REPORT OF THE

TWELFTH ANNUAL MEETINGS

OF THE

NORTH AMERICAN COMMISSION

12-16 JUNE 1995 GLASGOW, SCOTLAND

NORTH-EAST ATLANTIC COMMISSION

12-16 JUNE 1995 GLASGOW, SCOTLAND

WEST GREENLAND COMMISSION

12-16 JUNE 1995 GLASGOW, SCOTLAND

TABLE OF CONTENTS

D A OT

	PAGE
REPORT OF THE NORTH AMERICAN COMMISSION	1
REPORT OF THE NORTH-EAST ATLANTIC COMMISSION	65
REPORT OF THE WEST GREENLAND COMMISSION	137
LIST OF PARTICIPANTS	165
REPORT OF THE ICES ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON FISHERY MANAGEMENT	171

...4

CONTENTS

REPORT OF AMERICAN	THE TWELFTH ANNUAL MEETING OF THE NORTH COMMISSION, 12-16 JUNE 1995, GLASGOW, SCOTLAND	5
ANNEX 1	AGENDA, NAC(95)7	11
ANNEX 2	NEWS RELEASE OUTLINING 1995 SALMON MANAGEMENT PLAN (TABLED BY CANADA), NAC(95)3	13
ANNEX 3	SALMON FISHERIES ON ST PIERRE ET MIQUELON, NAC(95)5	19
ANNEX 4	REPORT OF ACTIVITIES 1994/1995 OF THE NAC SCIENTIFIC WORKING GROUP ON SALMONID INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSFERS, NAC(95)8	21
ANNEX 5	NORTH AMERICAN COMMISSION'S REQUEST FOR SCIENTIFIC ADVICE TO THE SCIENTIFIC WORKING GROUP ON SALMONID INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSFERS, NAC(95)9	59
ANNEX 6	REQUEST FOR SCIENTIFIC ADVICE FROM ICES, CNL(95)49	61
ANNEX 7	LIST OF NORTH AMERICAN COMMISSION PAPERS	63

REPORT OF THE

TWELFTH ANNUAL MEETING

OF THE

NORTH AMERICAN COMMISSION

12-16 JUNE 1995 GLASGOW, SCOTLAND

CHAIRMAN:	MR JEAN-PAUL DUGUAY (CANADA)
VICE-CHAIRMAN:	DR RAY B OWEN, JR. (USA)
RAPPORTEUR:	MS KIMBERLY BLANKENBEKER (USA)
SECRETARY:	DR MALCOLM WINDSOR

NAC(95)10

1

 $\frac{1}{2}$

NAC(95)10

REPORT OF THE TWELFTH ANNUAL MEETING OF THE NORTH AMERICAN COMMISSION OF THE NORTH ATLANTIC SALMON CONSERVATION ORGANIZATION 12-16 JUNE 1995, HILTON HOTEL, GLASGOW, SCOTLAND

1. OPENING OF THE MEETING

- 1.1 The Twelfth Annual Meeting of the North American Commission was opened by the Chairman, Mr Jean-Paul Duguay (Canada) who welcomed delegates to Glasgow.
- 1.2 A list of participants at the Twelfth Annual Meeting of the Council and the Commissions is included on page 165 of this document.

2. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

2.1 The Commission adopted its agenda, NAC(95)7 (Annex 1).

3. ELECTION OF OFFICERS

3.1 The Commission elected Dr Ray B Owen, Jr. (USA) as Vice-Chairman.

4. NOMINATION OF A RAPPORTEUR

4.1 The Commission nominated Ms Kimberly Blankenbeker (USA) as its Rapporteur for the meeting.

5. NON-GOVERNMENT OBSERVERS AT COMMISSION MEETINGS

5.1 At its Tenth Annual Meeting the Commission endorsed a recommendation from the Council to permit attendance of Non-Government Organizations as observers at its meetings for a trial period of two years covering the 1994 and 1995 meetings. The Chairman referred to the deliberations in the Council on the conditions governing attendance of NGOs at the 1996 and subsequent meetings and agreed that the present arrangement continue until further notice. The Commission agreed that accredited media would be allowed to attend its meetings and asked that the Secretary develop appropriate criteria which would be agreed by correspondence and issued before the Thirteenth Annual Meeting.

6. REVIEW OF THE 1994 FISHERY AND ACFM REPORT FROM ICES ON SALMON STOCKS IN THE COMMISSION AREA

6.1 The Chairman of the ACFM, Mr Eskild Kirkegaard, presented the scientific advice from ICES relevant to the North American Commission, CNL(95)15, prepared in response to a request from the Commission at its Eleventh Annual Meeting. The ACFM report from ICES which contains the scientific advice relevant to all Commission in included on page 171 of this document.

- 6.2 The representative from the United States requested that the Chairman of the ACFM explain the significance of the phrase "enumeration of smolts" that appears in its recommendation for NAC data needs. The Chairman of ACFM explained that some portions of the NAC do not have thorough smolt information available but that it is needed to improve the determination of spawning targets.
- 6.3 The representative from Canada referred to the recommendations from ACFM that all mixed stock fisheries in the NAC area be closed in 1996, and he asked the Chairman of ACFM if ACFM expected something to happen during 1995 that might change the advice for 1996. The Chairman of ACFM explained that the reason the scientific advice applied to the WGC area in 1995 and the NAC area in 1996 was because the West Greenland fishery exploits principally 1SW fish which would return to North American homewaters as 2SW fish the following year. He indicated that the actual pre-fishery abundance of stocks for 1995 would not be known until April next year.
- 6.4 The US representative asked the Chairman of the ACFM why two charts regarding salmon exploitation seemed to disagree. He pointed out that one chart (Table 5.1.5.3 of the Working Group Report) showed the rate of exploitation of Maine stocks to be 30% for 1993 and the other (Figure 6.1.3.1 of the Working Group Report) showed the exploitation rate to be 5%. The Chairman of ACFM explained that whereas the exploitation rate in Figure 6.1.3.1 relates to the exploitation rate of non-maturing 1SW salmon in the total North American stock complex, and is estimated from the pre-fishery abundance and reported catches, the other estimate in Table 5.1.5.3 relates to the exploitation rate on non-maturing 1SW fish of Maine origin, which is estimated on the basis of external tag recoveries. While not being in a position fully to explain this difference he listed a number of possible factors:
 - a) the possibility that the Maine origin stock complex may have a different availability to the fishery compared with the total stock complex;
 - b) the estimate for the Maine stock complex is also based on a very small number of tag recoveries;
 - c) if not all catches are reported then the estimate for the total stock complex may be biased downwards.
- 6.5 The representative of Canada made available to the Commission a Report on the Status of Atlantic Salmon Stocks in Eastern Canada in 1994. The representative of the United States made available to the Chairman a copy of the Annual Report of the US Atlantic Salmon Assessment Committee. Copies of these reports have been lodged with the Secretariat and are available on request.

7. REVIEW AND DISCUSSION OF THE 1995 CANADIAN AND US SALMON MANAGEMENT MEASURES AS THEY RELATE TO THE MANDATE OF THE COMMISSION AND TO THE FINDINGS OF THE ACFM REPORT FROM ICES

7.1 The representative of Canada tabled a document, NAC(95)3 (Annex 2), outlining the Salmon Management measures for Newfoundland and Labrador for 1995.

7.2 The representative from the United States noted that it is illegal to retain any Atlantic salmon in the United States.

8. ST PIERRE ET MIQUELON SALMON FISHERIES

- 8.1 The Secretary introduced a paper, NAC(95)5 (Annex 3), providing catch statistics for the salmon fisheries on St Pierre et Miquelon. The catch in 1994 was 3.423 tonnes (1656 salmon), the highest in the period of record dating back to 1987. Last year the Commission had recognised a discrepancy between the statistics provided by the Ministère de l'Agriculture et de la Pêche in Paris and those provided by ICES and requested that the Secretary seek clarification on the reasons for the difference. The Secretary reported that he had raised this issue with the French authorities and as there was again a small discrepancy in the catches for 1994, he would pursue this matter further. The Secretary noted that the St Pierre et Miquelon fishery was a mixed stock fishery and further noted that ACFM had recommended closure of these fisheries in the North American Commission area in 1996.
- 8.2 The representative of the USA raised the question of membership of France (in respect of St Pierre et Miquelon) in other international fisheries fora and suggested the possibility of encouraging France to do the same within NASCO. The representative of Canada referred to a new agreement between Canada and France concerning St Pierre et Miquelon. Under this 10-year agreement, specific reference is made to the responsibility of both France and Canada to comply with salmon conservation measures adopted by NASCO. In addition, it was agreed that there would be no increase in the catch of salmon originating in other countries' rivers without the consent of the other country. The representative of the USA asked if the Commission should pursue the question of the participation of France (in respect of St Pierre et Miquelon) in NASCO since the Canada/France agreement seemed to limit increases in catch but the data presented by the Secretary indicated that St Pierre et Miguelon did increase its catch in 1994. The representative of Canada then stated that Canada and France were to meet in the near future to discuss the implementation of the agreement.

A copy of the agreement was made available to the Commission through the Secretariat.

9. **REPORT OF THE NAC SCIENTIFIC WORKING GROUP ON SALMONID** INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSFERS

- 9.1 At its Eleventh Annual Meeting the Commission agreed on amendments to the Protocols for the Introduction and Transfer of Salmonids. A revised Protocol document incorporating the amendments had been circulated for acceptance by correspondence, and it was agreed that the members would then take steps to implement the provisions of the revised Protocols in their respective domestic laws, regulations or policies.
- 9.2 The Co-Chairman of the NAC Scientific Working Group on Salmonid Introductions and Transfers, Mr Rex Porter (Canada), presented a report on the activities of the Group in 1994/1995, NAC(95)8 (Annex 4). He noted that reports on the Introduction and Transfer of salmonids were provided by the State of Maine and Canada. These

reports were evaluated against the NAC Protocols. Canada had four shipments of rainbow trout from west of the continental divide into the NAC area and one shipment of Atlantic salmon from Maine to Newfoundland which was contrary_to the NAC Protocols. However, the shipments of rainbow trout were from a facility with a long history of disease-free status thus meet the intent of the Protocols. Finally, he commented that no progress had been made with regard to updating the status of scientific knowledge on the effects of using non-local strains of salmon in marine and freshwater cage rearing or with regard to providing a review of fish health aspects of the NAC Protocols.

- 9.3 The US Fish and Wildlife Service and the National Marine Fisheries Service are currently proposing listing as threatened under the Endangered Species Act populations of salmon in seven downeast rivers of Maine. Formal enactment of the Protocols by the United States has been delayed as they are being actively discussed in the context of the US proposed rule to list Atlantic salmon. The use of the Protocols is, however, being encouraged by the Services.
- 9.4 The NAC Protocols have provisions for amendments every two years. This amendment of the Protocols will be considered in 1996. Lastly, the NAC requested advice on three questions, which have been included in NAC(95)9 (Annex 5).

10. IMPACT OF ACID RAIN ON ATLANTIC SALMON

- 10.1 There was no new information on this subject.
- 10.2 The Secretary requested clarification as to whether this Agenda item needed to be retained. It was agreed that the item would not be included for future meetings unless specifically added by a Party.

11. RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE COUNCIL ON THE REQUEST TO ICES FOR SCIENTIFIC ADVICE

- 11.1 At its Ninth Annual Meeting the Commission had appointed Dr Kevin Friedland (USA) and Dr Wilfred Carter (Canada) to represent the Commission on the Standing Scientific Committee.
- 11.2 The Commission reviewed document SSC(95)4 and agreed to recommend it to the Council as part of the annual request to ICES for scientific advice. The request to ICES agreed by the Council, CNL(95)49, is contained in Annex 6.

12. ANNOUNCEMENT OF THE TAG RETURN INCENTIVE SCHEME PRIZE

12.1 The Chairman announced that the draw for prizes in the Tag Return Incentive Scheme was made by the Auditors at NASCO Headquarters on 1 June 1995. The winner of the Commission's prize was Mr James Furlong, Upper Blackville, New Brunswick. The Commission offered its congratulations to the winner.

13. OTHER BUSINESS

13.1 There was no other business.

14. DATE AND PLACE OF THE NEXT MEETING

14.1 The Commission agreed to hold its next meeting during the Thirteenth Annual Meeting of the Council, 10-14 June 1996, in Sweden.

15. CONSIDERATION OF THE DRAFT REPORT OF THE MEETING

15.1 The Commission agreed the draft report of the meeting, NAC(95)4.

NAC(95)7

TWELFTH ANNUAL MEETING OF THE NORTH AMERICAN COMMISSION 12-16 JUNE 1995, HILTON HOTEL, GLASGOW, SCOTLAND

AGENDA

- 1. Opening of the Meeting
- 2. Adoption of the Agenda
- 3. Election of Officers
- 4. Nomination of a Rapporteur
- 5. Non-Government Observers at Commission Meetings
- 6. Review of the 1994 Fishery and ACFM Report from ICES on Salmon Stocks in the Commission Area
- 7. Review and Discussion of the 1995 Canadian and US Salmon Management Measures as they relate to the Mandate of the Commission and to the Findings of the ACFM Report from ICES
- 8. St Pierre et Miquelon Salmon Fisheries
- 9. Report of the NAC Scientific Working Group on Salmonid Introductions and Transfers
- 10. Impact of Acid Rain on Atlantic Salmon
- 11. Recommendations to the Council on the Request to ICES for Scientific Advice
- 12. Announcement of the Tag Return Incentive Scheme Prize
- 13. Other Business
- 14. Date and Place of the Next Meeting
- 15. Consideration of the Draft Report of the Meeting

ANNEX 2

NORTH AMERICAN COMMISSION

NAC(95)3

NEWS RELEASE OUTLINING 1995 SALMON MANAGEMENT PLAN Tabled by Canada

MINISTER TOBIN ANNOUNCES 1995 SALMON MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR NEWFOUNDLAND AND LABRADOR

St John's ... Brian Tobin, Minister of Fisheries and Oceans, today announced new conservation measures under the 1995 Salmon Management Plan for Newfoundland and Labrador. These measures, developed after consultations with representatives of the provincial government, recreational fishing industry and conservation groups, are aimed at rebuilding depleted stocks of large salmon in Labrador.

Under the plan, the commercial salmon fishery in Labrador will open July 3, 1995, and quotas will be reduced by 20 per cent, from 92 tonnes in 1994 to 73.5 tonnes, in an effort to stem the continuing decline in the numbers of large salmon on Labrador rivers.

In the recreational fishery, anglers in Labrador may retain only one large salmon for the season. This represents a reduction from the 1994 season when two large salmon could be retained.

"These new conservation measures for Labrador are expected to reduce the exploitation of large salmon by about 30 per cent," said Mr Tobin. "In most areas of Newfoundland and Labrador, the salmon angling season has been shortened by about one week to allow additional escapement for spawning in Newfoundland and Labrador rivers."

Atlantic salmon stocks in Labrador have shown a continuous decline over the past 15 years. The total salmon population there today is only about one-quarter of what it was in the mid-'70s.

"Scientific analyses indicate that the spawning stock of large salmon in Labrador has not replaced itself in any year since 1983," added Mr Tobin.

A five-year moratorium on commercial salmon fishing on the Island portion of Newfoundland was introduced in 1992. Other conservation measures included a voluntary commercial licence retirement program and new fisheries management initiatives for the recreational fishery in support of conservation and habitat renewal. The population has continued to decline, however, because of the low numbers of salmon that spawned six and seven years ago, before more rigorous conservation measures were implemented.

"Every effort must be made now, while the population is low, to increase the number of spawners, especially among large salmon," said Mr Tobin.

Stakeholders such as anglers, outfitters and commercial fishermen are being called upon again this year to make a sacrifice to conserve salmon stocks.

"The reductions will be particularly difficult for commercial salmon fishermen," said Mr Tobin, "but they are necessary to ensure the survival of the Atlantic salmon."

Salmon in Labrador take about six to eight years to complete their life cycle. It will be the end of the decade before benefits of the conservation measures that were implemented in 1992 and 1993 are seen.

For further information:

Berkley Slade Staff Officer - Recreational Fisheries Fisheries and Oceans St John's, Newfoundland (709) 772-2643 Bill Hickey Communications Director Fisheries and Oceans St John's, Newfoundland (709) 772-0410

THE 1995 ATLANTIC SALMON MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR NEWFOUNDLAND AND LABRADOR

The 1995 Atlantic Salmon Management Plan for Newfoundland and Labrador comprises the following specific details:

COMMERCIAL FISHERY

The commercial salmon fishery is prosecuted only in Labrador in Zones 1, 2 and 14b.

Season Dates

The commercial fishery will open July 3, 1995, nearly a month later than the 1994 opening date of June 6. The fishery in each zone will close October 15 or when the quota has been caught. The delay in opening is expected to allow a greater number of large, multi-sea-winter salmon to escape the fishery and get into the rivers to spawn.

Quotas

Commercial quotas have been reduced by approximately 20 per cent for all three management zones.

	1994	1995
Zone 1	24 tonnes	19.0 tonnes
Zone 2	60 tonnes	48.0 tonnes
Zone 14b	<u>8 tonnes</u>	6.5 tonnes
	92 tonnes	73.5 tonnes

RECREATIONAL FISHERY

Season Da	ates
-----------	------

	Open	Close
Newfoundland:		
Zones 3-11, and 14a	June 24	Sept 04
Zones 12 and 13	June 03	Sept 04
Labrador:		
Zone 14b	June 24	Sept 17
Zones 1 and 2	June 24	Sept 17
Bag Limits/Tags		
Newfoundland:	Daily	2 fish (small salmon only)
	Season	6 fish (small salmon only)

Anglers will be issued six tags with their licence. Three (of one colour) are for use from season opening to July 31; three (of a different colour) are for use from Aug 1 to Sept 4.

Labrador:	Daily	2 fish
	Season	6 fish (one large, five small)

Angers will be issued six tags with their licence. Five (of one colour) are for use on small salmon only; the sixth (of a different colour) may be used on a large or small salmon. All may be used at any time during the season.

Anglers are reminded that a small salmon is one measuring from 30cm (12 inches) to 63cm (24.8 inches) from the tip of the nose to the fork of the tail. A large salmon is one measuring 63cm or more in length. Salmon measuring less than 30cm may not be taken and, if accidentally caught, must be returned immediately to the water.

River Quotas

The following individual river quotas in Zones 13 and 14a will remain in effect for 1995:

Barachois River	175
Fischells Brook	200
Flat Bay Brook	250
Fox Island River	50
Harry's River	350
Serpentine River	150
Adies Lake (on Upper Humber River)	100
Watsons Brook	50
Pincent's Brook, Pistolet Bay	10
Lomond River	350
	Barachois River Fischells Brook Flat Bay Brook Fox Island River Harry's River Serpentine River Adies Lake (on Upper Humber River) Watsons Brook Pincent's Brook, Pistolet Bay Lomond River

Consideration is being given to setting a quota for Main River, Sop's Arm (Zone 3) and several others; a decision will be made after further consultations. Conne River (Zone 11) will only be opened to angling if the required number of spawners, surplus to conservation requirements and the native food fishery, have returned to the river.

Catch and Release

Catch-and-release fishing will be allowed on rivers closed during the season to retention, unless water conditions present the risk of high mortality.

To lessen crowding on rivers, a regulation may be proposed for the 1996 season requiring anglers to cease fishing once the daily bag limit has been retained.

