaluation in 2018 revealed a need for further restrictions in some rivers.

		Consequently, restrictions on landing large salmon in
		enforced in 2020.
	Current Status of Action	Ongoing
	If 'Completed', has the	
	Action achieved its objective?	
Action	Description of Action	Phasing out mixed-stock fisheries on wild salmon in
F 2:	(as submitted in the IP)	reared rivers, and mixed-stock fisheries on the coast.
	(as submitted in the IP)	Increased stocks through lessened exploitation.
	Progress on Action to Date	See 2.1, commercial coastal mixed-stock fisheries
	(Provide a brief overview with a	does not exist anymore. A few salmon may be caught
	<i>quantitative measure of</i> <i>progress. Other material (e.g.</i> <i>website links) will not be</i>	in gill nets and with rod and line by non-commercial anglers, but the magnitude is insignificant.
	evaluated.)	Further, due to the poor stock status of eel, all coastal
		fyke-net fishing aimed at eel is prohibited, preventing by-catches of brown trout and potentially salmon in
		this fishery.
		However, there is still a mixed-stock fishery in the two
		release of reared salmon in the main river stems in
		combination with natural smolt production in the
		tributaries. As stocked salmon are fin-clipped, and
		thus easily identified, river managers and national
		authorities have a possibility to ban landing of who salmon in the main channel of these rivers, and a ban
		on landing wild salmon will be imposed in 2020
		(perhaps already 2019). The proportion of wild salmon
		caught as by-catch is approximately 2% in River
	Comment States of Artist	Lagan and 15-25% in River Göta älv.
	Lurrent Status of Action	
	Action achieved its objective?	
Action	Description of Action	Fin-clipping of reared salmon and trout, annually ca
F3 :	(as submitted in the IP)	180,000.
	Expected Outcome (as submitted in the IP)	Allows for reared and wild salmon to be distinguished.
	Progress on Action to Date	Successfully implemented since 2005. During 2005-
	(Provide a brief overview with a	2018, the average number of reared salmon smolts
	quantitative measure of	released has been approximately 170,000 per year.
	website links) will not be	
	evaluated.)	
	Current Status of Action	Completed
	If 'Completed', has the	Yes. The fin clipping allows for different fishing rules
	Action achieved its objective?	mixed stock fishing $(n=2 \text{ see } F2)$ In wild salmon
		rivers where wild salmon is not harvested, i.e. $C\&R$

		only, occasionally occurring reared salmon may be
		landed to minimize the effect of genetic disturbance
		on the natural wild population.
Action	Description of Action	Genetic base line of salmon stocks.
F4:	(as submitted in the IP)	
	Expected Outcome	Stocks in mixed-stock fisheries identified.
	(as submitted in the IP)	International exchange of data possible.
	Progress on Action to Date	A genetic base-line screening was carried out in 2015-
	(Provide a brief overview with a	2017 and reported in December 2017. The results
	quantitative measure of	showed that the 18 studied salmon rivers could be
	progress. Other material (e.g.	divided into two larger stock complexes, one northern
	website links) will not be evaluated	(archipelago area) and one southern (open coast).
		The genetic variation of individual stocks was
		significantly correlated to the available rearing areas
		in their respective rivers, stressing the need to further
		restore habitat and increase connectivity. The study
		continues in 2019-2023 to increase the possibilities of
		detecting of pen-reared escapees in Swedish rivers
	Current Status of Astion	(see action A2).
	If 'Completed' has the	Ongoing
	Action achieved its objective?	
Action	Description of Action	Punning monitoring in index river (smalt & snawner
F5:	(as submitted in the IP)	census tagging of smolt electrofishing)
	Expected Outcome	Stock-recruitment data sea survival run-timing
	(as submitted in the IP)	diversity of stock, age at smolting, age in the sea.
	Progress on Action to Date	The salmon traps at the old mill Nydala, in index river
	(Provide a brief overview with a	Högvadsån, have been operating since 1954. The
	quantitative measure of	efficiency of the traps have been evaluated and the
	progress. Other material (e.g.	results have been used to establish Biological
	website links) will not be	reference points as requested by NASCO.
	evaluated.)	
		Pittags for tagging of smolts were used for the first
		time in 2018. Previous to 2018 Carlin-tags were used.
		Improvements of the fish passages at the mill will be
		planned during 2019-2020, to modernize both traps
	Current Status of Astion	Ongoing
	If 'Completed' has the	Ongoing
	Action achieved its objective?	
Action	Description of Action	Establishing Conservation Limits & Management
F6:	(as submitted in the IP)	Targets from index river data and habitat surveys
	Expected Outcome	Individual river assessment facilitates management
	(as submitted in the IP)	and advice.
	. /	
	Progress on Action to Date	At the WGNAS 2017 Sweden presented the
	Progress on Action to Date (Provide a brief overview with a	At the WGNAS 2017 Sweden presented the Conservation Limit and Management Target
	Progress on Action to Date (Provide a brief overview with a quantitative measure of	At the WGNAS 2017 Sweden presented the Conservation Limit and Management Target suggested for the index river and how these values are

