


## *IP(19)36\_EU – Spain (Navarra)*

### *Evaluation of the Revised Implementation Plan under the Third Reporting Cycle (2019 – 2024) from the Review Group to EU – Spain (Navarra)*

The Review Group considers the Implementation Plan to be acceptable subject to revision.


The diagrams below show the overview of the Review Group’s evaluation of EU – Spain (Navarra)’s Implementation Plan in relation to full acceptability\*.



In this section, Parties / jurisdictions were asked about the status of their stocks and their approach to their conservation of wild salmon.



In this section, Parties / jurisdictions were asked to identify their threats and / or challenges to the conservation of wild salmon.



In this section, Parties / jurisdictions were asked to provide clear (SMART) actions addressing the identified threats / challenges to the conservation of wild salmon.

The Review Group noted that EU – Spain (Navarra) did not submit a revised Implementation Plan following the first round Implementation Plan review. Following correspondence with the  
Page 1

Secretariat, EU – Spain (Navarra) indicated that the first round Implementation Plan was not modified after the competent authority reviewed it, as they considered the original Implementation Plan met the NASCO criteria.

The Review Group discussed this correspondence and concluded that the assessments provided from the first review were still valid. The Review Group recommends strongly that EU – Spain (Navarra) considers submitting a revised Implementation Plan.

In the first round, the Review Group stated that overall, EU – Spain (Navarra)'s Implementation Plan was a welcome improvement on the Implementation Plan for the second cycle of reporting. Actions were developed for all of the relevant theme areas (Management of Salmon Fisheries, Protection and Restoration of Salmon Habitat, and Management of Aquaculture, Introductions and Transfers, and Transgenics). The Review Group considered that only some of these actions were SMART and, therefore, could be used to assess progress objectively across the life of the Implementation Plan.

The Review Group considered that the actions proposed were relevant to the threats and challenges identified and these were clearly related to NASCO's Resolutions, Agreements and Guidelines. Clear and succinct answers to most of the questions were provided.

Parties / jurisdictions should include actions on mixed-stock fisheries, sea lice management and containment on salmon farms, where these are present. No mandatory actions are applicable to EU – Spain (Navarra).

Thus, there remain some areas of the Implementation Plan, particularly in the provision of SMART actions, that the Review Group considered not to be acceptable.

Parties to NASCO have committed to the conservation of wild Atlantic salmon. Implementation Plans set out their planned actions and are reviewed by an expert Review Group. Reporting is carried out annually on these Plans (see [www.nasco.int](http://www.nasco.int)).

\*Full acceptability means that the Implementation Plan meets the criteria set out by the Council of NASCO in its Guidelines for the Preparation and Evaluation of NASCO Implementation Plans and for Reporting on Progress, CNL(18)49.