Anglers are reminded that certain rivers will remain closed for the season, and other will have their own individual opening and/or closing dates. These and other details will be contained in the Angler's Guide, to be published in May, 1995.

January 1995

NAC(95)5

SALMON FISHERIES ON ST PIERRE ET MIQUELON

- 1. At its Seventh Annual Meeting the Commission requested the Secretary to pursue efforts to obtain information about the salmon fisheries on St Pierre et Miquelon. In accordance with this request I contacted the Ministère de l'Agriculture et de la Pêche in Paris with a view to obtaining information on the salmon fisheries according to the format agreed by the North Atlantic Salmon Working Group (CM1988/Assess:16 and CM1988/M:4).
- 2. I have now received provisional catch data for 1994. The official time series of information as provided by the Ministère de l'Agriculture et de la Pêche is therefore as follows:

	Number	Weight (Tonnes)
1987	442	0.984
1988	813	2.084
1989	971	2.590
1990	884	1.889
1991	573	1.132
1992	1049	2.319
1993	1439	2.943
1994	1656	3.423

- 3. The provisional catch for 1994 is the highest recorded in the time series since 1987, both in terms of number caught and weight. 15.1% more salmon were caught in 1994 than in 1993. The breakdown of the catch was 1292 salmon (2633 kg) in the commercial fisheries and 364 salmon (790 kg) in the recreational fisheries. These catches are occurring at a time when there is great concern about stock levels in North America. Tag returns from previous years indicate that salmon of Canadian and USA origin have been caught in the fisheries of St Pierre et Miquelon.
- 4. Last year the Commission recognised a discrepancy between the statistics provided by the Ministère de l'Agriculture et de la Pêche and those provided by ICES and requested that the Secretary seek clarification. I have raised this with the French authorities but they have not, as yet, responded. This year there is again a discrepancy between the ICES figure (2.7 tonnes by commercial fishermen and 1-2 tonnes by recreational fishermen) and those provided by the French authorities (3.4 tonnes) and I will again pursue this with the Ministère de l'Agriculture et de la Pêche.

ANNEX 4

NORTH AMERICAN COMMISSION

NAC(95)8

REPORT OF ACTIVITIES 1994/1995 NAC SCIENTIFIC WORKING GROUP ON SALMONID INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSFERS

NAC(95)8

REPORT OF ACTIVITIES 1994/1995 NAC SCIENTIFIC WORKING GROUP ON SALMONID INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSFERS

Members:

Rex Porter (Canada Co-chair) Tim Carey (Canada) Dick Cutting (Canada) Jamie Geiger (USA Co-chair) Chris Mantzaris (USA) Don Kimball (USA)

The Scientific Working Group was asked by the NAC at its June 1994 Annual Meeting for advice on three issues (Attachment I). The Scientific Working Group did not meet this past year due to other assignments of the Working Group members and to the absence of the USA co-chair for health reasons.

Task #1 Provide an inventory of Introductions and Transfers of Salmonids in the Commission Area

Reports of the 1994 salmonid introductions and transfers were received from the Canadian provinces and from the State of Maine. The reports from Canada were added to the inventory which was started in 1986 (Attachment II). However the reports from the State of Maine were only recently received and have not yet been added to the inventory. As in recent years, most of the introductions and transfers activities were for commercial aquaculture purposes, with some fish movements for research and for support of recreational fishing. The reports indicated movements of five species of salmonids, namely: Rainbow trout, Atlantic salmon, brook trout, Arctic charr and lake trout.

The reports were reviewed in relation to their adherence to the NAC Protocols. There were 4 shipments of rainbow trout to eastern Canada from west of the Continental Divide in 1994. The donor source for these shipments was a fish culture facility in Washington State with a long history of disease-free status. Thus, the importations meet the intent of the NAC Protocols. One shipment (300,000 eggs) of Saint John River strain Atlantic salmon eggs moved from Maine to Bay d'Espoir Newfoundland, which is Zone II. If these fish are released or reared in marine cages, it would be contrary to the Protocols for Zone II which require that non-indigenous stocks be reproductively sterile if they are released or used in cage-rearing operations. There were no reports of shipments of salmonids into the Sate of Maine from Europe or from west of the Continental Divide.

- Task #2Provide an update on the status of scientific knowledge on the effects of using
non-local strains of salmon in marine and freshwater cage-rearing.
- Task #3 Provide a review of the fish health aspects of the NAC Protocols in light of the current reviews which are being undertaken of the Canadian Fish Health Protection Regulations, the New England Fish Health Guidelines, and amendments to the US Title 50.

No progress was made on either of these two requests for advice due to other work commitments of the Working Group members and prolonged illness of the USA co-chair. The Working Group apologizes for any inconvenience this has caused the North American Commission.

Currently, the National Marine Fisheries Service and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (collectively "the Services") are evaluating a proposed rule to list Atlantic salmon as 'threatened' in seven downeast rivers, in Maine, under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended. In a comprehensive review the Services found, among several factors, that finfish aquaculture has the potential to adversely impact wild U.S. Atlantic salmon populations in the above referenced rivers. The NAC Protocols have not been enacted as law in the USA and currently provide only guidance for fishery resource managers and the aquaculture industry. The amended Protocols as well as other biological, ecological and economical factors are presently being actively discussed by private, state, and federal sectors involved in the proposed rule to list Atlantic salmon as 'threatened'.

Items for consideration by NAC

- 1. The Working Group feels that the advice requested by the NAC at its 1994 Annual Meeting is important for future reviews of the Protocols. We recommend that the Working Group provide a response for next NAC annual meeting.
- 2. The Protocols for the Introduction and Transfer of Salmonids are scheduled for review at the NAC annual meeting in 1996. The Scientific Working Group requests direction on how the Commission would like to see the review undertaken.

NORTH AMERICAN COMMISSION

NAC(94)09

NORTH AMERICAN COMMISSION'S REQUEST FOR SCIENTIFIC ADVICE TO THE SCIENTIFIC WORKING GROUP ON SALMONID INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSFERS

- 1. Provide an update of the inventory of the introduction and transfer of salmonids in the NAC Area and rivers flowing into the NAC Area. Advise on deviations from the NAC Protocols.
- 2. Provide an update on the status of scientific knowledge on the effects of using nonlocal strains of salmon in marine and freshwater cage-rearing.
- 3. Provide a review of the fish health aspects of the NAC Protocols in light of the current reviews which are being undertaken of the Canadian Fish Health Protection Regulations, the New England Fish Health Guidelines, and amendments to the US Title 50.

PREPARED FOR THE: NORTH AMERICAN COMMISSION (NASCO) SCIENTIFIC WORKING GROUP ON INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSFERS OF SALMONIDS April 7, 1995

TRANSFERS SALMONID INTRODUCTIONS AND IN EASTERN NORTH AMERICA 1986-1994 SUMMARY OF

ABBREVIATIONS USED IN TABLES

COUNTRIES/PROVINCES/STATES

V S WOORLKAUSPA HERGGFFFCXN ONMALKANOOHAA	A S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S
	CA
Q	MERI
IA ISLA	OF A
LUMB T TTS TTS A A A A ARD AND	TES NIA
LLIA H CO DD DD DD DD DD DD DD DD DD DD DD DD DD	STA T GTON GTON G
ASKA STRAA STRAA STRAA STRAA STRAA ASTAA AAHO NNITCO STRAA NUTAA SSKAT NUCS SSKAT SSSKAT SSKAT SSKAT SSKAT SSKAT SSKAT SSKAT SSKAT SSKAT SSKAT SSKAT	TTED AH RMON SHIN SHIN ST V CMIN KON
A REPORT OF A REPORT	ATU VER WAS UTU VE
AK AK AUS CCAN FFIN FFIN MAN MAN MAN MAN MAN MAN MAN MAN MAN MA	US VT WV YUK

OTHER TER	SM
AF	ATYPICAL FURUNCOLOSIS
ANAD	ANADKOMOUS ATLANTIC
AQC	AQUACULTURE
BOF.	BAY OF FUNDY
BKD	BACTERIAL KIDNEY DISEASE
¥ ð	CREEK
CNTR	CENTRE (S) CENTRE
DOM	DOMESTIC
E EGGS	EYED EGGS
EXP	EXPERIMENTAL/RESEARCH
FCS	FISH CULTURE STATION
EF FING	FISH FARM FINGERLING(S)
5	GRAM
G EGGS	GREEN EGGS
HARB	HALCHENI HARBOUR
IPN	INFECTIOUS PANCREATIC NECROSIS
IS	ISLAND
JUV TAB	JUVENILE LABORATORY
ĽK I	LAKE
EL	LANDLOCKED
MA	MOTILE AEROMONAS MONTH
MN	NORTHWEST
сц Сц	PROPOSED
EW3 C	PUBLIC STUCKING
P/S	FOR SHOLT TRANSITION
PYP	POST-YEARLING PARR
QUAR P	QUARANTINE (FACILITY) RIVER
RET	RETURN (ING)
SJR	SAINT JOHN RIVER
SKAM	SKAMANIA SPRING (S)
SS	STEELHEAD STRAIN
STR 2 (TRU	STRAIN
S/FKI S YEAR	SAC FKI SPRING YEARLING
TF	TROUT FARM
TR	TRIPLOID
UNID	UNIDENTIFIED
UNK	UNKNOWN
UY PARR W	UNDER-YEARLING PARR
MS	WILL
YEAR	YEARLING
*	REVISION

ORGANIZA:	LIONS
ASF	ATLANTIC SALMON FEDERATION
ASI	ATLANTIC SALMON (MAINE) INC
ASL	ATLANTIC SMOLTS LIMITED
ASRSC	ATLANTIC SEA-RUN SALMON COMMISSION
AVC	ATLANTIC VETERINARY COLLEGE
CDEP	CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
DEC	DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION
DFO	DEPARTMENT OF FISHERIES AND OCEANS (CANADA)
EPS	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION SERVICE (CANADA)
FMS	FUNDY MARINE SURVEYORS
GNPDC	GREAT NORTHERN PENINSULA DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
IAS	INTEGRATED AQUATIC SYSTEMS LIMITED
HML	HUNTSMAN MARINE LABORATORY
MAPA	QUEBEC MINISTERE AGRICULTURE, PECHERIE, ALIMENTATION
MCN	MAINE COAST NORDIC
MDFW	MASSACHUSETTS DIVISION OF MARINE FISHERIES
MDMR	MAINE DEPARTMENT OF MARINE RESOURCES
MINL	MARINE INSTITUTE OF NEWFOUNDLAND AND LABRADOR
MMOP	MERI MER OCEAN PRODUCTS
MPL	MARICULTURE PRODUCTS LIMITED
MPS	MAINE PRIDE SALMON
MSRL	MARINE SCIENCES RESEARCH LABORATORY
NBNDRE	NEW BRUNSWICK DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENERGY
NBFWB	NEW BRUNSWICK FISH AND WILDLIFE BRANCH
NEFFI	NEW ENGLAND FISHING ENTERPRISES INC
NHFG	NEW HAMPSHIRE FISH AND GAME DEPARTMENT
NMES	NATIONAL MARINE FISHERY SERVICE
NSDF	NOVA SCOTIA DEPARTMENT OF FISHERIES
NWAFC	NORTHWEST ATLANTIC FISHERIES CENTRE
NYDEC	NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION
ONMR	ONTARIO MINISTRY OF NATURAL RESOURCES
OPI	OCEAN PRODUCTS INCORPORATED
OSL	OCEAN SCIENCES LABORATORY, MEMORIAL UNIVERSITY
RIDFW	RHODE ISLAND DIVISION OF FISH AND WILDLIFE
SMBDA	ST. MARYS BAY DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION
USFWS	UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

SUMMARY OF SALMONID INTRODUCTIONS AND TRAN	SFERS, 198	36-1994						CONNECTION	
FILE ORIGINAL SOURCE LOCATION (STOCK/STRAIN)	YEAR	NUMBER STAGE	SPONSOR/FACILI	TRANSFERS ITY (PURI	POSE) YEAH	R NUMBER	STAGE	FINAL DI LOCATION	SPOSITION (PURPOSE)
ONCORHYNCHUS MYKISS [RAINBOW TROUT] 7001 MT, INNES HATCHERY (ERWIN) 8001 TN, ERWIN HATCHERY (ERWIN)	1987 1988	15000 EGGS 15000 EGGS	CT, CDEP/BURLI CT, CDEP/BURLI	INGTON HATCH	IERY JERY			HOUSATONIC R HOUSATONIC R	IVER IVER
9001 MT, INNES HATCHERY (ERWIN) 0001 MT, INNES HATCHERY (ERWIN) 0003 MT , INNES HATCHERY (ERWIN)	1989 1990 1991	15000 EGGS 15000 EGGS	CT, CDEP/BURLI CT, CDEP/BURLI CT CDEP/BURLI	INGTON HATCH INGTON HATCH	HERY HERY	*		HOUSATONIC R NOT YET RELE	IVER ASED: 17/01/9:
1001 MT, INNES NATCHART (EXWIN) 1001 MT, INNES NFH (EKWIN) 1002 MT, INNES NFH (EKWIN)	1991	15000 EGGS 15000 EGGS	CT, BURLINGTON	N SFH				HOUSATONIC R NOT RELEASED	IVER YET 11/2/92
1003 MT, INNES NFH (ERWIN)	1992	15000 EGGS	CT, BURLINGTON	N SFH				NOT RELEASED	YET 11/2/92
SALMO TRUTTA [BROWN TROUT] 0003 NY, CATSKILL HATCHERY (SEEFORELLEN)	1990	20000 EGGS	CT, CDEP/BURL	INGTON HATCH	HERY	*		NOT YET RELE.	ASED: 17/01/9
0004 NY, CAISALLU FAICHERI (SEEFORELLEN) 1004 NY, CATSKILL SFH (SEEFORELLEN) 1005 NY, CATSKILL SFH (SEEFORELLEN)	1661 1661	20000 EGGS 35000 EGGS 35000 EGGS	CT, BURLINGTON CT, BURLINGTON CT, BURLINGTON	N SFH N SFH N SFH				SAUGATUCK RE NOT RELEASED NOT RELEASED	SERVOIR YET 11/2/92 YET 11/2/92
	1								

TITTTTTTT

SUMMARY	OF SALMONI	D INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSFE	RS, 191	86-1994						MAINE
FILE L	OCATION (<pre>- stock/strain)</pre>	YEAR	NUMBER STAGE	SPON	TRANSFERS SOR/FACILITY (PURPOSE) YEAR	NUMBER	STAGE	- FINAL DISPOSITION LOCATION (PURPOSE)
ONCORHYN 6001 WA,	CHUS KETA MINTER CI	[CHUM SALMON] REEK H (MINTER CR/WILD)	1986	500000 EGGS	ME,	SEA RUN INC/DEAD RIVER H				CASCO BAY (SEA RANCHING)
ONCORHYN 9003 FIN 9004 FIN 0012 ONT 0013 ONT 1002 SWE	CHUS MYKIS OY BALTI OY BALTI OY BALTI RAINBOW RAINBOW ALVDALSLI	S [RAINBOW TROUT] C (BALTIC/DONALDSON DOM) C (BALTIC/DONALDSON DOM) SP H (DOMESTIC/STEVENSON) SP H (DOMESTIC/STEVENSON) AX AB(OSTER/DONALDSON)	1989 1989 1999 1990	10000 EGGS 110000 EGGS 5000 EGGS 15000 EGGS 240000 EGGS	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	PINE TREE TROUT WE//BINGHAM HATCHERY PINE TREE TROUT/SANFORD PIERCE ASSOCIATES/WEST B MPL/BINGHAM HAT	1990 1991 1991	50000	E FING	(STOCK ACCIDENTALLY KILLED)
1002 1003 SWE 1004 SWE 1004 1004	, ALVDALSL	AX AB (OSTER/DONALDSON) AX AB (OSTER/DONALDSON)	1991 1991	75000 EGGS 50000 EGGS	WE	FENOBSCOT SALMON FRANKLI SEA RUN HOLDINGS DEAD RI	N 1992 VER H 1992 1992 1992	125000 60000 500 30000 10000	S YEAR 1+ SMOLT 1+ 1+ 1+ 1+	SWANS IS (CAGE CULTURE) PREBLE IS (CAGE CULTURE) NESC, GOVE PT (CAGE CULTURE) GARY SMALL, BIRCH PT (CAGE CULTURE) NELLIE B FISH INC LUBEC (CAGE CULTURE) NATUR SALMON, COBSCOOK (CAGE CULTURE) PETENNEUTD FWF PASEPOPT (CAGE CULTURE)
2004 1010 1010 ONT 1011 ONT 1012 ONT 2093 ONT 2094 ONT 2095 SWE 2096 ONT	RAINBOW RAINBOW RAINBOW RAINBOW RAINBOW RAINBOW RAINBOW ALVDALSL RAINBOW	SP H (STEVENSON) SP H (STEVENSON) SP H (STEVENSON) SP H (STEVENSON) SP H (STEVENSON) SP H (STEVENSON) AX AB (OSTER/DONALDSON) AX AB (OSTER/DONALDSON) SP H (STEVENSON)	1991 1991 1992 1992 1992 1992	15000 EGGS 10000 EGGS 30000 EGGS 5000 EGGS 5000 EGGS 35000 EGGS 35000 EGGS 115000 EGGS 115000 EGGS	NNNN NNNN NNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN	PIERCE ASSO W BUXTON PIERCE ASSP W BUXTON ROMMY HAINES JR FORT FAI ROMMY HAINES JR FORT FAI PIERCE ASSO W BUXTON PIERCE ASSO W BUXTON PENOBSCOT SALMON FRANKLI ROMMY HAINES JR FORT FAI	RFIELD P RFIELD P N 1992 RFIELD P	17500	SUIT FING	MDMR (SPORT FISHERY)
SALMO SA 6005 NB, 6004 SCO 6003 NB, 6002 NB, 7002 NB,	LAR [ATLAN SEA FARM SEA FARM ALLT MOR MACTAQUA MACTAQUA FLORENCE	FIC SALMON] S H (SAINT JOHN RIVER) H (ARAY RIVER/WILD) C FCS (SAINT JOHN RIVER) C FCS 2 (SAINT JOHN R) VILLE H (SJR/MINTO)	1986 1986 1986 1986	25000 SMOLTS 50000 EGGS 1060000 EGGS 200 ADULTS 40000 UY PAR	ME, ME, ME,	OCEAN PRODUCTS INCORPORA OCEAN PRODUCTS INCORPORA ASRSC/GREEN LAKE HATCHER ASRSC ASRSC SALEN INCORPORATED	TED Y			EASTPORT CAGES (AQC) AROOSTOOK R (RESTORATION) AROOSTOOK R (RESTORATION) UPPER SJR (ENHANCEMENT)
7004 NB, 7007 SCC 7006 NB, 7001 FIN	MACTAQUA WESTER R SEA FARM OY BALTI	C FCS (SAINT JOHN RIVER) OSS H (DOMESTIC) S (AQC BROODSTOCK) C (DOMESTIC SEA CAGES)	1987 1987 1987 1987	55 GRILSE 50000 EGGS 25000 EGGS 50000 EGGS	A A A A A	ASRSC ASI/OQUOSSOC H (REARING) OCEAN PRODUCTS INCORPORA OPI/DEBLOIS HATCHERY	1989 TED 1988	25000 27000	SMOLTS	AROSIOON K (KLIJUKAILUN) CROSS IS (AQUACULTURE) EASTPORT CAGES (AQC) EASTPORT LINE PROAD ADVE (AUCHTURE)
7001 7005 NB, 7008 NB,	SEA FARM JAIL IS	<pre>\$ (AQC BROODSTOCK) SALMON (FUNDY/SALNT JOHN) \$ Control for the second seco</pre>	1987	18000 SMOLTS 1000000 EGGS	A R R R	OFI/GARUINER LAKE H FRANK RIER SEA FARMS/OROMOCTO H	6861	30000	STIOMS	DEVCAD COVE (ACCALCULTURE) JUBEC CAGES (AQUQCULTURE) JUDEC CAGES (AQUQCULTURE) JUDER S.TP (AUACULTURE)
/003 NB, 8001 ICE 8012 ICE	, ELDI FIS	VILLE H (SAINT JUEN K) H FARMS (AQC BROODSTOCK) H FARMS	1988 1988 1988	150000 EGGS 500000 EGGS	A A A	MPL/BINGHAM HATCHERY MPL/BINGHAM HATCHERY MPL/BINGHAM HATCHERY	P1989 1989 1989	3000	STIOMS	ALLAN JAMMANALLAN AQUACULTURE) ALLAN IS AQUACULTURE) SWARY IS (AQUACULTURE)
8012 8012 8013 ICE 8013 ICE	, ISNO SEA	CAGES (AQC BROODSTOCK)	1988	280000 EGGS	ME,	MPL/BINGHAM HATCHERY	66666666666666666666666666666666666666	20000 120000 600000	STIONS STIONS STIONS STIONS	IUBEC (AQUACULTURE) TREAT IS (AQUACULTURE) MATHEWS IS (AQUACULTURE) MATHEWS IS (AQUACULTURE)
8013 8013 8014 FIN 8014	, OY BALTI	c (MOORUM)	1988	1000000 EGGS	ME,	MPL/BINGHAM HATCHERY	6861 6861 1086	100000	STIDAS SMOLTS SMOLTS STIDAS	TREAT IS (AQUACULTURE) SWANS IS (AQUACULTURE) SWANS IS (AQUACULTURE) COOPER IS (AQUACULTURE)
8014 8004 SCC 8004 SCO 8004 SCO 8004 SCO	LANDCATC LANDCATC LANDCATC	H (AQC/2 NORWAY STRAINS) H (AQC/2 NORWAY STRAINS) H (DOMESTIC)	1988 1988 1988	1000000 EGGS 1000000 EGGS 1500000 EGGS	ME, ME,	ASI/OQUOSSOC HATCHERY ASI/OQUOSSOC HATCHERY ASI/OQUOSSOC HATCHERY	P1989 P1989 1989	2000	STIONS	(AQUACULTURE) (AQUACULTURE) (AQUACULTURE) GR WASS IS (AQUACULTURE)