	website links) will not be evaluated.)	transported to other rivers. The CL is 4.2 eggs per m^2 of <u>suitable habitat</u> and the spawning target 9.6 eggs.
		A habitat assessment method has been developed and will be further improved in 2019-2020 (see Action H2).
	Current Status of Action	Completed
	If 'Completed', has the Action achieved its objective?	Although the goal is achieved, further work will be carried out in the years to come, e.g. incorporating habitat quality in assessment and setting Biological reference points.
Action F7:	Description of Action (as submitted in the IP)	Establishing in-river exploitation levels, through tagging/returns & catch and effort statistics in two rivers.
	Expected Outcome (as submitted in the IP)	Aiding MTs, and also required for International assessment through ICES
	Progress on Action to Date (Provide a brief overview with a quantitative measure of progress. Other material (e.g. website links) will not be evaluated.)	To date, this has been carried out by tagging fish (Carlin tags) in the index river (River Högvadsån and the main stem River Ätran). The catches of tagged fish (n=500 annually) were too few to draw reliable conclusions.
		Additionally, sport fishing catches downstream R. Högvadsån in the main river have been compared to data from a video counter at Herting, River Ätran. The preliminary results indicates an exploitation level of 15 %. However, data from the video counter needs quality controlling. At the salmon trap at Nydala, tributary Högvadsån, the upstream sport fishing catches were compared with the number of ascending spawners upstream the river, indicating an exploitation of 5%.
		As for fishing effort, it is difficult to obtain high quality data. Effort reporting and catch reporting by anglers are not compulsory according to Swedish legislation. The catch statistics in River Ätran is satisfactory (see Action F8).
	Current Status of Action	Ongoing
	If 'Completed', has the	
	Action achieved its objective?	
Action F8:	Description of Action (as submitted in the IP)	Improving catch statistics (C&R, effort)
	Expected Outcome (as submitted in the IP)	Aiding MTs, and also required for International assessment through ICES.
	Progress on Action to Date	The catch statistics in rivers is satisfactory, but not
	(Provide a brief overview with a	data on effort. According to Swedish law the national
	quantitative measure of	authorities cannot force non-commercial fishermen to
	progress. Other material (e.g.	report catches (or effort). However, there is ongoing work to increase incentives by informing non-

	website links) will not be	commercial anglers about the benefits to all of
	evaluated.)	providing catch statistics of good quality.
		In 2015, the Swedish Government ordered an
		investigation from the responsible national authority
		(The Swedish Agency for Marine and Water
		Management: SwAM) on the need for a national plan
		for the future conservation and management of salmon
		and sea-running brown trout stocks in the Baltic Sea
		and Atlantic ocean. The plan was delivered in late
		2015 and focussed among other things on obtaining
		high quality catch data from the non-commercial
		fishery: which would require a change of the existing
		fishing law. It was also suggested use of salmon-tags
		as has been successfully implemented in Ireland Since
		the previous report in 2015 SWAM reported during
		2018 an investigation partly follow-up the previous
		report focusing on among other things the above
		questions with proposals of new legislation and
		consequences. The Swedish government has not vet
		decided on this
	Current Status of Action	Ongoing
	If 'Completed', has the	
	Action achieved its objective?	
Action	Description of Action	Reducing over-exploitation of MSW in rivers through
F9:	(as submitted in the IP)	restrictions on landing large fish. (Compare F1.)
	Expected Outcome	Increased egg deposition. Action aimed at weak stocks
	(as submitted in the IP)	or where catches are unreported/uncertain.
	Progress on Action to Date	The responsible authority (SwAM) has decided to
	(Provide a brief overview with a	impose new general fishing rules to address this
	quantitative measure of	problem in rivers with reduced production potential
	progress. Other material (e.g.	(see Action F1).
	website links) will not be	
	evalualea.)	Eight rivers were classified as having reduced
		reproductive capacity in 2018. In Rivers Bäveån,
		Enningdalsälven and Vegeå no fishing was carried
		out. In Rivers Rolfsån, Suseån and Fylleån fishing is
		very restricted. In River Nissan enhancement stocking
		is carried out, but fishing pressure has remained as
		before.
		SwAM will improve a hor or londing wild long
		SWAW will impose a ball on landing wild, large
		capacity
	Current Status of Action	Ongoing
	If 'Completed' has the	
	Action achieved its objective?	
Action	Description of Action	Coordinating and securing monitoring of recruitment
F10:	(as submitted in the IP)	(narr) in rivers

	Expected Outcome	Securing monitoring in at least 17 of 23 rivers,
	(as submitted in the IP)	preferably all rivers if feasible.
	Progress on Action to Date	Successively some old monitoring sites are abandoned
	(Provide a brief overview with a	by local and regional authorities. These sites were
	quantitative measure of	usually included in follow-up studies of liming. In
	progress. Other material (e.g.	2017 the national monitoring of salmon stocks was
	website links) will not be	increased with 20 sites to compensate for this, and in
	evaluated.)	2018 another 20 sites was be added. These extra sites
		will be surveyed at least the coming four years (EU-
		man funding)
		map randing).
		Coordination of sites to be sampled each year are
		performed annually in cooperation between SLU
		(Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences) and the
		(Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences) and the responsible Country boards $(n-2)$
	Comment Status of Astion	Preside Country Boards (n=5).
	If 'Completed' has the	Ongoing
	If Completed, has the	
A 4.	Action acmeved its objective?	
Action E11.	Description of Action	Initiate and support formation of fish management
F11:	(as submitted in the IP)	units in salmon rivers
	Expected Outcome	A more effective decision process involving fishing
	(as submitted in the IP)	rights owner regarding decision on CL, regulation of
		fisheries, data collection, habitat restoration.
	Progress on Action to Date	Fish management units (fmu) are already formed in
	(Provide a brief overview with a	the majority of the rivers. An inventory on the need to
	quantitative measure of	form additional fish management units was made in
	progress. Other material (e.g.	2015. The inventory showed that there was a need for
	website links) will not be	management units in a few smaller rivers and in some
	evaluatea.)	parts of the larger rivers (Ätran, Rolfsån).
		In rivers where the fishing right owners are not united
		in river management units it is more laborious to come
		into and keep contact with the fishing right owners
		and decide on voluntary regulation of the fisheries.
		However, the catch of salmon is generally very low in
		rivers where management units are missing, except for
		River Ätran and R. Rolfsån.
		In the former river, two existing fmus will be joined
		together during 2019, further facilitating information
		exchange between responsible authorities and the
		sport fishery.
		In 2018, a new project was launched to increase
		information exchange and discussions with the
		different river managers and land owners (fishing right
		owners) in rivers or river section without fmus.
	Current Status of Action	Ongoing
	If 'Completed', has the	
	Action achieved its objective?	