1986-1994
TRANSFERS,
AND
INTRODUCT IONS
SALMONID
OF
SUMMARY

TINAL DISPOSITION ION (PURPOSE)	IS (AQUACULTURE) S IS (AQUACULTURE) WS IS (AQUACULTURE) WS IS (AQUACULTURE) IS (AQUACULTURE)	NEXT LINE) R HARB (AQUACULTURE) S.S IS (AQUACULTURE) NEXT LINE) PT (AQUACULTURE) (AQUACULTURE) C IS (AQUACULTURE) PT (AQUACULTURE)	ELA CAGES (AQC) SEA CAGES (AQC) SEA CAGES (AQC) SJR (ENHANCEMENT) SJR (ENHANCEMENT) SJR (ENHANCEMENT)	TOOR R (RESIGNATION) TOOR R (RESTORATION) ENHANCEMENT) (ENHANCEMENT)	SPECIFIED) SPECIFIED) SPECIFIED) SPECIFIED) SPECIFIED)	SPECIFIED) SPECIFIED) SPECIFIED) SPECIFIED) SPECIFIED)	LE COVE (CAGE CULTURE) SURN, AROOSTOOK RIVER DUE ISLE, AROOSTOOK R SRUEN, SAINT JOHN RIVER	ORT (CAGE CULTURE) 5 ISLAND (CAGE CULTURE) 5HMANS BAY (CAGE CULTURE)	EBEC AQUACULTURE EMBDEN 3SCOT (FRANKLIN H) EHMANS BAY (CAGE CULTURE)	5 ISLAND (CAGE CULTURE) 5 (CAGE CULTURE) CROSS IS (CAGE CULTURE) CROSS IS (CAGE CULTURE) SSCOT (FRANKLIN H) SSCOT (FRANKLIN H) SSCOT (FRANKLIN H)
LOCAT	S TREAT S ROGEI S MATHI S TREAT	(SEE S CUTLE S CUTLE S CUTLE S CUTLE S CUTLE S CUTLE S CUTLE S CUTLE S CUTLE S CUTLE		ARUUS ARROS SJR SJR SJR	TON) TON) TON)	LON)	PRIN WASHI PRES	EASTI CROSI FREN	KENN PENO FREN	SWAN LUBE ASI PENO PENO ASI/
STAGE	SMOLT SMOLT SMOLT SMOLT SMOLT	FRY SMOLT SMOLT FRY SMOLT SMOLT SMOLT	TTOLE	FRY			SMOLT	TIOMS TIOMS	EGGS YEAR SMOLT	SMOLT SMOLT S1 FRY FING EGGS
NUMBER	80000 80000 5000 35000 225000	200000 90000 60000 200000 100000 100000	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2				8000	100000 750000 5000	370000 88000 30000	164936 122000 450000 35000 158000 158000
YEAR	1989 1989 1989 1989	1 1 9 8 8 9 1 1 9 8 8 9 1 1 9 8 8 9 1 1 9 8 8 9 1 1 9 8 8 9 1 1 9 8 9 9 9 9	7 0 A	1988		4	1991 H) H)	1991 1991 1991	1990 1990 1991	1991 1991 1992 1992 1992
R NUMBER STAGE SPONSOR/FACILITY (PURPOSE)		 8 1600000 EGGS NB, SEA FARMS/DIG & SPRING H NH, BRISTOL HATCHERY 8 1500000 EGGS NB, SEA FARMS/DIG & SPRING H NH, BRISTOL HATCHERY 8 1000000 EGGS NB, SEA FARMS/DIGDEQUASH H 	 8 30000 SMOLTS NB, J STEVENS/LK UTOPIA H 8 99300 SMOLTS ME, SEA FARMS 8 350000 UY PAR ME, SALEN INCORPORATED 8 20000 UY PAR ME, SALEN INCORPORATED 8 27000 FRY ME, SALEN INCORPORATED 	8 100 ADULTS ME, ASKSC 8 100000 EGGS ME, ASKSC/GREEN LK H (HATCHING) 9 30000 PARR ME, SALEN INCORPORATED 9 80000 FRY ME, SALEN INCORPORATED 9 00000 FMY ME PEO	9 627000 EGGS ME, OPL/GARDNER LAKE 9 225000 EGGS ME, OPL/GARDNER LAKE 9 2250000 EGGS ME, ASI/OQUOSSOC HATCHERY 9 550000 EGGS ME, MPL/BINGHAM HATCHERY 9 550000 EGGS ME, MPL/BINGHAM HATCHERY	 9 187500 EGGS ME, NEFFE, KENNEBEC AQUACULTUKE 9 187500 EGGS ME, NEFFE, KENNEBEC AQUACULTURE 9 250000 EGGS ME, NEFFE, KENNEBEC AQUACULTURE 9 250000 EGGS ME, NEFFE, KENNEBEC AQUACULTURE 9 125000 EGGS ME, ASI/OQUOSSOC HATCHERY 9 125000 EGGS ME, ASI/OCHORSOC HATCHERY 	 200000 EGGS ME, PICARD FARMS/FRENCHVILLE 40000 FRY ME, ASRSC (PUBLIC STOCKING) 7569 SMOLTS NB, DFO (PUBLIC STOCKING/RESEARC) 6164 SMOLTS NB, DFO (PUBLIC STOCKING/RESEARC) 	0 1216804 EGGS ME, ASI/OQUOSSOC HATCHERY 0 299830 EGGS ME, KENNEBEC AQUACULTURE/EMBDEN 00 140500 EGGS ME, PENOBSCOT SALMON COMPANY INC	10 AQUA VENTURE/KELLY COVE	0 178640 EGGS ME, ASI/OGUOSSOC HATCHERY 0 230782 EGGS ME, ASI/OGUOSSOC HATCHERY 10 274890 EGGS ME, MPL/BINGHAM HATCHERY 11 450000 EGGS ME, RANGLEY HATCHERY 11 1195789 EGGS ME, KENNEBEC AQUACULTURE/EMBDEN
YEAR		1988 1988 1988	1988 1988 1988 1988 1988 1988 1988 1988	880000 880000 7777	666666666666666666666666666666666666666		60666 6666 77	1990 1990	199(1990 1990 1990 1990 1990 1991
ORIGINAL SOURCE CATION (STOCK/STRAIN)	LAR (ATLANTIC SALMON) CONTINUED	JAIL IS SALMON (FUNDY/SAINT JOHN)	DIGDEQUASH H (AQC/SAINT JOHN) DIGDEQUASH H (AQC/SAINT JOHN) DIGDEQUASH H (AQC/SAINT JOHN) FLORENCEVILLE H (SJR & MINTO & ASF) FLORENCEVILLE H (SJR & MINTO)	MACTAQUAC FCS (SAINT JOHN RIVER) MACTAQUAC FCS (SAINT JOHN RIVER) FLORENCEVILLE H (DOMESTIC/SJR) FLORENCEVILLE H (DOMESTIC/SJR) SAINT TOLN FCC (SAINT TOLN)	SEA FARMS CANADA (ATLANTIC/STR) SEA FARMS CANADA (ATLANTIC/STR) GRANGER COVE SALMON (ATL/SJR) GRANGER COVE SALMON (ATL/SJR) KELLY COVE SALMON (ATLANTIC/SJR) AQUA VENTURES (ATLANTIC/SJR)	KELLY COVE SALMON (ATLANTIC/SJ) AQUA VENTURES (ATLANTIC/SJR) AQUA VENTURES (ATLANTIC/SJR) KELLY COVE SALMON (ATLANTIC/SJR) AQUA VENTURES (ATLANTIC/SJR) KETIY COVE SALMON (ATLANTIC/SJR)	CONNORS BROS (ATLANTIC/SJ) CONNORS BROS (ATLANTIC/SJ) SAINT JOHN FCS (SJR WILD) SAINT JOHN FCS (SJR WILD) SAINT JOHN FCS (SJR WILD)	, LANDCATCH (AQUACULTURE/DOMESTIC) AQUA VENTURES (ATLANTIC/SJR) KELLY COVE SALMON (ATLANTIC/SJR)	EE 0005 & 0006 COMBINED	GRANGER COVE SALMON (ATL/SJR) AQUA VENTURES (ATLANTIC/SJR) KELLY COVE SALMON (ATLANTIC/SJR) DIGDEQUASH HAT (ATL OCEAN ST JOHN) GRANGER COVE SALMON (DOMESTIC) AQUA VENTURES (ATLANTIC ST JOHN)
FILE LC	SALMO SAI 8004 8004 8004 8004 8004 8004	8017 NB, 8017 8017 8015 8015 8016 8016 8016	8005 NB, 8005 NB, 8007 NB, 8007 NB, 8008 NB, 8008 NB,	8010 NB, 8011 NB, 9001 NB, 9002 NB,	9007 NB, 9008 NB, 9010 NB, 9011 NB,	9012 NB, 9013 NB, 9014 NB, 9015 NB, 9016 NB,	9018 NB, 0001 NB, 0002 NB,	0004 SCO, 0004 NB, 0005 NB,	0006 0006	0007 NB, 0008 NB, 0009 NB, 1001 NB, 1005 NB, 1006 NB, 1006 NB,

MAINE

SUMMARY OF SALMONID INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSFERS, 1986-1994

										20 11111	101010000
л алт 4	OCATION (STOCK/STRAIN)	YEAR	NUMBER STAGE	SP01	NSOR/FACILITY	(PURPOSE)	YEAR	NUMBER	STAGE	LOCATION	(PURPOSE)
SALMO SA	LAR (ATLANTIC SALMON) CONTINUED AQUA VENTURES (ATLANTIC ST JOHN)	1661	150000 EGGS	ME,	PENOBSCOT SALMON	FRANKLIN	I				
1008 NB, 1013 NB,	AQUA VENTURES (ATLANTIC ST JOHN) SEA FARMS FRYE ISLAND (ATL ST J)	1991 1991	200000 EGGS 450000 EGGS	Ê Ê	ASI/RANGELEY HAT ASI/RANGELEY HAT		Р 1992	450000	S1	ASI, CROSS I	S (CAGE CULTURE)
1014 NB,	AQUA VENTURES (ATLANTIC ST JOHN)	1991	300000 EGGS	ME.	ASI/RANGELEY HAT	CHERY	ዋ				
1015 NB,	AQUA VENTURES (ATLANTIC ST JOHN)	1991	576163 EGGS	ΨĘ,	KENNEBEC AQUACUL	TURE/EMBDEN	1992	15000	s1	ASI, CROSS I	S (CAGE CULTURE)
1015 2015							1992	25000	s1 v	TREATS IS (C	AGE CULTURE SLAND (CACF CHLTHER
1015							1992	80000	21 21	MCN, CUTLER	HARB (CAGE CULTURE)
1016 NB,	GRANGER COVE SALMON (ATL ST JOHN)	1991	170000 EGGS	ME,	PENOBSCOT SALMON	FRANKLIN	1992	150000	SMOLT	PREBLE IS (C	AGE CULTURE)
1017 NB,	GRANGER COVE SALMON (ATL ST JOHN)	1991	300000 EGGS	Щ,	PICARD HATCHERY	FRENCHVILLE	1992	15000	s1	MPS, PRINCE	COVE (CAGE CULTURE)
101							1992	85000	SMOLT	MPS, COOPER	IS (GAGE CULTURE)
2 LO L							1444			MPS, COUFER	IS (CAGE CULIUKE)
1111				!			7 7 7 7	20000	2 MOLT	MFS, FKINCE	
1018 NB,	GRANGER COVE SALMON (ATL ST JOHN)	1991	315000 EGGS	E I	ASI/RANGELEY HAT	CHERY	1992	315000	sı	ASI, CROSS I	SLAND (CAGE CULTURE
AN STOL	KELLY COVE SALMON (ATL ST JOHN)	1661	420000 EGGS	E I	MARICULTURE PRO	BINGHAM H					
ZU88 NB,	AQUA VENTURES (ATLANTIC ST JOHN)	1992	1/2000 EGGS	ME	PENOBSCOT SALMON	FRANKLIN					
2089 NB,	GRANGER COVE SALMON (ATL ST JOHN)	1992	792000 EGGS	Ĕ.	ASI/RANGELEY HAT	CHERY					
2090 AUS.	, PURVES FISHERIES (RIVER PHILIP, NS)	1992	750000 EGGS	E I	MAINE PRIDE SALM	ON/PICARD H			;		
2091 NB,	SEA FARMS DIGDEGUASH (ATL ST JOHN)	1992	40000 S1	Ë.	TREATS ISLAND FI	SHERIES	1992	40000	sı Sı	TREATS ISLAN	D (CAGE CULTURE)
TEU2		0001	22 00021	관	TREATS ISLAND FI	SHERIES	266T		22	TREATS ISLAN	U (CAGE CULTUKE)
2092	ATT STATES TRADEGOAST (ALL ST SOUND)	7 6 C T	50000 S2	ME,	SEA FARM MAINE I	NC	1992	20000	87 87	JOHNSON BAY	(CAGE CULTURE)
SALVELIN	JS ALPINUS [ARCTIC CHAR]										
9006 NB,	HML (HML/FRASER R, LABRADOR)	1989	20000 EGGS	ME,	MPL/BINGHAM HATC	HERY P	1990			(NOT SPECIFI	ED)
SALVELIN 0010 CO, 0011 UT.	US FONTINALIS [BROOK TROUT] 4 SEASONS TF (MILDCAT RESERVOIR) EGAN HATCHERY (EGAN H/OMH1)	1990 1990	20000 EGGS 145327 EGGS	ME, ME,	PIERCE ASSOCIATE MDIFW/COBB STATE	S/WEST BUXTON HATCHERY	1990	112019	FING	VARIOUS (PUB	LIC STOCKING)
•							-	: 			