3.2 Pr Re	3.2 Provide an update on progress against actions relating to Habitat Protection and Restoration (Section 3.4 of the Implementation Plan).	
No qua we Gr	te: The reports under 'Progress of antitative measure of progress mad bsites) may assist those seeking more oup.	on Action to Date' should provide a brief overview with a le. While referring to additional material (e.g. via links to e detailed information, this will not be evaluated by the Review
Action H1:	Description of Action (as submitted in the IP)	Continued liming of acidified salmon rivers and tributaries
	Expected Outcome (as submitted in the IP)	Increased pH, lowered toxic aluminium. Increased juvenile survival, increased biodiversity.
	Progress on Action to Date (Provide a brief overview with a quantitative measure of progress. Other material (e.g. website links) will not be evaluated.)	All salmon rivers, including tributaries with salmon, requiring liming are presently included in a liming program. Twenty of the 23 salmon rivers (91%) are limed, although some only in tributaries above the salmon habitat. Previous to liming operations salmon production was also reduced. It has been estimated that the total smolt production would decrease with 50% without liming.
		The effect of liming is monitored with electrofishing, sampling of water chemistry and benthic invertebrates. The results are evaluated annually by the County boards and reported to SwAM. Generally the goals of keeping pH above 6 and labile aluminium at non-toxic levels are reached.
		A recent (2016) internationally published evaluation showed that the frequency of acid episodes has declined exponentially in limed rivers, due to successive adjustments of lime doses and liming strategies. Consequently, the ecological status of the fish fauna in limed rivers has reached that of fish in neutral reference rivers (Holmgren, K., E. Degerman, E. Petersson & B. Bergquist. 2016. Long term trends of fish after liming of Swedish streams and lakes. Atmospheric Environment 146: 245-251)
	Current Status of Action	Ongoing
	achieved its objective?	
Action H2:	Description of Action (as submitted in the IP)	Habitat surveys compiled, quality assured and new data added if required.
	Expected Outcome	Quality controlled data on salmon habitat and quality compiled in a database
	Progress on Action to Date (Provide a brief overview with a quantitative measure of progress. Other material (e.g. website links) will not be evaluated.)	A report was compiled in 2016. The available habitat has increased since 1999 due to new fishways, liming operations and habitat improvement.

		This work is planned to continue as old habitat surveys need updating. Also, a new method of habitat quality assessment is available but still under development. The habitat mapping is needed for establishing biological reference values (CL and spawning target) as we focus only on salmon habitat, not the whole wetted area of the rivers. This provides more precise estimates.
	Current Status of Action	Ongoing
	If Completed, has the Action achieved its objective?	
Action H3:	Description of Action (as submitted in the IP)	Plan for continued habitat restoration in salmon rivers. (Also including H2 & H4)
	Expected Outcome	Plan in 2015, with the cooperation of the County
	(as submitted in the IP)	Administrative Boards. Different plans exist.
	(Provide a brief overview with a quantitative measure of progress. Other material (e.g.	County boards and restoration plans are submitted annually to SwAM.
	website links) will not be evaluated.)	An EU Life application has been approved, aiming at further river restorations (focussing on River Rönneå) and the design of a common tool-box for future restoration work and prioritizations of these efforts.
	Current Status of Action	Ongoing
	If Completed, has the Action achieved its objective?	
Action H4·	Description of Action	Establishing criteria for BAT (best available
117.	Expected Outcome	Plan in 2015. Implemented in all Counties.
	Progress on Action to Date (Provide a brief overview with a quantitative measure of progress. Other material (e.g. website links) will not be evaluated.)	Establishing BAT is a joint project of SwAM and the hydropower industry. The final report has not yet been published due to objections from the hydropower industry to a previous version. SwAM decided to redo the work again in closer cooperation with the industry. The result is scheduled to be finalized in 2020.
	Current Status of Action	Ongoing
	If Completed, has the Action achieved its objective?	
Action H5:	Description of Action (as submitted in the IP)	Establishing criteria and workflow for surveillance of hydropower plants according to Environmental Law & BAT.
	Expected Outcome (as submitted in the IP)	Plan in 2015. Implemented in all Counties.
	Progress on Action to Date (Provide a brief overview with a quantitative measure of progress. Other material (e.g.	See above, this action is also delayed due to the delay in action H4. Expected report in 2020.