MAINE

SUMMAR	Y OF SALMONID INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSFE	RS, 19	86-1994					MASSACHUSETTS
FILE	ORIGINAL SOURCE LOCATION (STOCK/STRAIN)	YEAR	NUMBER STAGE	SPONSOR/FACILITY (PURPOSE)	YEAR	NUMBER	STAGE	- FINAL DISPOSITION LOCATION (PURPOSE)
ONCORH 6003 OI 6001 MI	YNCHUS KISUTCH [COHO SAIMON] R, ORE AQUA INC (UNKNOWN) A, SULLIVAN & SANDWICH H (NORTH R/MA)	1986	25000 EGGS	MA, SP INC/SALEM LABORATORY	1986	24942	SMOLT	SALEM LAB TANKS (AQC) NORTH RIVER (RESEARCH)
8001 M 8001 M	K, VKE AQUA INC (UNKNUWN) A, PLATTE RIVER HATCHERY I, NORTH RIVER) I, PLATTE RIVER HATCHERY	* *	35000 EGGS	MA, K T CAPELESS MA, SULLIVAN HATCHERY MA, SULLIVAN HATCHERY	1988 1988 088	30000 21000	vuc	HINSDALE TANKS (AQU) NORTH RIVER (RESEARCH) NORTH RIVER (RESEARCH) NORTH RIVED (DESEARCH)
9002 N 1004 N	Y, SALMON RIVER HATCHERY Y, AQUA ARBOR (Hinchinbrooke)	1991	10000 EGGS	MA, NDFW/BANCROFT MILL FARM	1989	50000		NORTH RIVER (RESEARCH) RESEARCH/FOOD PRO
8029 M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	11 NY 11 NY	885 T	193908 EGGS	MA, MA, MA,	1988 1988 1988	10023		SEE BELOW STOCKED STOCKED
8029 M 8029 M 9018 NI 9019 VI	L, H, MILFORD HATCHERY Y, SALMON RIVER HATCHERY	1989 1989	8000 EGGS 63480 EGGS	мА, МА, МА,	1989 1989 1989	41977 44897 8000 63480		STOCKED STOCKED STOCKED STOCKED
ONCORH 6004 Wi 9005 Wi	XNCHUS MYKISS [RAINBOW TROUT] A. TROUT LODGE (UNKNOWN) A. TROUT LODGE (DOMESTIC)	1986 1989	50000 EGGS 550000 EGGS	MA, MOHAWK TROUT HATCHERY MA, MCLAUGHLIN HATCHERY	1990	10000	FRY	SUTHERLAND PONDS (AQC) SEE NEXT LINE
9005 9005				SANDWICH HATCHERY MA, MCLAUGHLIN HATCHERY	*1991 1990	75000	1+ FRY	(PUBLIC FISHING) SEE NEXT LINE
9005				SUNDERLAND HATCHERY MA, MCLAUGHLIN HATCHERY	1661×	75000	1+ FRY	(PUBLIC FISHING) SEE NEXT LINE
9005 9006 TT). BLACK CANYON TE (DOMESTIC)	0801	30000	MONTAGUE HATCHERY	*1991		+ -	(PUBLIC FISHING)
0001 OF	T TROPHY FISH RANCH INC (DOMESTIC)	0661 1990	20000 EGGS 500000 G EGGS	MA, MCLAUGALIN HAICHERI MA, D J ADAMS HATCHERY MA, MDFW/MCLAUGHLIN HATCHERY	1661 1661	80000	L+ FRY	(PUBLIC FISHING) (PRIVATE AQUACULTURE) SEE NEXT 2 LINES
0002				SUNDERLAND HATCHERY SUNDERLAND HATCHERY	*1991 *1992		VARIOUS	(PUBLIC FISHING)
0002				MA, MDF W/MCLAUGHLIN HATCHERY MONTAGUE HATCHERY	1661*	80000	FRY VARIOUS	SEE NEXT 2 LINES (PUBLIC FISHING)
0003 UJ 0003 UJ	F, TROPHY FISH RANCH INC (DOMESTIC)	1990	100000 G EGGS	MONTAGUE HATCHERY MA, MDFW/SANDWICH HATCHERY MA, MDFW/SANDWICH HATCHERY	*1992 *1991 *1901		VARIOUS	(PUBLIC FISHING) (PUBLIC FISHING)
0004 OF	VT, AQUAFARMS CANADA (DOMESTIC) VT, RAINBOW SPRINGS H (DOMESTIC)	1990 1990	700 FING 60000 E EGGS	MA, MDFW/PLYMOUTH ROCK TROUT CO MA, MDFW/PLYMOUTH ROCK TROUT CO			UNKNOWN	
1000 0000 1001 UI	<pre>wit, WILDCAT TROUT FARM (DOMESTIC) C, TROPHY FISH RANCH INC(DOMESTIC)</pre>	1990 1991	100000 E EGGS 600000 FRY	MA, MDFW/PLYMOUTH ROCK TROUT CO MA, MDFW/McLAUGHLIN HATCHERY	*1992	270000	UNKNOWN FRY	SEE NEXT 3 LINES
1001		1992	100000 FRY 80000 FRY	SANDWICH STATE HATCHERY SUNDERLAND STATE HATCH	P1993 P1993			(PUBLIC FISHING) (PUBLIC FISHING)
1005 MT 2097 UT	<pre>L, SPRING CREEK HAT(DOMESTIC) L, TROPHY FISH RANCH INC(DOMESTIC)</pre>	1991 1991 1992	90000 FRY 10000 FRY 650000 EGGS	MONTAGUE STATE HATCH MA, MDFW/GAUTHIER TROUT FARM MA. MDFW/MCLAUGHLIN HATCHFRY	P1993	000022	FRY	(PUBLIC FISHING) PRIVATE SECTOR DOMAIN SEE NEXT 3 LINES
2097	-	1993	100000 FRY 80000 FRY	SANDWICH HATCHERY SUNDERLAND STATE HATCHERY	P1994			(PUBLIC FISHING) (PUBLIC FISHING)
3001 TN	I, ERWIN HATCHERY (DOMESTIC)	1993 1993	90000 FRY 177000 EGGS	MONTAGUE STATE HATCHERY MA, MDFW/McLAUGHLIN HATCHERY	P1994 P1995		S YEAR	(PUBLIC FISHING) (PUBLIC FISHING)
ONCORH) 6002 W2	NCHUS MYKISS KAMLOOPS [KAMLOOPS TROUT] V, TROUT LODGE (UNKNOWN)	1986	10000 EGGS	MA, CANDEES TROUT HATCHERY				EGERMONT PONDS (AQC)
ONCORHY	(NCHUS TSHAWYTSCHA [CHINOOK SALMON] (, SALMON RIVER HATCHERY	1989	153899 EGGS	MA,	1989	76880		STOCKED

1986-1994
TRANSFERS,
AND
INTRODUCT IONS
SALMONID
OF
SUMMARY

MASSACHUSETTS

FT1,F	ORTGINAL SOURCE			CTTTTTTTTTTTTTDDVCFPDC				FINAL DI	SDOCT TTON
H	OCATION (STOCK/STRAIN)	YEAR	NUMBER STAGE	SPONSOR/FACILITY (PURPOS	SE) YEAR	NUMBER	STAGE	LOCATION	(PURPOSE)
SALMO SA 8003 ME, 8003	LAR [ATLANTIC SALMON] (UNION RIVER)			MA, MDFW/REED HATCHERY	1988 1988	6033 27467	FRY FRY	WESTFIELD RIV MANHAN RIVER	'ER
8003 8003					1988	23430 23430	FRY FRY	BEAR RIVER COLD RIVER	
8003 8004 ME,	(UNION RIVER)			MA, MDFW/REED HATCHERY	1988	12000 22600	FRY SMOLTS CMOLTS	SOUTH RIVER DEERFIELD RIV MILLEDS BIVED	ER
8005 ME,	(UNION RIVER)			MA, MDFW/REED HATCHERY	1988	2700	PARR	MILLERS RIVER	
9003 CT,	(CONNECTICUT RIVER) (CONNECTICUT RIVER)			MA, MDFW/REED HATCHERY MA, MDFW/REED HATCHERY	686 1088 1088 1088	120000	FRY SMOLTS	DEERFIELD KIV DEERFIELD RIV DEERFIELD RIV	ER
2004					1989	20000	STIDMS	MILLERS RIVER	
SALMO TR 1002 MT, 2098 MT,	UTTA(BROWN TROUT) SPRING CREEK H (DOMESTIC) SPRING CREEK H (DOMESTIC)	1991 1992	100000 EGGS 200000 EGGS	MA, MDFW/TROUT FARM WAREHAN MA, MDFW/MCLAUGHLIN H	۲ 1993	80000	FRY	PRIVATE SECTO SEE NEXT TWO	R DOMAIN LINES
2098 2098		1993 1993	40000 FRY 40000 FRY	SUNDERLAND STATE H MONTAGUE STATE H	P1994			(PUBLIC FISHI	(DN)
3111 MT,	SPRING CREEK H (DOMESTIC)	1993	197000 EGGS	MA, MDFW/MCLAUGHLIN H SUNDERLAND STATE H	1994 P1995	15000	FRY S YEAR	SEE NEXT TWO (PUBLIC FISH)	LINES NG)
				MONTAGUE STATE H	P1995		S YEAR	(PUBLIC FISHI	(DN)
1003 MT, 1006 NB,	US FUNTIALIS (BROOK TROUT) SPRING CREEK HAT (DOMESTIC) GREENACES TROUT H (PIS ALLEGHANYS)	1991 U/K	100000 EGGS 50000 EGGS	MA, MDFW/TROUT FARM WAREHAN MA, MDFW/RED WING MEADOW F7	4 ARM			PRIVATE SECTO PRIVATE SECTO	R DOMAIN R DOMAIN
3112 MT,	SPRING CREEK HAT (DOMESTIC)	1993	270000 EGGS	MA, MDFW/McLAUGHLIN H SUNDERLAND STATE H	1994 P1995	50000	FRY S YEAR	SEE NEXT TWO	LINES NG)
3113 NY,	FERNWOOD TROUT HAT (DOMESTIC)	1993	325000 EGGS	MONIAGUE SIAIE H MA, MDFW/McLAUGHLIN H	2661 1994	50000	S YEAK FRY	SEE NEXT TWO	.NG) LINES
				SUNDERLAND STATE H MONTAGUE STATE H	P1995 P1995		S YEAR S YEAR	(PUBLIC FISHI (PUBLIC FISHI	NG)

SUMMARY OF SALMONID INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSFERS, 1986-1994

NEW BRUNSWICK

STAGE LOCATION (PURPOSE)	CENTERVILLE (AQUACULTURE) MONCTON (AQUACULTURE) CARPOBELLO (AQUACULTURE) SUSSEX (AQUACULTURE) SUSSEX (AQUACULTURE) SAINT JOHN (AQUACULTURE) GRAND FALLS (AQUACULTURE) CLIFTON ROYAL (AQUACULTURE) CLIFTON ROYAL (AQUACULTURE) SUSSEX (AQUACULTURE) STEPHEN (AQUACULTURE) STEPHEN (AQUACULTURE) STERENEN (AQUACULTURE) STERENEN (AQUACULTURE) MONCTON (AQUACULTURE)	
NUMBER		
ER STAGE SPONSOR/FACILITY (PURPOSE) YEAR	00 EGGS NB F ANDREWS BIOLOGICAL STATION 01 FING NB DWOUVERTON 01 FING NB DWOUVERTON 01 FING NB DWOUVERTON 01 FING NB DWOUVERTON 01 FING NB DWOTLL HATCHERY 02 FING NB DWY MARNE SUNVERENS 01 FING NB FUNNY MARNE SUNVERENS 02 FING NB ATLANTIS SEA FAMNS 02 FING NB ATLANTIS SEA FAMNS 03 EGGS NB ATLANTIS SEA FAMNS 04 FILANTIS SEA FAMNS 05 EGGS NB ATLANTIS SEA FAMNS 06 EGGS NB ATLANTIS SEA FAMNS 07 EGGS NB ATLANTIS SEA FAMNS 08 EGGS NB ATLANTIS SEA FAMNS 08 EGGS NB ATLANTIS SEA FAMNS 09 EGGS NB ATLANTIC SEA FAMNS 00 EGGS NB ATLANTIC SOLFER FANNS 00 ETING NB GILLES COMMIENT/ATLANDREWS 00 EGGS NB MEDAEDO LORATEN/NONCTON 00 ETING NB MICHLEL BIRON/FREDERICTON 00 ETING NB MICHLE BIRON/FREDERICTON 00 ETING NB MICHLE ENVIRONMENT/BATHURST 00 ETING NB MICHLER ENVIRONMENT/BATHURST 00 ETING NB MICHLER ENVIRONMENT/BATHURST 00 EGGS NB MICHLER DIRON/FREDERICTON 00 ETING NB MICHLER ENVIRONMENT/BATHURST 00 ETING NB MICHLER ENVIRONMENT/BATHURST 00 ETING NB MICHLER ENVIRONMENT/BATHURST 00 EGGS NB MICHLER DIRON/FREDERICTON 00 ETING NB MICHLER ENVIRONMENT/BATHURST 00 EGGS NB MICHLER/FARANCOLDEGE/STANDORTCON 00 ETING NB MICHLER ENVIRONMENT/BATHURST 00 EGGS NB MICHLER/FARANCON 00 EGGS NB MICHLER/FARANCON 00 EGGS NB MICHLER/FARANCONCON 00 EGGS NB MICHLER/FARANCONCONCON 00 EGGS NB MICHLER/FARANCONCONCONCONCONCON 00 EGGS NB MICHLER/FARANCONCONCONCONCONCONCONCONCONCONCONCONCON	00 FISH NB, DEWINK IND/CARAQUET
R NUME		3 10
YEP	88888888888888888888888888888888888888	199
FILE ORIGINAL SOURCE LOCATION (STOCK/STRAIN)	 MCORHYNCHUS MYKISS [RAINBOW TROUT] MYLL OUL, PELIUSCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS OLI OUL, NUTGRAFED AQUATICS MAL, BEITEYS RESORT TO00 WY, BUNTEGRAFED AQUATICS TO14 OUL, PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS TO12 OUL, PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS TO112 OUL, PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS TO112 OUL, PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS TO110 UUL, PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS TO117 ONT, AQUAFARMS CANADA LTD TO118 ONT, AQUAFARMS CANADA LTD TO119 ONT, AQUAFARMS CANADA LTD TO118 ONT, AQUAFARMS CANADA LTD TO119 ONT, AQUAFARMS CANADA LTD TO110 ONT, AQUAFARMS CANADA LTD TO110 ONT, AQUAFARMS CANADA LTD TO1118 ONT, AQUAFARMS CANADA LTD TO1118 DU119 ONT, AQUAFARS RAINCHERY TO1110 DU119 DU1 INTEGRATED AQUATICS TO1100 DU1 FILLEGHANYS TO1100 DU119 DU1 INTEGRATED AQUATICS TO1100 DU119 DU1 INTEGRATED AQUATICS TO11000 DU1 FILLEGHANYS TO11000 DU1 FILLEY MALLEY MARINE TO1100 DU119 DU	3022 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS H, THAMESFORD

SUMMARY (JF SALMONID INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSFE	RS, 198	36-1994			NEW BRUNSWICK
FILE	ORIGINAL SOURCE CATION (STOCK/STRAIN)	YEAR	NUMBER STAGE	SPONSOR/FACILITY (PURPO	SE) YEAR NUMBER STAGE	FINAL DISPOSITION LOCATION (PURPOSE)
ONCORHYN 3044 ONT 3057 ONT 3069 OUE 4001 OUE 4002 OUE 4003 OUE 4003 OUE 4005 OUE 4006 OUE	CHUS MYKISS [RAINBOW TROUT] CONTINUEI RAINBOW SPRINGS H, THAMESFORD RAINBOW SPRINGS H, THAMESFORD PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS RAINBOW SPRINGS H, THAMESFORD PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS	119994 19994 19994 19994 19994 19994 19994	1000 FISH 1000 FISH 10000 EGGS 35000 EGGS 10000 EGGS 6000 EGGS 500 FISH 5000 EGGS 500 FISH 500 FISH	NB, DEWINK IND/CARAQUET NB, DEWINK IND/CARAQUET NB, TAMARCK FISH FARN/GAG NB, TAMARCK FISH FARN/GAG NB, TAMARCK FISH FARN/GAG NB, ALVIN CRAFT/HATFIELD P NB, ALVIN CRAFT/HATFIELD P NB, DEWINK IND/CARAQUET (B NB, WOLVERTON MOUNTAIN FF/ NB, WOLVERTON MOUNTAIN FF/ NB, DEWINK IND/CARAQUET (B	ETOMN GUE (AQUACULTURE) ETOMN (AQUACULTURE) OINT (AQUACULTURE) IOASSAY) IOASSAY CENTREVILLE (AQUACULTURE) IOASSAY)	
OSMERUS 1 6002 NB,	MORDAX [RAINBOW SMELT] (SUCKER BROOK, SKIFF LAKE)	1986	50000 E EGGS	NB, NBDNRE		UNIQUE L (LL SALMON FORAGE
SALMO SALMO SALMO SALMO SALMO SAlmon 1 20056 ME 1 20055 ME 1 20059 ME 20059 ME 20059 ME 30029 PE 30031 ME 30031 ME 30031 ME 30031 ME 30031 ME 30031 ME 4001 ME 4002 ME 4000 ME	LAR [ATLANTIC SALMON] KENNEBEC AQUACULTURE KENNEBEC AQUACULTURE ATLANTIC AQUAFARNS MERLIN FISH FARM MERLIN FISH FARM	1992 1992 1992 1993 1993 1993 1993 1993	20000 FISH 210000 FISH 210000 FISH 53000 FISH 55000 FISH 55000 FISH 25000 FISH 21000 FISH 21000 FISH 21000 FISH 21000 FISH 35000 PARR1+ 13500 PARR1+ 25000 PARR1+ 250000 PARR1+ 25000 PARR1+ 25000 PARR1	NB, HARBOUR BREEZE FISHERI NB, GRAY AQUAFARMS LTD/HAM NB, GRAY AQUAFARMS LTD/HAM NB, GRAY AQUAFARMS LTD/HAM NB, GRAY AQUAFARMS LTD/HAM NB, CONNORS BROTHERS/LAKE NB, JJIL ISLAND SALMON LTD/ B.J. SALMON LTD/DEACK NB, B.J. SALMON LTD/DEACK NB, GLEN COOK/ST GEORGE NB, LAKE UTOPIA HATCHERY/L NB, AQUAVENTURES LTD/BACK NB, AQUAVENTURES LTD/BACK NB, AQUAVENTURES LTD/BACK NB, CONNORS BROTHERS/CAM NB, CONNORS BROTHERS/CAM NB, CONNORS BROTHERS/CAM NB, CONNORS BROTHERS/CAM NB, ATLANTIC SILVER PRODU NB, AUDAFANGE LTD/GT GEN NB, AUDAFANGE LTD/GT GEN NB, ATLANTIC SILVER PRODU NB, ND DETO OF IA HATCHERY/L NB, NOBOIC ENTERPRISES/LIM NB, NOBOIC ENTERPRISES/LIM	ES/ST GEORGE R ISLAND PTON UTOPIA ST GEORGE BAY ST GEORGE S HARBOUR S	CULTURE) : TESTING)
SALMO SAJ 8019 ME, 9001 ME,	LAR [IANDLOCKED ATLANTIC SALMON] GRAND LK STREAM H (WEST GRAND LK) GRAND LAKE STREAM HATCHERY	1988 1989	35000 EGGS 35000 EGGS	NB, DFO/ST JOHN FCS (REARI) NB, DFO/SAINT JOHN FCS	AG) P	(ENHANCEMENT)
SALMO TRI 7022 NB, 8005 NB,	FICH FROMN TROUT] FLOWERS COVE H (LOCH LOMOND) FLOWERS COVE H (LOCH LOMOND)	1987 1988	VUL 00001 VUL 00001	NB, NBDNRE NB, NBDNRE		EAST MUSQUASH R EAST MUSQUASH R