	website links) will not be	
	evaluatea.)	Ongoing
	If Completed has the Action	Ongoing
	achieved its objective?	
3.3 Pro and Not qua web Gra	ovide an update on progress ag d Transfers and Transgenics (S te: The reports under 'Progress of untitative measure of progress mad bsites) may assist those seeking more oup.	Section 4.8 of the Implementation Plan). <i>On Action to Date' should provide a brief overview with a de. While referring to additional material (e.g. via links to e detailed information, this will not be evaluated by the Review</i>
Action A1:	Description of Action (as submitted in the IP)	Monitoring of Gyrodactylus salaris
	Expected Outcome (as submitted in the IP)	Updated information on G. salaris distribution and infection.
	Progress on Action to Date (Provide a brief overview with a quantitative measure of progress. Other material (e.g. website links) will not be evaluated.)	 The monitoring programme continues as planned, and the distribution of <i>Gyrodactylus salaris</i> is annually reported to WGNAS (in the national report) and to NASCO's working group on <i>Gyrodactylus</i>. Newly infected rivers are reported to EU according to regulation. The Swedish authorities consider <i>G. salaris</i> to be a great threat to remaining uninfected stocks. Protective measures have been undertaken to avoid spreading the parasite, e.g. ban on stocking salmonid fish in the whole catchment of non-infected rivers. A report on the current situation was compiled in February of 2017 and submitted to the NASCO secretariat (Degerman, E. & H. Carlstrand, 2017. <i>Gyrodactylus salaris</i> in Sweden; management and monitoring). At the NASCO-meeting in Norway 2019 the use of eDNA to detect the parasite will be presented by Norwegian scientists in cooperation with
		Sweden and Russia. In April 2018 Sweden participated in NASCO's working group on <i>Gyrodactylus</i> .
	Current Status of Action	Ongoing
	If Completed, has the Action achieved its objective?	
Action	Description of Action	Genetic screening of alien (escaped) salmon.
A2:	(as submitted in the IP)	(Compare action F4).
	Expected Outcome	Determination of origin of alien salmon. Based on
	(as submitted in the IP)	established base line (action F4).

Progress on Action to Date (Provide a brief overview with a quantitative measure of progress. Other material (e.g. website links) will not be evaluated.)	Since the completion of the genetic baseline (action F4) identification of alien salmon has intensified. Alien salmon normally enters the larger rivers, and to a lesser extent smaller rivers. Focus has been put on the largest river, R. Göta älv, where studies have shown different proportion of alien salmon different years. It has been shown that alien salmon are not from the Swedish west coast or the Baltic sea. Comparisons with Norwegian data on net-pen reared (farmed) salmon was commenced in late 2018 through cooperation with Norwegian scientists.
Current Status of Action	Ongoing
If Completed, has the Action achieved its objective?	

4: Additional information required under the Convention 4.1 Details of any laws, regulations and programmes that have been adopted or repealed since the last notification. 4.2 Details of any new commitments concerning the adoption or maintenance in force for specified periods of time of conservation, restoration and other management measures. 4.3 Details of any new actions to prohibit fishing for salmon beyond 12 nautical miles. 4.4 Details of any new actions to invite the attention of States not Party to the Convention to matters relating to the activities of its vessels which could adversely affect salmon stocks subject to the Convention. 4.5 Details of any actions taken to implement regulatory measures under Article 13 of the Convention including imposition of adequate penalties for violations.