SUMMAF	Y OF SALMONID INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSF	ERS, 19	186-1994				NEW BRUNSW	ICK
FILE	ORIGINAL SOURCE LOCATION (STOCK/STRAIN)	YEAR	NUMBER STAGE	SPONSOR/	/FACILITY (PURPOSE) YEAR	NUMBER STAGE	FINAL LOCATION	DISPOSITION (PURPOSE)
8831 2001 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 20	INUS ALPINUS [ARCTIC CHAR] AN, ROCKWOOD H (FRASER R, LABRADOR) B, FLOWERS COVE H (WALTON LAKE) * AN, ROCKWOOD HATCHERY AN, ROCKWOOD HATCHERY AN, ROCKWOOD HATCHERY EI, BROOKVALLEY MARINE EI, INTEGRATED AQUA AN, ROCKWOOD HATCHERY (LABRADOR) AN, ROCKWOOD HATCHERY (LABRADOR) AN, ROCKWOOD HATCHERY (LABRADOR) AN, ROCKWOOD AQUACULTURE RESEARCH AN, ROCKWOOD AQUACULTURE RESEARCH AN, ROCKWOOD AQUACULTURE RESEARCH AN, ROCKWOOD AQUACULTURE RESEARCH	11111111111111111111111111111111111111	3000 EGGS 5000 EGGS 5000 EGGS 5000 EGGS 3000 EGGS 40000 EGGS 45000 EGGS 6000 EGGS 6000 EGGS 6000 EGGS 6000 EGGS 6000 EGGS 6000 EGGS 6000 EGGS	NB, BOUC NB, BOUC NB, BOUC NB, SEA NB, RUCU NB, ROEC NB, CREE NB,	CTOUCHE INDIAN BAND EN ACRES TROUT FARM/MONCTON PRACES TROUT FARM/MONCTON FARMS CANADA/SUSSEX FARMS CANADA/SUSSEX ACRES TROUT FARM/MONCTON FARM MARINE LAB/ST ANDREWS EN ACRES TF/GRANDE-DIQUE EN ACRES TF/GRANDE-DIQUE F/GRANDE-DIC F/GRANDE-DIQUE F/GRANDE-DIQUE F/GRANDE-DIG	N RCH)	BUCTOUCHE (SECOND KEDF BUCTOUCHE (AQUACULTURE) (ON LAKE (AQC) ?
Y Y	INUS FONTINALIS [BROOK TROUT] UE, PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS UE, PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS UE, PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS UE, PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS E, PHILLIPS HATCHERY E, PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS UE, PISC	LLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLL 99999999999999	100000 EGGS 180000 EGGS 130000 FING 30000 FING 30000 FING 15000 EGGS 75000 EGGS 300000 EGGS 300000 EGGS 300000 EGGS 50000 EGGS 50000 EGGS 55000 EGGS 55000 EGGS 55000 EGGS 55000 EGGS	NB, ATLA NB, PIER NB, PIER NB, PIER NB, PIER NB, PIER NB, PIER NB, PIER NB, RCM NB, ND, NB, ND, NB, ND, ND, ND, ND, ND, ND, ND, ND, ND, ND	ANTIS SEA FARMS BLAS DAIGLE/RICHIBUCTO RRE MORIN (REARING) RRE MORIN (REARING) RRES COVE H (REARING) WERS COVE H (REARING) WERS COVE H (REARING) ALD NOWLAN (REARING) ALD NOWLAN (REARING) IN CRAFT (REARING) IN CRAFT (REARING) DIAS DAIGLE (REARING) DIASE (REARING) DIADD BOSSE (REARING) DIADD BOSSE (REARING) DIAM KNOW (REARING) DIAT WICH (REARING) DIAT METHE (REARING) DIAT ME		CLIFTON ROY RICHIBUCTO GRAND FALLS GRAND FALLS GRAND FALLS GRAND FALLS FALLS GRAND FALLS FALLS FALLS FALLS CRANDESTIN FALLS FA	AL (AQUACULTURE) (AQUACULTURE) (AQUACULTURE) (AQUACULTURE) (AQUACULTURE) (AQUACULTURE) (AQUACULTURE) (AQUACULTURE) (AQUACULTURE) (AQUACULTURE) (AQUACULTURE) (AQUACULTURE) (AQUACULTURE) (AQUACULTURE) (AQUACULTURE) (AQUACULTURE)
0000 0000 00000 00000 00000 00000 00000 0000	A, BEITEYS RESORT A, BEITEYS RESORT A, BEITEYS RESORT 31, BROOKVALLEY MARINE	06611066	50000 EGGS 50000 EGGS 50000 EGGS 6000 FING	NB, GREE NB, ALVI NB, ALVI NB, GREE NB, BILL	NALTINITY (REARING) NARES TF (REARING) N CRAFT (REARING) N ACRES TF (REARING) N MORR/SAINT JOHN		MONCTON (AC HATFIELD PT MONCTON (AC	UACULTURE) (AQUACULTURE) UACULTURE)
000112 000112 000113 000113 000113 000113 000000 00013 00000000	JE, PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS JE, PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS JE, PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS JE, PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS EI, BROGKVALLEY MARINE JE, PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS JE, PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS FHILLIPS HATCHERY FHILLIPS HATCHERY FFILLIPS HATCHERY F		200000 EGGS 300000 EGGS 275000 EGGS 50000 EGGS 50000 EGGS 25000 EGGS 25000 EGGS 20000 EGGS 20000 EGGS 20000 EGGS 20000 EGGS 150000 EGGS 150000 EGGS	NB, CREAT NB, CREAT NB, FEGI NB, REGI NB, MCCR NB, MCCR N	IN ACRES TF (REARING) SLAS DAIGLE (REARING) SLAS DAIGLE (REARING) INALD BOSSE (REARING) INALD BOSSE (REARING) INALD BOSSE (REARING) INALD BOSSE (REARING) INALD BOSSE (REARING) INALD BOSSE/FLOWERS COVE NOWLAND ENT FOKEMOUCHE INAL RESOURCES/FLOWERS COVE NOWLAND ENT FOKEMOUCHE INAL RESOURCES/REQUERE INAL RESOURCES/REDMUNSTON INALD BOSSE/EDMUNSTON		MONCTON (AG RICHIBUCTO EDMUNDSTON HATFIELD P1	UACULTURE) (AQUACULTURE) (AQUACULTURE) : (AQUACULTURE)

SWICK	L DISPOSITION (PURPOSE)		S	E (EXP STOCKING	UE (EXP STOCKING REAM LAKE W POND
NEW BRUN	FINA LOCATION		MINE POND	NORTH LAK	BLIND LAK MULLIN ST BIG MEADC
	STAGE				
	NUMBER	URE) CULTURE) CULTURE) CULTURE)			
	YEAR	T IE IE IOUCHE IOUCHE IOUCHE IOUCHE IC IOUCHE IOUCHE IOUCHE IOUCHE IOUCHE IOUCHE IOUCHE IOUCHE IOUCHE IOUC			
	SFERS	HATFIELD FT ARKS/ROBICHAUD SH F/HATFIELD FT ARKS/ROBICHAUD SUE/CHANLO COVE QUARANTIN FREDERICTON FF/GRANDE-DIQUE ANS/HAMSTEAD ANS/HAMST			
	TRAN NSOR/FACILITY	MCCREA FARMS// LEGERE FISH F1/H LEGERE FISH F1 LEGERE FISH F1 LEGERE FISH F1 LEGERE FISH F1 DUCEY&SONS F1 CLARENCE LEVES NBFWB/F1OWERS CLIVE WILSON// GREEN ACRES T1 FRANCIS BELENN GREEN ACRES T1 FRANCIS BELENN/ GREEN ACRES T1 FRANCIS BELENN/ FRANCIS BELENN/ FRANCIS BELENN/ FRANCIS BELEN/ FICOREN FICORER FISH F1 FRANCIS BELEN/ FRANCIS BELEN/ FICOREN FICORER FISH F1 FRANCIS BELEN/ FRANCIS BELEN/ FRANCIS BELEN/ FICORER FISH F1 FRANCIS BELEN/ FRANCIS F1/H1 JEAN MARIE MAR FRANCIS F1/H1 JEAN MARIE FILL	NBDNRE	NBDNRE	NBDNRE
	GE SPO		NB,	k NB,	د م NB,
1986-1994	NUMBER STA	25000 EGG 25000 EGG 40000 EGG 50000 EGG 50000 EGG 50000 FIS 75000 FIS 75000F	10000 JUV	2] 100 YEAI	550 YEA 100 YEA 2000 JUV 500 JUV
FERS, 19	YEAR	9 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 1	CHARBRO	SPLAK 1986	1986 1986 1987 1987
F SALMONID INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSI	ORIGINAL SOURCE CATION (STOCK/STRAIN)	FONTINALIS [BROOK TROUT] CONTINUED PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS BROOK VALLEY MARINE, SOURIS BROOK VALLEY MARINE, SOURIS BROOK VALLEY MARINE, SOURIS PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS BROOK VALLEY MARINE, SOURIS BROOK VALLEY MARINE, SOURIS PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS PISCICULTURE ALLEGHAN	E FONTINALIS X SALVELINUS ALPINUS (FLOWERS COVE H (WALTON Y PHILLIPS)	S NAMAYCUSH X SALVELINUS FONTINALIS FLOWERS COVE H (CLEAR X PHILLIPS)	K (EXP STOCKING) FLOWERS COVE H (CLEAR X PHILLIPS)
SUMMARY O	FILE LC	SALVELINGS 1007 QUE 1009 PEI 1009 PEI 1009 PEI 1000 QUE 2006 PEI 2006 PEI 2006 PEI 2007 QUE 2007 QUE 2008 PEI 2008 PEI 2	SALVELINU 8004 NB,	SALVELINU 6001 NB, 6001	PEABODY L 6001 7023 NB, 7023

1986-1994
TRANSFERS,
AND
INTRODUCTIONS
SALMONID
OF
MARY

	OSITION PURPOSE)	ы
UNSWICK	NAL DISP NN ()	ER LAKE MANAN LAKE SEVERN SEVERN STREAM STREAM ST LAKE TOP IA
NEW BR	FI LOCATI(NL RIVI GRAND P HARRIS GIENN S GRAND J MULLIN NL RIVI LAKE U ^T GOLDSM
	STAGE	
	NUMBER	
	YEAR	
	FERS	
	SPONSOR/FACILITY	NB, NBDNRE
-1994	UMBER STAGE	CONTINUED 2000 JUV 150 JUV 175 JUV 700 JUV 2000 JUV 2000 JUV 5000 JUV 150 JUV
ERS, 1986	YEAR NI	[SPLAKE] 1987 1987 1987 1987 1988 P1988 P1988 P1989 P1989
AND TRANSF		FONTINALIS PHILLIPS) PHILLIPS) PHILLIPS)
DUCTIONS	SOURCE TRAIN)	CLEAR X (CLEAR X (CLEAR X (CLEAR X
ID INTRO	ORIGINAL (STOCK/S	JSH X SA COVE H COVE H COVE H
F SALMON	CATION	FLOWERS FLOWERS FLOWERS
MMARY OF	LCX LE	LVELINU: 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 21 NB, NB, NB,
SU	ΕI	80000000000000000000000000000000000000

			IGINAL SOURCE	ORJ		FILE
1986-199	TRANSFERS,	AND	INTRODUCTIONS	SALMONID	OF	SUMMARY

NEWFOUNDLAND

FINAL DISPOSITION NUMBER STAGE LOCATION (PURPOSE)	HOPEALL CAGES (AQC) HOPEALL CAGES (AQC) TO BE DESTROYED TO BE INCINERATED DO BE INCINERATED BAY D'ESPOIR (AQUACULTURE) FISH DESTROYED ST JOHNS, STOCK DESTROYED	ST JOHNS, STOCK DESTROYED ST JOHNS, STOCK DESTROYED ST ALBANS, STOCK DESTROYED ROTI BAY CAGES (AQC) ST JOHNS, STOCK DESTROYED	TO BE DESTROYED TO BE DESTROYED TO BE DESTROYED ROTI BAY CAGES (AQC) TO BE DESTROYED ST JOHNS, TO BE DESTROYED STOCK DESTROYED STOCK DESTROYED STOCK DESTROYED STOCK DESTROYED	STOCK DESTROYED SEA CAGES STOCK DESTROYED (AQUACULTURE) STOCK DESTROYED STOCK DESTROYED	STCCK DESTROYED SEA CAGES POND FOR ANGLING FISHOUT POND SEA CAGES FISHOUT POND SEA CAGES SEA CAGES SEA CAGES SEA CAGES SEA CAGES SEA CAGES SEA CAGES SEA CAGES SEA CAGES SEA CAGES
YEAR	<u>ው</u> ው ው	1989	а а а а а а а а а а а а а а а а а а а	р Р 1990	1992 1992 1993 1993
A NUMBER STAGE SPONSOR/FACILITY (PURPOSE)	<pre>5000 5CM 6700 JUV 7 4000 JUV 900 JUV NFLD, EPS/NWAFC TANKS (BIOASSAY) 900 JUV NFLD, MSRL TANKS (RESEARCH) 300 JUV NFLD, MSRL TANKS (RESEARCH) 10000 TR EGG NFLD, MSRL TANKS (RESEARCH) 300 JUV NFLD, MSRL/MEMORIAL U (RESEARCH) 150 15 CM NFLD, MSRL/MEMORIAL U (RESEARCH) 150 15 CM NFLD, MSRL/MEMORIAL U (RESEARCH) 500 10 CM NFLD, DFO/NWAFC (RESEARCH) 500 7 CM NFLD, DFO/NWAFC (RESEARCH)</pre>	2000 FRY NFLD, EPS/NWAFC (BIOMONITORING) 2000 FRY NFLD, EPS/NWAFC (BIOMONITORING) 2000 FRY NFLD, EPS/NWAFC (BIOMONITORING) 30000 TR EGG NFLD, BAY D'ESPOIR HATCHERY 125000 TR EGG NFLD, BAY D'ESPOIR HATCHERY 10000 EGGS NFLD, BAY D'ESPOIR HATCHERY 10000 EGGS NFLD, BAY D'ESPOIR HATCHERY 10000 EGGS NFLD, BAY D'ESPOIR HATCHERY 100000 EGGS NFLD, BAY D'ESPOIR HATCHERY	 50 30-50G NFLD, MINL/MINL TANKS (TEACHING) 2000 0.5 G NFLD, DOE/NWAFC (BIOMONITORING) 2000 0.5 G NFLD, DOE/NWAFC (BIOMONITORING) 75000 TR EGG NFLD, DOE/NWAFC (BIOMONITORING) 75000 TR EGG NFLD, MINL/MINL TANKS (TEACHING) 5000 E EGG NFLD, MINL/MINL TANKS (TEACHING) 2000 E EGG NFLD, MINL/MINL TANKS (TEACHING) 2000 0.5-01 NFLD, DOE/NWAFC (EXPERIMENTAL) 5000 0.5-016 NFLD, ENV PROJECTION (BIOASSAY) 2000 0.5 G NFLD, LEM LAB INC (BIOASSAY) 2000 0.5 G NFLD, LEM LAB INC (BIOASSAY) 	20000 JULINER WARE AND	150 FISHNFLD,MARINE INSTITUTE (EXP)150000 TR EGG NFLD, BAY D'ESEDOIR HATCHERYP2000 FISHNFLD,25000 FISHNFLD,350010 TR EGG NFLD,BAY D'ESPOIR HATCHERY300000 TR EGG NFLD,BAY D'ESPOIR HATCHERY300000 EGGSNFLD,150000 EGGS NFLD,BAY D'ESPOIR HATCHERY150000 EGGS NFLD,BAY D'ESPOIR HATCHERY150000 EGGS NFLD,BAY D'ESPOIR HATCHERY150000 EGGS NFLD,BAY D'ESPOIR HATCHERY2500 FISHNFLD,AND D'ESPOIR HATCHERYP
YEAF	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	9011088890110888891108888911088889011088889011010888890110101010	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	>00000000000** >0000000000000000 >00000000	1991 1991 1991 1992 1992 1992 1992 1992
LOCATION (STOCK/STRAIN)	RHYNCHUS MYKISS [RAINBOW TROUT] ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS HATCHERY ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS HATCHERY ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS H (UNKNOWN) ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS H (UNKNOWN) ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS H (UNKNOWN) ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS H (UNKNOWN) ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS H (HATCHERY) ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS H (HATCHERY) ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS H (HATCHERY) ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS H (HATCHERY) ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS H (HATCHERY)	ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS H (HATCHERY) ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS H (HATCHERY)	ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS H (EX H/DOMESTIC) ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS H (EX H/DOMESTIC)	ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS H (X. H/DOMESTIC) ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS H (EX H/DOMESTIC)	ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS H (EX H/DOMESTIC) ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS H (HATCHERY) ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS H (HATCHERY) ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS H (DOMESTIC) PEL, BROOKVALLEY MARINE FARM (DOMESTIC) ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS H (DOMESTIC) ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS H (DOMESTIC) OUE, PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS (DOMESTIC) OUE, BROOKVALLEY MARINE FARM (DOMESTIC) PEI, BROOKVALLEY MARINE FARM (DOMESTIC) OUT, RAINBOW SPRINGS H (DOMESTIC) OUT, RAINBOW SPRINGS H (DOMESTIC)
FILE	ONCC 6001 6001 7001 7001 7001 7001 7001 8013 8013 8013 8010	8012 8012 8012 8012 8011 8011 8001 8000 8000	901199001090000000000000000000000000000	00015 00115 00115 00115 00118 00118 00118 00118 00118	0022 1001 1002 1002 2006 2009 2009 2009 2009

NF OUND LAND	FINAL DISPOSITION ATION (PURPOSE)	CAGES CAGES CAGES CAGES CAGES CAGES CAGES CAGES CAGES CAGES CAGES HOUT POND	NEXT LINE	NEXT LINE	CAGES CAGES CAGES CAGES CAGES	s destroyed s destroyed	CK TO BE DESTROYED I BAY CAGES (AQC) CK TO BE DESTROYED CK TO BE DESTROYED CONS, STOCK DIED	OCSTOCE DESTROYED OCSTOCK DEVELOPMENT E CAGES, GRAND LAKE USTRY DEMONSTRATION ODSTOCK DEVELOPMENT ODSTOCK DEVELOPMENT ODSTOCK DEVELOPMENT	USTRY DEMONSTRATION ODSTOCK DEVELOPMENT EARCH/DEMON FISHOUT POND ODSTOCK DEVELOPMENT EARCH UNDER FIELD	ULTIONS ODSTOCK DEVELOPMENT E AND/OR GROW OUT E CAGES, DEER LAKE E AND/OR GROW OUT	E AND/OR GROW OUT E CAGES, DEER LAKE E AND/OR GROW OUT
NE	AGE LOC	SEZ SEZ SEZ SEZ SEZ SEZ SEZ SEZ SEZ SEZ	GS SEE	GS SEE	SH SEP SH SEP SEP SEP	000 99 99 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90	SECS S	STC BRC IND BRC BRC BRC BRC BRC BRC BRC	IND BRC BRC BRC BRC BRC BRC BRC BRC BRC BRC	BRC BRC LAK SAI	SAI LAK SAI
	NUMBER ST		130000 EG	100000 EG	75000 FI 80000 FI					17500	14500
	YEAR	P1994 P1994 P1994 P1994 P1994 T 1993 CT 1993 P1995 O P1995	1989	1989	P1992 P1993 P1994 P1995	NO	P1990 P1990 G) P 1989 B	л .		P1994 1993 P1994	P1994 1993 P1994
	SPONSOR/FACILITY (PURPOSE)	NFLD, BAY D'ESPOIR HATCHERY NFLD, HAROLD SMITH/SPIRITY POND NFLD, BAY D'ESPOIR HATCHERY NFLD, BAY D'ESPOIR HATCHERY NFLD, RAINBOW FARMS H/HOPEALL NFLD, RAINBOW FARMS H/HOPEALL NFLD, RAINBOW FARMS H/HOPEALL NFLD, BAY D'ESPOIR HATCHERY NFLD, SPIRITY POND LTD/NORIS P NFLD, BAY D'ESPOIR HATCHERY NFLD, RAINBOW TECH CHAR F/PORT REXT NFLD, RAINBOW TROUT FARM/S DILD	NB, BRIDEN/CHAMCOOK H (QUAR) NFID RAY D'FCHOID H (QUAR)	NB, CHAMCOOK HATCHERY (QUAR)	NFLD, BAY D'ESPOIR H (QUAR) NFLD, BAY D'ESPOIR H (QUAR) NFLD, BAY D'ESPOIR H (QUAR) NFLD, BAY D'ESPOIR H (QUAR) NFLD, BAY D'ESPOIR H (QUAR)	NFLD, MSRL NFLD, MSRL (INCUBATION) NFLD, BAY D'ESPOIR H (QUAR) NFLD, AQUA BLUE FARMS/PORT REXT NFLD, BAY D'ESPOIR HATCHERY MFTD, BAY D'ESPOIR HATCHERY	WFLD, BAY D'ESPOIR HATCHERY WFLD, DFO/NWAEC (RESEARCH) WFLD, BAY D'ESPOIR HATCHERY WFLD, BAY D'ESPOIR HATCHERY WFLD, MARINE INSTITUTE (TEACHIN WFLD, MARINE INSTITUTE (TEACHIN WFLD, NORDCO AQUARIUM (EXPER)	NFLD, NWWEC (NESEARCH) NFLD, NEW TECH CHAR FARMS VFLD, VALLEY CHAR INC NFLD, GNPDC NFLD, NEW TECH CHAR FARMS NFLD, NEW TECH CHAR FARMS NFLD, NEW TECH CHAR FARMS	NFLD, GNPDC NFLD, NEW TECH CHAR FARMS NFLD, DFO/NEW TECH CHAR FARMS NFLD, DFO/NEW TECH CHAR FARMS NFLD, DFR/NEW TECH CHAR FARMS	NFLD, NEW TECH CHAR FARMS NFLD, GREAT NOTHERN PENINSULA VFLD, VALLEY CHAR INC/DEER LAKE NFLD, GREAT NOTHERN PENINSULA	VFLD, GREAT NOTHERN PENINSULA NFLD, VALLEY CHAR INC/DEER LAKE NFLD, GREAT NOTHERN PENINSULA
	STAGE	EGGS EGGS EGGS EGGS EGGS EGGS EGGS EGGS	EGGS	EGGS	EGGS EGGS EGGS S/FRY	E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	EGGS FEGGS FEGGS FEGGS FEGGS FINC	FING EGGS 12-15CM FISH EGGS EGGS EGGS	EGGS EGGS EGGS	EGGS FISH FISH15gh EGGS	EGGS FISH EGGS
986-1994	NUMBER	186000 7500 144700 600000 250000 15000 500000 500000 500000 10000 500000 10000 500000 100000000	13000	100000	100000 100000 150000 300000	54500 10000 30000 30000	2000 30000 30000 30000 30000 30000 30000 30000 30000 30000 30000	10000 310000 100000 100000 310000	40000 10000 40000 115400 5000	100000 26500 17500 20000	35000 14500 50000
FERS, 19	YEAR	ED 19933 19933 19933 19933 19944 19944	*1988	1989	1991 1992 1992 1994	1986 1986 1987 1988 1988	1989 *1989 *1989 *1989 *1989 *1989 *1989		1991 1991 1992 1992	1993 1993 1993 1993) 1993 1993 1993
SUMMARY OF SALMONID INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANS.	FILE ORIGINAL SOURCE LOCATION (STOCK/STRAIN)	ONCORHYNCHUS MYXISS [RAINBOW TROUT] CONTINU 2011 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS H (DOMESTIC) 2012 PEI, BROOKVALLEY MARINE FARM (DOMESTIC) 3083 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS (DOM TRIPLOID) 3084 QUE, PISCICULTURE ALLEGH (DOM TRIPLOID) 3085 PEI, BROOKVALLEY MARINE FARM (DOMESTIC 3086 PEI, BROOKVALLEY MARINE FARM (DOMESTIC 3088 PEI, BROOKVALLEY MARINE TOM TRIPLOID 3089 ADN, ROCKWOOD HATCHERY (DOMESTIC) 3099 ADN, PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANY (TRIPLOID) 4031 QUE, PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANY (TRIPLOID) 4032 QUE, PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANY (TRIPLOID)	SAIMO SALAR [ATLANTIC SAIMON] 8001 NB, KELLY COVE SEA CAGES (FUNDY/SJR) 8001	9012 NB, KELLY COVE SEA CAGES (FUNDY/SJR) 9012	1005 NB, AQUA VENTURES LTD (FUNDY) 2001 NB, AQUA VENTURES LTD (FUNDY) 2002 NB, AQUA VENTURES LTD (FUNDY) 4033 ME, KENNEBEC AQUACULFURE	SALVELINUS ALFINUS [ARCTIC CHAR] LAB, (FRASER RIVER) 7005 LAB, (FRASER RIVER) 7006 LAB, (FRASER RIVER) 8005 MAN, DFO, WINNIPEG 8004 MB, HUNTPEG 8004 MB, HUNTPEG	8002 LAB, (IKINET BROCK) 9001 PEI, INTEGRATED AQUATICS (FRASER R/DOM 9002 MAN, ROCKWOOD HATCHERY (FRASER R/DOM) 9003 NB, HUNTSMAN MARINE LAB (FRASER R/DOM) 9004 MAN, ROCKWOOD HATCHERY (FRASER R/DOM) 9005 MAN, ROCKWOOD HATCHERY (FRASER R/DOM) 9005 MAN, ROCKWOOD HATCHERY (FRASER R/DOM) 9014 PEI. (AST JOHN FX FRASER R/DOM)	1005 MAN, ROCKWOOD HATCHERY (FRASER K/DOM) 1007 PEI, IAS (PURTILL/DOM) 1007 PEI, BROGKVALLEY MARINE (FRASER R/DOM) 1008 PEI, BROGKVALLEY MARINE (FRASER R/DOM) 1009 MAN, WILDWOOD ENT LTD (DOMESTIC) 1010 MAN, WILDWOOD TROUT F (NAUYUK L/DOM) 1011 PEI, BROGKVALLEY MARINE (FRASER R/DOM)	1012 FEL, BROGKVALLEY MARINE (FRASER K/DOM) 1013 PEI, BROGKVALLEY MARINE (DOMESTIC) 1014 QUE, PISCICULTURE DES ALLEGHANYS 2003 MAN, WILDWOOD TROUT F (FRASER R DOM) 2004 MAN, ROCKWOOD HATCHERY (FRASER R/DOM)	3074 NB, GREEN ACRES HATCHERY (DOMESTIC) 3075 PEL, BROOKVALLEY MARINE (FRASER R/DOM) 3076 NB, GREEN ACRES HATCHERY (DOMESTIC) 3077 MAN, ROCKWOOD HATCHERY (FRASER R/DOM)	3078 YUK, ICY WATERS QUARANTI (NAUYUK L/DOM. 3079 NB, GREEN ACRES HATCHERY (DOMESTIC) 3080 PEL, BROOKVALLEY MARINE (FRASER R/DOM)

A COL DOCL DECEMBER OF SHOTED

SUMMARY OF SALMONID INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSFERS, 1986-1994

NEWF OUND LAND

FILE ORIGINAL SOURCE LOCATION (STOCK/STRAIN)	YEAR	NUMBER STAC	SE SPO	NSOR/FACILITY	FERS	YEAR	NUMBER	STAGE	FINAL D LOCATION	ISPOSITION (PURPOSE)	
SALVELINUS ALPINUS (ARCTIC CHAR) CONTINUED 4034 YUK, POLAR SEAS FISHERIES FARM 4035 PEI, INTEGRATED AQUATIC SYSTEMS	1994 1994	6500 <1 (30000 0-8	EM NFLI GM NFLI	D, GNPDC D, GNPDC							
SALVELINUS FONTINALIS [BROOK TROUT] 2005 PEL, BROOKVALLEY MARINE (DOMESTIC) 3081 NB, GREEN ACRES HATCHERY (DOMESTIC) 3082 PEL, BROOKVALLEY MARINE (DOMESTIC) 4036 PEL, BROOKVALLEY MARINE (DOMESTIC)	1992 1993 1993	5500 6-8 5500 EGG 5500 F 20 5500 F 20	IN NFLI S NFLI DCM NFLI	D, STEPHENVILLE D, NEW TECH CHAR D, STEPHENVILLE D, STEPHENVILLE	IND DEV COMM R FARMS INDUSTRIAL DEV INDUSTRIAL DEV	1993 71993 71944	5000 5500		MINE POND/AO DIED DURING GROW OUT ANI GROW OUT ANI	UACULTURE FIRST 6 MONTHS) FISHING	
INAMINO	OF SALMONID INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSP	FERS, 19	186-1994							NEW HAMPSHI	RE
---	--	----------------------	--	----------------	--	--------------------------------------	----------------------	---------------------------	----------------------------	---	---
FILE L	ORIGINAL SOURCE DCATION (STOCK/STRAIN)	YEAR	NUMBER STAGE	SPON	SOR/FACILITY	ERS	YEAR		STAGE	- FINAL D LOCATION	ISPOSITION (PURPOSE)
ONCORHYN	CHUS KISUTCH [COHO SALMON]										
6003 NH, 6002 NH, 6002 NH,	MILFORD HATCHERY (LAMPREY RIVER) MILFORD HATCHERY (LAMPREY RIVER)	1986 1986 1986	30000 FRY 61745 PARR 130000 SMOLTS							GREAT BAY TI LAMPREY R (: LAMPREY R (:	XIBUTARIES SPORT FISHERY) SPORT FISHERY)
7003 NH, 8004 NY, 9005 MI,	MILFORD HATCHERY (LAMPREY RIVER) SALMON RIVER H (SALMON RIVER) PLATTE RIVER HATCHERY (PLATTE)	1986	129665 SMOLTS 300000 E EGGS	, HN HN, HN	TWIN MOUNTAIN HA TWIN MOUNTAIN HA NHFG/TWIN MOUNTA	ATCHERY ? ATCHERY AIN HATCHERY	1987 1988 1989	151000 99411 200295	STIOMS SMOLTS SMOLTS	GREAT BAY E LAMPREY RIVI LAMPREY R (I LAMPREY R (I	STUARY ER (RESEARCH) RECREATION) RECREATION)
TH TOOD	FLAILE KIVEK HATCHERY (OREGON)	0661 <i>1</i>	400000 SMOLTS							LAMPREY R (1	RECREATION)
DNCORHYN NY, 6004 NY, 7004 NY,	CHUS MYKISS [RAINBOW TROUT] (LAKE ONTARIO) SALMON RIVER H (SALMON RIVER) SALMON RIVER H (SALMON RIVER)	1986 1986 1987	47215 SMOLTS 47000 37000							GREAT BAY ES LAMPREY R (1 LAMPREY R (1	STUARY KECREATION) KECREATION)
ONCORHYN 8005 NY, 8003 NY,	CHUS TSHAWYISCHA [CHINOOK SALMON] SALMON R H (LK ONTARIO/SALMON R) SALMON R H (LK ONTARIO/SALMON R)			, HN,	TWIN MOUNTAIN HA TWIN MOUNTAIN HA	АТСНЕRY АТСНЕRY	1988 1988	110918 431460	AGE I FRY	LAMPREY R (1 LAMPREY R (1	KECREATION) KECREATION)
9004 NY,	SALMON R H (LK ONTARIO/SALMON R)	1988	1100000 EGGS	, HN	NHFG/MILFORD HAT	TCHERY	1989	631000	STIOMS	LAMPREY R (I	(ECREATION)
2002 NY,	SALMON R H (LK ONTARIO/SALMON R) SALMON R H (LK ONTARIO/SALMON R)	1990	779000 EGGS	, HN HN	NHFG/MILFORD HAT NHFG/MILFORD HAT	ICHERY ICHERY	1991 1991	427000 428198	SMOLTS SMOLTS	LAMPREY & E) (RECREATION)	KETER RIVERS VI. FISHERY)
7 I 1001	SALMON R H (LK ONTARIO/SALMON R)	1991	510000 G EGGS	NH, I	NHFG/MILFORD HAT	TCHERY				LAMPREY & E)	(ETER RIVERS
JU99 NV	VE NOW 142/OIGETNO X11 H & NOW 152	1000	250000 E EGGS	, HN	NHFG/MILFORD HAT	TCHERY	1992	495000	STIONS	(RECREATION)	VL FISHERY)
3017 NY,	SALMON R H (LK ONTARIO/SALMON R)	1993	375300 SMOLTS	NH, HN	NHE GIMILLE UKU HAJ	ІСПЕКІ І	1993	375300	SMOLTS	LAMPREY R (I LAMPREY R (I	KECKEATION)
SALMO TR 6001 NH, 7001 NH,	JITA [BROWN TROUT] MILFORD HATCHERY (DOMESTIC) MILFORD HATCHERY (DOMESTIC)	1986 P1987	9850 SMOLTS 9850 SMOLTS	NH, I NH, I	NHFG NHFG					8 RIVERS (RI 8 RIVERS (RI	SSEARCH) SSEARCH)

SUMMARY OF SAL

NEW JERSEY

TID	TATING INNITION				LE SINK CLU	5 D C				TINAT DICOOCTUTION	
	LOCATION (STOCK/STRAIN)	YEAR	NUMBER STAGE	SPO	NSOR/FACILITY	(PURPOSE)	YEAR	NUMBER	STAGE	LOCATION (PURPOSE)	
ONCORHY	ACHUS MYKISS [RAINBOW TROUT]										
7001 NY.	, ALTMAR HATCHERY (SALMON RIVER)	1987	53000 E EGG	s NJ,	HAYFORD HATCHER	•	21988			LARGE LOSS, PREDATION	
1001							1988	1128		SMOLTS RARITAN RIVER (RESEARCH	Ê
2001 CA.	, MT LASSEN T FARM (HILDEBRAND W)	1992	5000 EGGS	NJ,	STATE AQUARIUM		1993	5000	2-3JUV	FISH FOOD (LOT 07/20/92)	
2002 CA,	. MT LASSEN T FARM (HILDEBRAND W)	1992	5000 EGGS	ΝJ,	STATE AQUARIUM		1993	5000	2-3JUV	FISH FOOD (LOT 07/23/92)	
2003 CA,	MT LASSEN T FARM (HILDEBRAND W)	1992	5000 EGGS	ΓN	STATE AQUARIUM		1992	5000	SWIMUP	FISH FOOD (LOT 11/30/92)	
2004 CA,	, MT LASSEN T FARM (HILDEBRAND W)	1992	5000 EGGS	NJ,	STATE AQUARIUM		1993	5000	SWIMUP	FISH FOOD (LOT 12/23/92)	
2005 CA,	, MT LASSEN T FARM (HILDEBRAND W)	1992	5000 EGGS	ΥJ,	STATE AQUARIUM		1993	5000	SWIMUP	FISH FOOD (LOT 12/31/92)	
3001 CA,	. MT LASSEN T FARM (HILDEBRAND W	1993	5000 EGGS	νJ,	STATE AQUARIUM		1993	5000	עטל	FISH FOOD (LOT 01/14/93)	
3002 CA,	, MT LASSEN T FARM (HILDEBRAND W)	1993	5000 EGGS	ΥJ,	STATE AQUARIUM		1993	5000	VUC	FISH FOOD (LOT 01/26/93)	
3003 CA	. MT LASSEN T FARM(HILDEBRAND W)	1993	5000 EGGS	ΥJ,	STATE AQUARIUM		1993	5000	FRY	ON HAND MARCH 1993	
ONCORENT	ICHUS TSHAWYTSCHA [CHINOOK SALMON]										
6001 NY,	. ALTMAR HATCHERY (SALMON RIVER)	1986	70000 EGGS	, ĽΝ	NJDEP/HAYFORD H	(EXP REARING	1987 (59705		RARITAN RIVER	
7002 NY.	ALTMAR HATCHERY (SALMON RIVER)	1987	95000 E EGG	S NJ,	NJDEP/HAYFORD H	(EXP REARING	1988	91170	SMOLTS	RARITAN RIVER	

1986-1994
TRANSFERS,
AND
INTRODUCTIONS
SALMONID
G
SUMMARY

FILE	ORIGINAL SOURCE				TRANSFERS				FINAL DISPOSITION	
	LOCATION (STOCK/STRAIN)	YEAR	NUMBER STAGE	SPONSC	JR/FACILITY (PURPOSE)	YE	AR NUME	ER STA	SE LOCATION (PURPOSE)	
ONCORHYN 6004 NY, 6008 NY, 6011 NY,	ACHUS KISUTCH [COHO SAIMON] SALMON R H (LK ONTARIO/SALMON R) SALMON R H (LK ONTARIO/SALMON R) SALMON R H (LK ONTARIO/SALMON R) SALMON R H (LK ONTARIO/SALMON R)	1986 1986 1986	547000 JUV 102000 YEAR 194000 YEAR	YN YN YN YN	DEC DEC DEC				LK ONTARIO (ENHANCEMENT) LK ERIE (SPORT FISHING) LK ONTARIO (SPORT FISHING	ε.
7011 NY, 7009 NY, 7034 NY,	SALMON R H (LK ONTARIO/SALMON R) SALMON R H (LK ONTARIO/SALMON R) 2 HATCHERIES (SALMON RIVER)	1986 P1987 P1987	268000 FING 350000 YEAR 100000 1+ 80000 YEAP	YN YN YN YN YN YN					LK ONTARIO (SPORT FISHING LK ONTARIO (SPORT FISHING LK ONTARIO (SPORT FISHING TV ONTARIO (SPORT FISHING	ត្តែត្រ
8015 NY, 8016 NY,	2 HATCHERIES (SALMON RIVER) 2 HATCHERIES (SALMON RIVER) 2 HATCHERIES (SALMON RIVER)	10888	299850 YEAR 256500 FING	KN 'AN					LK ONTARIO (SPORT FISHING LK ONTARIO (SPORT FISHING LK ONTARIO (SPORT FISHING	ີ ຕິ ຕິ
8028 8028 8028 8028	A NORTH AND AN AN AN ANTARY OF AN ANTARY OF AN ANTARY AND AN	1 1 0 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	32600 16 MO						CHAUTAUQUA CR, LK ERIE 18 MILE CREEK, LK ERIE CANADAWAY CREEK, LK ERIE	
8028 8028 8028		1988 1988 1988	40000 6 MO						CATTARAUGUS CR, LK ERIE CATTARAUGUS CR, LK ERIE 18 MILE CREEK. LK ERIE	
8027 NY, 9003 NY,	CALEDONIA HATCHERY (SALMON R) SALMON R H (LK ONTARIO/SALMON R) SALMON B H (TK ONTARIO/SALMON R)	1988	37500 11 MO 180000 F FING	NY, NY	DEC				CATTARAUGUS CR, LK ERIE 3 LK ERIE TRIBS (STOCKING	ត
YN E106	SALMON R H (LK ONTARIO/SALMON R)	1989	143040 YEAR	IN 'IN	DEC/CALEDONIA HAICHERI	۲ ۲	27 T4/8	60 YEAI	LAKE ONTARIO (STOCKING)	5
9014 NY,	CALEDONIA H (LK ONTARIO/SALMON R)	1989	54065 YEAR	NY, NY	DEC				LAKE ONTARIO (STOCKING) LAKE ONTARIO (STOCKING)	
0008 NY,	SALMON R H (LK ONTARIO/LK ONTARIO)	1990	162500 F FING	NY, NY	DEC, LAKE ERIE UNIT				LAKE ERIE (STOCKING) LAKE ERIE (STOCKING)	
YN 0100	SALMON R H (LK ONTARIO/SALMON R) SALMON R H (LK ONTARIO/SALMON R)	1990 1990	144400 FING 187200 F FING	NY, NY NY, NY	DEC				LAKE ONTARIO (STOCKING) LAKE ONTARIO (STOCKING)	
0011 NY, 0012 NY,	SALMON R H (LK ONTARIO/SALMON R) SALMON R H (LK ONTARIO/SALMON R)	1990 P1991	110000 YEAR 90000 YEAR	NY, NY NY, NY	DEC (PRODUCE SPAWNING R DEC (PRODUCE SPAWNING R	(INI)			LAKE ONTARIO (STOCKING) LAKE ONTARIO (STOCKING)	
0013 NY,	SALMON R H (LK ONTARIO/SALMON R)	1991q	155000 FING	NY, NY	DEC				LAKE ONTARIO (STOCKING)	
1007 NY,	SALMON R H (LK ONTAKIO/SALMON R) SALMON R H (LK ONTAKIO/SALMON R)	1661	161250 F FING 131750 F FING	NY, NY NY, NY	DEC LAKE ERIE UNIT DEC LAKE ONTARIO				PUBLIC STOCKING PUBLIC FISHING	
1009 NY,	SALMON K H (LK ONTARIO/SALMON R) SALMON R H (LK ONTARIO/SALMON R)	1991 1992	97000 YEAR 155000 S FING	NY, NY NY, NY	DEC (PRODUCE SPAWNING R DEC (LAKE ONTARIO)	(NU) 19	92 550	00 F F	PUBLIC FISHING ING PUBLIC FISHING	
1010 NY, 2106 NY,	SALMON R H (LK ONTARIO/SALMON R) SALMON R H (LK ONTARIO/SALMON R)	P1992 1992	90000 YEAR 275700 FING	NY, NY NY, NY	DEC (PRODUCE SPAWNING R DEC LAKE ERIE UNIT	(ND)			PUBLIC FISHING	
2108 NY.	SALMON R H (LK ONTARIO/SALMON R) SALMON R H (LK ONTABIO/SALMON P)	1992	290000 S FING	NY, NY	DEC (LAKE ONTARIO)					
2109 NY, 3121 NY,	SALMON R H (LK ONTARIO/SALMON R) SALMON R H (LK ONTARIO/SALMON R) SALMON R H (LK ONTARIO/SALMON R)	P1993	245000 YEAR	YN YN NY, NY	DEC (PRODUCE SFAMMING R DEC (PRODUCE SPAMMING R DEC (PRODUCE SPAMMING R				PUBLIC FISHING PUBLIC FISHING PUBLIC FISHING	
3122 NY, 3123 NY,	SALMON R H (LK ONTARIO/SALMON R) SALMON R H (LK ONTARIO/SALMON R)	1993 1993	95670 YEAR 99970 F FING	NY, NY NY, NY	DEC (PRODUCE SPAWNING R DEC (PRODUCE SPAWNING R	(ND)			PUBLIC FISHING PUBLIC FISHING	
ONCORHYN 6015 NY, 6006 NV	CHUS MYKISS [RAINBOW TROUT] CALEDONIA HATCHERY (DOMESTIC) SALMON DIVED U (SAIMON D'UN GO)	1986	103000 JUV	NY, NY	DEC				LK ONTARIO (SPORT FISHING	î.
4003 NY,	SALTON ALVER H (SALTON K/MA SS) SALMON RIVER H (SALMON R/WA SS) IN. //r Michtgan/symmits ss)	1986	335000 1+	NN N1	מווסשאנו מתוודם ווסאוואס/ ממת				LAKE ERIE (SPORT FISHING) LK ONTARIO (SPORT FISHING	- m.
7016 NY,	SALMON RIVER H (STEELHEAD STRAIN) SALMON RIVER H (STEELHEAD STRAIN)	P1987 1987	412000 YEAR 130000 JUV		DECISALMON KIVEK MAICHE		6/7 05	OU IEA	A LAKE EKIE (SFORT FISHING) 4 LAKE ERIE TRIBUTARIES	-
7027 NY,	LA MICHIGAN/SKAMANIA SS) SALMON RIVER H (DOMESTIC/WYTHFUTLIF) SALMON R H (DOMESTIC/WYTHFUTLIF)	1987	23000 FING	NY, NY	DEC/SALMON RIVER HATCHE	RY 19	37 200	00 YEAI	CHAUTAUQUA CREEK NOT IDENTIFIED	
7029 NY, 7024 NY, 7025 NY,	SALMON RIVER HATCHERY (DOMESTIC) SALMON R H (WA OR SKAMANIA SS) 3 HATCHERIES (FINGER LAKES SS)	1987 1987 1987	1/200 FING 90600 YEAR 60000 FING 69350 FING						NUL IDENTIFIED LK ONTARIO TRIBUTARIES LK ONTARIO TRIBUTARIES	

NEW YORK

1986-1994
TRANSFERS,
AND
INTRODUCTIONS
SALMONID
Б
SUMMARY

FINAL DISPOSITION LOCATION (PURPOSE)	LK ONTARIO TRIBUTARIES BUFFALO CREEK, LK ERIE 18 MILE CREEK CANADAMAY CREEK CATTARAUGUS CREEK EAGLE BAY, LK ERIE STURGEON POINT, LK ERIE BUFFALO HARBOUT	LKE ONTARIO (ENHANCEMENT) LKE ONTARIO (ENHANCEMENT) SPOONER BROOK, LK ERIE CLEAR CREEK CHAUTANQUA CREEK CATTARAUGUS CREEK 18 MILL CREEK	CATTARANGUS CKLEK CHUTANUQUS CKLEK IK ONTARIO TRIBUTARIES IK ONTARIO TRIBUTARIES IK ONTARIO TRIBUTARIES IK ONTARIO TRIBUTARIES CATTARUGUS CR (STOCKING) 4 LK ERIE TRIBS (STOCKING)	LAKE ONTARIO (STOCKING) LAKE ONTARIO (STOCKING) LAKE ONTARIO (STOCKING) LAKE ONTARIO (STOCKING) LAKE ONTARIO (STOCKING)	LAKE ERIE (STOCKING) LAKE ERIE (STOCKING) LAKE ONTARIO (STOCKING) LAKE ONTARIO (STOCKING) LAKE ONTARIO (STOCKING) LAKE ONTARIO (STOCKING) LAKE ONTARIO (STOCKING) DIRLIC STOCKING (ADMINITY SCH)	PUBLIC FISH/BROODSTOCK PUBLIC FISH/BROODSTOCK PUBLIC FISH/BROODSTOCK PUBLIC FISH/BROODSTOCK PUBLIC FISH/BROODSTOCK PUBLIC STOCKING PUBLIC STOCKING PUBLIC FISH/BROODSTOCK PUBLIC FISH/BROODSTOCK PUBLIC FISH/BROODSTOCK	(SEE NEXT LINE) INLAND LAKES AND PONDS (REC FISHING) LAKE ONTARIO (STOCKING) LAKE ONTARIO (STOCKING) LAKE ONTARIO (STOCKING) LAKE ONTARIO (STOCKING) LAKE ONTARIO (STOCKING) LAKE BRIE (STOCKING)
STAGE	10 MO		О Ш 9 Т		YEAR		S FING YEAR YEAR YEAR YEAR YEAR YEAR
NUMBER	5000				120700		170000 369930 74000 10000 82000 430000 213690
YEAR	1988		α α 		1990		1993 1993 1993 1993 1994 P1994 P1994
RANSFERS	7 443340 YEAR 8 7500 10 MO 5 000 10 MO 5 000 10 MO 1 7800 14 MO 1 1600 15 MO 5 000 14 MO 5 000 16 MO 5 000 10 MO 5 000 MO 5 000 MO 5 000 MO 5 000 MO 5 000 MO 5	8 150500 FING 77370 YEAR 50000 6 MO 50000 6 MO 8 18000 16 MO 37000 16 MO 23700 16 MO 23700 16 MO	1 18000 16 MO NI, CALEDUNIA HAICHEKI 6 6780 YEAR 1 107000 YEAR 2 293700 YEAR 3 308050 FING 1 102000 YEAR 1 102000 YEAR	0 212440 YEAR NY, NYDEC 171970 YEAR NY, NYDEC 75000 F FING 93790 YEAR NY, NYDEC 25000 F FING	 NY, NYDEC, LAKE ERIE UNIT 48400 FING NY, NYDEC, LAKE ERIE UNIT 287200 YEAR NY, NYDEC 125000 YEAR NY, NYDEC 375000 YEAR NY, NYDEC 375000 YEAR NY, NYDEC 143000 YEAR NY, NYDEC 	 375000 YEAR NY, NYDEC LANE UNIT 375000 YEAR NY, NYDEC LAKE ONTARIO 82000 YEAR NY, NYDEC LAKE ONTARIO 519300 YEAR NY, NYDEC LAKE ONTARIO 32000 YEAR NY, NYDEC LAKE ONTARIO 175000 S FING NY, NYDEC LAKE ONTARIO 105000 YEAR NY, NYDEC LAKE ONTARIO 105000 YEAR NY, NYDEC LAKE ONTARIO 130000 F FING NY, NYDEC LAKE ONTARIO 430000 YEAR NY, NYDEC LAKE ONTARIO 84780 YEAR NY, NYDEC LAKE ONTARIO 	191000 EGG NYSDEC/RAISAILL H 191000 EGG NYSDEC/ROME H NY, NYDEC LAKE ONTARIO NY, NYDEC LAKE ONTARIO NY, NYDEC LAKE ONTARIO NY, NYDEC LAKE ONTARIO NY, NYDEC LAKE ERIE
YEAR	ED 1987 1988 1988 1988 1988 1988 1988	88891 88891 88891 888991 888991 88889 88889 88888 88888 88888 88888	19888 1988 1988 1988 1988 1988 1988 198	0891 0891 0891 0891 0891 0891 0891 0891	1991 1990 1990 1991 1991 1991 1991 1991	P109921	1992
ORIGINAL SOURCE CATION (STOCK/STRAIN)	HUS MYKISS [RAINBOW TROUT] CONTINU 3 HATCHERIES (WA OR SKAMANIA SS) RANDOLPH H (DOMESTIC/NASHUA) CALEDONIA H (DOMESTIC/NASHUA) RANDOLPH H (DOMESTIC/NASHUA)	CALEDONIA H (CALEDONIA/DOMESTIC) CALEDONIA H (CALEDONIA/DOMESTIC) SALMON RIVER H (SALMON R/WA SS)	VARIOUS (FINCER KK X DOMESTIC SS) VARIOUS (FINCER KK X DOMESTIC SS) VARIOUS HATCHERIES (SKAMANIA SS) VARIOUS HATCHERIES (WA SS) VARIOUS HATCHERIES SALMON RIVER H (SALMON R/SS)	CALEDONIA H (SALMON R/WA SS) SALMON RIVER H (SALMON R/WA SS) CALEDONIA HATCHERY (DOM/NASHUA)	SALMON RIVER H (LK ONTARIO/WILD) SALMON RIVER H (LK ONTARIO/WILD) CALEDONIA H (SALMON RIVER/WA SS) SALMON RIVER H (SALMON R/WA SS) LAKE ONTARIO (STFFILHEAD/WILD)	LAKE ONTARIO (STEELHEAD/WILD) LAKE ONTARIO (STEELHEAD/WILD) LAKE ONTARIO (STEELHEAD/SKAMANIC) LAKE ONTARIO (STEELHEAD/SKAMANIC) LK ONT (STEELHEAD/SKAMANIC) LK ONT (STEELHEAD/MASH/SAL RIVER) LK ONT (STEELHEAD/MASH/SAL RIVER) LK ONT (STEELHEAD/MASH/SAL RIVER SALMON RIVER H (LK ONTARIO/WILD) LK ONT (STEELHEAD/WASH/SAL RIVER LAKE ONTARIO (STEELHEAD/WASH/SAL RIVER LAKE ONTARIO (STEELHEAD/WASH/SAL RIVER	EAST IMIN LAAL, DEF SALMON RIVER H (LK ONTARIO/WILD) CALEDONIA H (LK ONTARIO/DOM/WILD) SALMON RIVER H (LK ONTARIO/WILD) CALEDONIA H (LK ONTARIO/WILD) SALMON RIVER H (LK ONTARIO/WILD) SALMON RIVER H (LK ONTARIO/WILD)
FILE L(ONCORHYN(7026 NY, NY, 8027 NY, 8027 8027 8027 8027 8027 8027 8027 8027	8007 NY, 8008 NY, 8024 NY, 8024 8024 8024 8024 8024	8025 IN, 8025 NY, 8005 NY, 8003 NY, 9005 NY, 9005 NY,	9017 NY. 9018 NY, 9018 9019 NY, 9019	0001 NY, 0002 NY, 0003 NY, 0005 NY, 0006 NY, 1005 NY,	22115 NY 22115 NY 22115 NY 22115 NY	3114 CI 3124 NY, 3125 NY, 3126 NY, 3128 NY, 3129 NY,

NEW YORK

NEW YORK

VEW YORK

CATTARAUGUS CR, LAKE ERIE SILVER CREEK, LAKE ERIE DUNKIRK HARBOUR, LAKE ERIE DUNKIRK HARBOUR, LAKE ERIE DUNKIRK HARBOUR, LAKE ERIE LAKE ONTARIO ENHANCEMENT) LAKE ONTARIO ENHANCEMENT) LAKE ONTARIO ENHANCEMENT) LAKE ONTARIO ENHANCEMENT) SEVERAL LAKE STOCKING) LAKE ONTARIO (STOCKING) LAKE ONTARIO LAKE ONTARIO (PUBLIC FISHING) LAKE ONT (PUBLIC FISHING/BROODSTOCK) LK ONTARIO (REHABILITATION) LK ONTARIO (REHABILITATION) LK ONTARIO (REHABILITATION) LAKE ONTARIO (RESTORATION) LAKE ONTARIO (RESTORATION) LAKE ONTARIO (REHABILITATION) LK ONTARIO (REHABILITATION) SEVERAL LAKES (ENHANCEMENT) DUNKIRK HARBOUR, LAKE ERIE CATTARNJGUS CR, LAKE ERIE LAKE ONTARIO (ENHANCEMENT) CANADAWAY CR, LAKE ERIE BUFFALO CR, LAKE ERIE 18 MILE CREEK, LAKE ERIE CANADAWAY CR, LAKE ERIE FINAL DISPOSITION (PURPOSE) LOCATION бM STAGE 17 MO YEAR Å 17 ÷ m 84200 66000 NUMBER 12000 20020 14000 19000 00002 YEAR P1986 CALEDONIA HATCHERY (REARING) 1988 CALEDONIA HATCHERY (REARING) 1988 1993 1993 P1994 CALEDONIA HATCHERY (REARING) 1988 NYDEC DEC LK ERIE UNIT (RV FIN CLIP) DEC LK ERIE UNIT (LP FIN CLIP) NYDEC LAKE ERIE UNIT NYDEC (FISHERY ENHANCEMENT) NYDEC NYDEC LAKE ERLE UNIT NYDEC LAKE ONTARIO NYDEC LAKE ONTARIO NYDEC LAKE ENTE UNIT NYDEC LAKE ERLE UNIT NYDEC (FISHERY ENHANCEMENT) NYDEC LAKE ONTARIO NYDEC LAKE ONTARIO (PURPOSE) NY, NYDEC/CATSKILL HATCHERY NY, NYDEC ----TRANSFERS----NUMBER STAGE SPONSOR/FACILITY NYDEC NYDEC NY, NYDEC NYDEC NYDEC NYDEC NY, NYDEC NYDEC ΝΥ, NΥ, NY, ΝΥ, NY, ΝΥ, NΥ, NY, NY, S YEAR YEAR 1 F FING YEAR UNID YEAR YEAR 1 YEAR 1 F FING YEAR 1 F FING F FING YEAR 10 MO 10 MO 10 M 10 M 5000 17 M0 YEAR F ING YEAR F ING YEAR YEAR FING YEAR YEAR YEAR YEAR YEAR YEAR FING YEAR YEAR 247100 F 767500 3 352300 3 19500 H 158000 N 212500 H 25000 417760 5000 84680 22000 25000 25000 5000 37950 37300 48450 45000 40000 23000 23000 36800 36800 84200 1382000 366300 818100 7400 20000 15130 40000 282630 240000 45000 25000 50000 45290 66000 70000 5000 26370 404310 YEAR 1987 1987 1987 1988 1988 1988 1988 1988 1988 1988 1989 1989 1989 1989 1989 1990 1988 P1993 1990 1990 1993 1990 1991 1992 F1991 1991 1991 CALEDONIA H (SEEFORELLEN) 1993 CALEDONIA H (DOMESTIC/SEEFORELLEN) 1992 CALEDONIA H (DOMESTIC/SEEFORELLEN) P1993 CALEDONIA H (DOMSEE) (FURUNCULOSIS)1993 CALEDONIA H (CALEDONIA/SEFFORELLEN) 19 CATSKILL H (CATSKILL/SEFFORELLEN) 19 CATSKILL H (CATSKILL/SEFFORELLEN) 11 CATSKILL H (CATSKILL/SEFFORELLEN) 11 CATSKILL H (CATSKILL/SEFFORELLEN) 11 CALEDONIA H (CATSKILL/SEFFORELLEN) 11 CALEDONIA H (LK ONT/SEFFORELLEN) 11 CALEDONIA H (CATSKILL/SEFFORELLEN) 11 CALEDONIA H (CATSKILL/SEFFORELLEN) 11 CATSKILL H (CATSKILL SEFFORELLEN) 11 CATSKILL H CATSKILL HATCHERY (ROME) RANDOLPH HATCHERY (ROME LAB) BATH HATCHERY VARIOUS H (SEEFORELLEN) VARIOUS H (DOMESTIC OR SKAMANIA) VARIOUS H (DOMESTIC OR SKAMANIA) VARIOUS H (DOMESTIC OR SKAMANIA) CATSKILL H (CATSKILL/SEEFORELLEN) H (DOMESTIC/SEEFORELLEN) H (DOMESTIC/SEEFORELLEN) 2 HATCHERIES (DOMESTIC) CATSKILL H (SEEFORELLEN, W GERMAN) RANDOLPH H (DOMESTIC/RANDOLPH) RANDOLPH H (DOMESTIC/RANDOLPH) SALMON RIVER HATCHERY (ROME LAB) RANDOLPH H (DOMESTIC/RANDOLPH) CATSKILL H (CATSKILL/SEEFORELLEN) Y HATCHERY (LK ONTARIO) Y HATCHERY (SENECA LAKE) CALEDONIA H (CALEDONIA/ROME LAB) (LK ONTARIO) GERMANY, (SEEFORELLEN) SEVERAL HATCHERIES (DOMESTIC) (SUPERIOR) TRUTTA [BROWN TROUT] CONTINUED ORIGINAL SOURCE (STOCK/STRAIN) [LAKE TROUT HATCHERY HATCHERY HATCHERY HATCHERY HATCHERY HATCHERY HATCHERY SALVELINUS NAMAYCUSH CALEDONIA I CALEDONIA I ALLEGHENY | ALLEGHENY | ALLEGHENY | ALLEGHENY ALLEGHENY ALLEGHENY ALLEGHENY ALLEGHENY LOCATION WEST NY, XX NX NX NX NX NX NX NK, NY, PA, PA, PA, PA, PA, PA, PA, SALMO 3116 6013 7031 8012 90013 9007 9007 9008 9008 7030 2112 3004 2113 2113 3115 8023 8022 FILE 6001

NYDEC

NY,

YEAR

28000

H (SENECA LAKE/SENECA)

CALEDONIA

NY.

SUMMARY OF SALMONID INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSF	FERS, 19	86-1994	- 2 מספט אוג מש		NOVA SCOTIA
TLE ORIGINAL SOURCE LOCATION (STOCK/STRAIN)	YEAR	NUMBER STAGE	TRANSFERS	YEAR NUMBER STAGE	FINAL DISPOSITION LOCATION (PURPOSE)
NICORHINCHUS MIKISS [RAINBOW TROUT] 5001 WV, WHITE SULPHUR SPRINGS HATCHERY 5001	1986 1986	100000 EGGS 50000 EGGS	NS, DFO/MERLIN FISH FARMS NS, DFO/COLDBROOK FCS		WESTCHESTER (FISH FARM)
001 005 WA, BEITEYS RESORT 005 ONT, SPRING VALLEY HATCHERY	1980 1987 1987	100000 EGGS 550000 EGGS 150000 EGGS	NS, DFO, NSDF/ST PETERS HATCHERY NS, NSDF/ST PETERS HATCHERY NS, NSDF/ST PETERS HATCHERY	ርት ርት	
7004 ONT, AQUAFARMS CANADA 7001 WV, WHITE SULPHUR SP H (WYTHEVILLE)	1987 1987	50000 EGGS 250224 EGGS	NS, MERLIN FISH FARMS NS. DFO. NSDP/FRASFRS MILLS H	ρ	WESTCHESTER (FISH FARM) (LOCAL STOCKING)
7002 WA, BEITEYS RESORT	1987	100000 EGGS	NS, MERLIN FISH FARMS	4	WESTCHESTER (FISH FARM)
8013 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS HATCHERY	1981	2000 FING	NS, USIREA SEA FARMS NS, EPS/DARTMOUTH (RESEARCH)		SHAD BAY (AQUACULIUKE)
8012 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS HATCHERY 8011 WA, BEITEYS RESORT	1988 1988	35000 FRY 200000 FRY	NS, NOVA AQUA SMOLT NS, NOVA AQUA SMOLT		GLACE BAY (AQUACULTURE) GLACE BAY (AQUACULTURE)
8010 ONT, SPRING VALLEY HATCHERY 8009 ONT, AOUAFARMS CANADA	1988	250000 EGGS 30000 EGGS	NS, NSDE/FRASERS MILLS H NS, NSDE/FRASERS MILLS H (REARING)	<u>р</u> , р	(LOCAL STOCKING)
8008 ONT, AQUAFARMS CANADA	1988	100000 EGGS	NS, NSDF/ST PETERS HATCHERY	، <u>۵</u> , ۱	(ENHANCEMENT)
800/ WV, WHITE SULFHUK SPRINGS HATCHERY 8006 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS HATCHERY	1988	250000 EGGS 200000 TR EGG	NS, NSDF/FRASERS MILLS H (REARING) NS, NOVA AOUA SMOLT (REARING)	с ц	(ENHANCEMENT) GLACE BAY (AOUACULTURE)
9004 ONT, VAN AQUA INC, BRANTFORD	1989	6000 FING	NS, NOVA AQUA SEA LIMITED		GLACE BAY
9007 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS HATCHERY	1989 1989	100000 EGGS	NS, MEKLIN FISH FARMS NS, Nova Aoha Smolt		WENTWORTH (FISH FARM) GLACE RAY (AOUACULTURE)
9008 PEI, INTEGRATED AQUATICS	1989	125000 FING	NS, NOVA AQUA SMOLT		GLACE BAY (AQUACULTURE)
9009 PEL, BROOKVALLEY MARINE 9010 ONT: SPRING VALLEY H DETERSBURG	1080	25000 FING	NS, NOVA AQUA SMOLT NG IITTIE UNDE TECHT ENEM		GLACE BAY (AQUACULTURE)
9011 WV, WHITE SULPHUR SPRINGS HATCHERY	1989	250000 EGGS	NS, LITTLE DAND INCUL FANN NS, NSDF/FRASERS MILLS H		INTER TOONT A NOTING T
0020 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS HATCHERY	1990	40000 FING	NS, NOVA AQUA SMOLT/GLACE BAY		
0022 PEI, INTEGRATED AUGAILOS 0022 PEI, BROOKVALLEY MARINE	0661	2000 FING	NS, NOVA AQUA SEA LTD/GLACE BAY NS, NOVA AQUA SMOLT/GLACE BAY		
0023 ONT, SPRING VALLEY H, PETERSBURG	1990	150000 EGGS	NS, LITTLE HARB TROUT FARM/PICTOU		
UU24 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS HATCHERY 0025 DET RECORVATIEV MADINE	1990	3000 FING	NS, ENVIRONMENT CANADA/DARTMOUTH		
0026 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS HATCHERY	1990	50000 TR EGG	NS, LUCH BRAS D'UN SALMUN NS, SUGAR LOAF FISH FARM/OXFORD		
0027 ONT, SPRING VALLEY H, PETERSBURG	1990	100000 EGGS	NS, FRASERS MILLS H/ ST ANDREWS		
1001 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS H, THAMESFORD 1002 ONT, RAINBOW SDRINGS H, THAMFSFORD	1991	75000 EGGS	NS, SUGAR LOAF FISH FARM/OXFORD NS D DHIIID TEANIT FARM/OVFORD		
1003 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS H, THAMESFORD	1661	5000 EGGS	NS, R FRILLF INOUT FAMILONE OND NS, ENVIRONMENT CANADA/DARTMOUTH		
1004 ONT, AQUAFARMS CANADA, FEVERSHAM	1991	20000 FING	NS, MERLIN FARMS/WENTWORTH VALLEY		
1007 DET BOOOK VALLEV MADINE	1991	150000 EGGS	NS, MERLIN FISH FARMS/WENTWORTH V		
1008 PEI, BROOK VALLEY MARINE	1991	12600 FING	NS, R PHILIF IROUT FARM/ OXFORD		
1009 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS H, THAMESFORD	1991	2000 FING	NS, ENVIRONMENT CANADA/DARTMOUTH		
JUIU PEL, BROOK VALLEY MAKINE 1011 ONT PAINROW SDRINGS H THAMFSEADD	1991	18000 FING	NS, SUGARLOAF FISH FARM NS FNUTBONMENT CANADA (DAPTMONITH		
1012 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS H, THAMESFORD	1661	50000 EGGS	NS, ENVIRONMENT CANADA/DARIMOUIA NS, SUGARLOAF FISH FARM		
1013 ONT, SPRING VALLEY H, PETERSBURG	1991	125000 EGGS	NS, LITTLE HARBOUR FARM/PICTOU		
1014 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS H, THAMESFORD 1015 ONT BOHDERARMS CANADA FENTERHAM	1991	100000 EGGS	NS, LITTLE HARBOUR FARM/PICTOU		
1016 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS H, THAMESFORD	1991	2500 FING	NS, ENVIRONMENT CANADA/DARTMOUTH		
1017 MT, ENNIS NATIONAL F HATCHERY	1991	250000 EGGS	NS, DFO FRASERS MILLS HATCHERY		
1019 PEI, BROOK VALLEY MARINE, SOURIS	1661	4000 FING	NS, ENVIRONMENT CANADA/DAKIMOUTH NS, SUGARLOAF FISH H/WENTWORTH		
2025 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS H, THAMESFORD 2029 ONT, AQUAFARMS CANADA,FEVERSHAM	1992 1992	50000 EGGS 50000 EGGS	NS, SUGARLOAF FISH H/WENTWORTH NS, SALMONID PROPAGATION ASSOC/ST	PETERS	
2030 SASK, ARCTIC FISH CO, WALDHEIM	1992	100000 EGGS	NS, SPA COOP/ST PETERS		
2032 NB, GREENACRES TROUT H/GRANDE-DIQUE	1992	10000 FISH	NS, SUGARLOAF FISH HATCHERY/OXFORI	0	

SUMMARY	OF SALMONID INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSFE.	RS, 198	6-1994						NOVA SCOTIA	
FILE I	ORIGINAL SOURCE OCATION (STOCK/STRAIN)	YEAR		SPON	TRANSFER SOR/FACILITY (1	S	NUMBER 2	TAGE	- FINAL L LOCATION	ISPOSITION (PURPOSE)
ONCORPENSION 2033 ONT 2033 ONT 2035 ONT 2035 ONT 2035 ONT 2039 OUE 2049 ONT 2045 ONT 2046 ONT 2046 ONT 2048 ONT 2055 ONT 2055 ONT 2055 ONT 2055 ONT 3025 ONT 3026 ONT 3026 ONT 3027 ONT 3026 ONT 3027 ONT 3027 ONT 3026 ONT 3026 ONT 3026 ONT 3026 ONT 3026 ONT 3027 ONT 3026 ONT 3026 ONT 3026 ONT 3026 ONT 3027 ONT 3026 O	CHUS MYXISS [RAINBOW TROUT] CONTINUED RAINBOW SPRINGS H, THAMESFORD PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS FISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS FISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS RAINBOW SPRINGS H, THAMESFORD RAINBOW SPRINGS H, THA	119994444 199944444 199944444 199944444 1999444444 1999444444 1999444444 19994444444 19994444444 199944444444	3000 FISH 50000 EGGS 100000 EGGS 250000 EGGS 100000 EGGS 100000 EGGS 100000 EGGS 100000 EGGS 100000 EGGS 100000 EGGS 50000 EGGS 50000 EGGS 100000 EGGS 50000 EGGS 10000 FISH 10000 FISH </td <td></td> <td>ENVIRONMENT CANADI SUGANLDAF FISH FAL SUGANLDAF FISH FAL SUGANLDAF FISH FAL ENVIRONMENT CANADI ENVIRONMENT CANADI HARRIS INDUSTRIALL ENVIRONMENT CANADI HARRIS INDUSTRIALL FASER MILLS H/ST W STRICKLAND/TRENU HARRIS INDUSTRIALL HARRIS INDUSTRIALL</td> <td>A, EPS/DARTMOUTH ION ASSOC/ST PETERS RM/OXFORD DUT ASSOC/ST PETERS A, EPS/DARTMOUTH DUT FARM/TRENTON NULLEORD STATION ALDERD STATION ANDREWS ANDREWS ANDREWS STATION FON ANDREWS ANDREWS STATION ANDREWS STATION ANDLEORD STATION A, EPS/DARTMOUTH ANDLEORD STATION A, EPS/DARTMOUTH ARM/OXFORD (AQUACUL ANDLEORD STATION A, EPS/DARTMOUTH (BI ANDLEORD STATION A, EPS/DARTMOUTH (BI AND (STATION A, EPS/DARTMOUTH (BI ADD (STATI</td> <td>TURE) TURE) (AQUACULIUR (AQUACULIUR (AQUACULIUR (AQUACULIUR (AQUACULIUR (AQUACULIUR (AQUACULIUR (AQUACULIUR (AQUACULIUR) (</td> <td>TURE)</td> <td></td> <td></td>		ENVIRONMENT CANADI SUGANLDAF FISH FAL SUGANLDAF FISH FAL SUGANLDAF FISH FAL ENVIRONMENT CANADI ENVIRONMENT CANADI HARRIS INDUSTRIALL ENVIRONMENT CANADI HARRIS INDUSTRIALL FASER MILLS H/ST W STRICKLAND/TRENU HARRIS INDUSTRIALL HARRIS INDUSTRIALL	A, EPS/DARTMOUTH ION ASSOC/ST PETERS RM/OXFORD DUT ASSOC/ST PETERS A, EPS/DARTMOUTH DUT FARM/TRENTON NULLEORD STATION ALDERD STATION ANDREWS ANDREWS ANDREWS STATION FON ANDREWS ANDREWS STATION ANDREWS STATION ANDLEORD STATION A, EPS/DARTMOUTH ANDLEORD STATION A, EPS/DARTMOUTH ARM/OXFORD (AQUACUL ANDLEORD STATION A, EPS/DARTMOUTH (BI ANDLEORD STATION A, EPS/DARTMOUTH (BI AND (STATION A, EPS/DARTMOUTH (BI ADD (STATI	TURE) TURE) (AQUACULIUR (AQUACULIUR (AQUACULIUR (AQUACULIUR (AQUACULIUR (AQUACULIUR (AQUACULIUR (AQUACULIUR (AQUACULIUR) (TURE)		
ONCORHYN 2085 ID, 2086 ID, 2087 ID,	CHUS KISUTCH [COHO SALMON] AQUA LIFE CORP, FALL CREEK AQUA LIFE CORP, FALL CREEK AQUA LIFE CORP, BUHL	1992 1992 1992	ADULT ADULT ADULT	NS, NS,	SEABRIGHT SMOKEHO SEABRIGHT SMOKEHO SEABRIGHT SMOKEHO	JSE LTD/TANTALLON JSE LTD/TANTALLON JSE LTD/TANTALLON				

SUMMARY OF SALMONID INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSF	FERS, 19	386-1994		NOVA SCOTIA
FILE ORIGINAL SOURCE LOCATION (STOCK/STRAIN)	YEAR	NUMBER STAGE	SPONSOR/FACILITY (PURPOSE) YEAR NUMBER STAGE	- FINAL DISPOSITION LOCATION (PURPOSE)
<pre>SALMO SALAR [ATLANTIC SALMON] 8004 NB, HUNTSMAN MARINE LAB (SJR C) 8003 NB, MACTAQUAC FCS (SAINT JOHN RIVER) 9012 NB, MACTAQUAC FCS (SAINT JOHN RIVER) 9016 NB, MACTAQUAC FCS 9006 NB, CHAMCOOK 9002 NB, BRIDEN ASSOCIATION & SEA FARMS 0029 NB, SEA FARMS CANDAA, SPRINGDALE 1021 NB, AST CHAMCOOK 1022 OUE, BAIE DES CHALEURS, ST OMER 1022 OUE, PAIE DES CHALEURS, ST OMER 2061 NB, HARBOUR DELOUTRE, CAMPOBELLO IS 3070 NB, AQUA VENTURES 3071 NB, AQUA VENTURES 4049 MB, KELLIN COVE AQUARAMS INC 4049 NB, KELLY COVE AQUACULTURE</pre>	10100000000000000000000000000000000000	50000 FRY 50000 FRY 50000 EGGS 50000 EGGS 50000 EGGS 50000 EGGS 720000 EGGS 130000 EGGS 130000 EGGS 100000 EGGS 100000 EGGS 100000 EGGS 136000 EGGS 10000 EGGS 100000 EGGS 100000 EGGS 10000 EGGS 100000 EGGS 1000000 EGGS 100000 EGGS 100000000000 EGGS 100000000000000000000000000000000000	NS, NOVA AQUA SMOLT NS, DFO/COLDBROOK FCS (REARING) NS, DFO/COLDBROOK FCS (REARING) NS, DFO/COLDBROOK FCS (REARING) NS, NOVA AQUA SMOLT NS, NOVA AQUA SMOLT NS, NOVA AQUA SMOLT NS, NOVA AQUA SMOLT (EXPERIMENTAL) NS, NOVA AQUA SMOLT (CARANTINE) NS, NOVA AQUA SMOLT (UARANTINE) NS, PARSIR MILLS HATCHERY/ST ANDREMS NS, FIASER MILLS HATCHERY/ST ANDREMS NS, LITTLE HARBOUR TROUT FARM/TRENTON NS, MERLIN FISH FARMS/WENTWORTH VALLEY NS, MERLIN FISH FARMS/WENTWORTH VALLEY NS, MERLIN FISH FARMS/WENTWORTH VALLEY NS, MERLIN FISH FARMS/WENTWORTH VALLEY NS, MERLIN FISH FARMS/MENTWORTH VALLEY NS, MERLIN FISH FARMS/DIGBY (AQUACULTURE) NS, MERLIN FISH FARMS/DIGBY (AQUACULTURE)	(AQUACULTURE) (AQUACULTURE BRODDSTOCK) (AQUACULTURE BRODDSTOCK) GLACE BAY GLACE BAY GLACE BAY CLACE BAY CLACE BAY CLACE BAY CLACE BAY CLACE BAY CLACE BAY CLACE BAY CLACE BAY CLACE COURTINE OUT OF QUARANTINE OUT OF QUARANTINE (AQUACULTURE)
4050 NB, FUNDY SALMON LTD	1994	500000 G EGGS	NS, MERLIN FISH FARMS 1994 500000	(AQUACULTURE)
SAIMO SALAR [LANDLOCKED ATLANTIC SALMON] 8005 ME, GRAND LAKE STREAM HATCHERY 9002 ME, GRAND LAKE STREAM HATCHERY	1988 1989	25000 EGGS 50000 EGGS	NS, M MULLEN/WEYMOUTH (REARING) NS, FRASERS MILLS HATCHERY	BEAR RIVER (AQUACULTURE)
<pre>SALVELINUS ALPINUS [ARCTIC CHAR] 8001 MAN, ROCKWOOD HATCHERY 9001 MAN, ROCKWOOD HATCHERY 9003 MAN, ROCKWOOD HATCHERY 9003 NAN, ROCKWOOD HATCHERY 9014 NB, PURTILL, SUSSEX 9015 NB, PURTILL, SUSSEX 0030 NB, PURTILL, SUSSEX 0031 NB, PURTILL, SUSSEX 0032 NB, PURTILL, SUSSEX 0032 NB, PURTILL, SUSSEX 0032 NB, PURTILL, SUSSEX 0033 NB, PURTILL, SUSSEX 0035 NB, PURTILL, SUS</pre>	E 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 11	1600 EGGS 3000 EGGS 100000 EGGS 100000 EGGS 10000 EGGS 45000 FRY 5000 FRY 5000 FING 30000 FING 10000 EGGS	NS, NOVA AQUA SMOLT NS, SALMONID PROPAGATION ASSOC LTD NS, MICMAC SMOLTS NS, BALMONID PROPAGATION ASSOC LTD NS, BRAS D'OR SALMON (TEST) NS, SALMONID PROPAGATION ASSOC LTD NS, LOCH BRAS D'OR SALMON NS, LOCH BRAS D'OR SALMON NS, SALMONID PROPAGATION ASSOC LTD NS, SALMONID PROPAGATION ASSOC LTD	GLACE BAY (AQUACULTURE) GLACE BAY (AQUACULTURE) WEYMOUTH LITTLE NARROWS ST PETERS (EXPERIMENTAL) ST PETERS (EXPERIMENTAL) ST PETERS ST PETERS ST PETERS
SALVELINUS FONTINALIS [BROOK TROUT] 8002 ME, PHILLIPS HATCHERY 1023 NB, GREENACRES TROUT H,GRAND DIGUE 2081 QUE, PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS 2082 PEI, BROOK VALLEY MARINE FARM, SOURIS 3023 PEI, BROOK VALLEY MARINE FARM, SOURIS 3061 NB, GREENACRES FISH H,GRAND DIGUE 11	1988 1991 1992 1992 1993	100000 EGGS 400 3* 4* 50000 EGGS 10000 EGGS 10000 FISH 20000 EGGS	NS, NSDF/FRASERS MILLS H (REARING) P NS, LARRY PEDERSON/AMHERST NS, MERLIN FF/WENTWORTH VALLEY NS, C4G TROUT FARMS/MIDDLETON NS, C4G TROUT FARMS/MIDDLETON NS, ROYAL STEVENS/MULGRAVE	VARIOUS WATERS (STOCKING)

1986-1994
TRANSFERS,
AND
INTRODUCTIONS
SALMONID
оF
SUMMARY

	LOCATION (STOCK/STRAIN)	YEAR	NUMBER STAGE SI	PONSOR/FACILITY (PURPOSE)	YEAR NU	JMBER	STAGE	- FINAL DISPOSITION LOCATION (PURPOSE	
ONCORHYI 8004 IN,	NCHUS MYKISS [RAINBOW TROUT] . MIXSABAH HATCHERY (/SKAMANIA)	1988	56000 E EGGS O	NT, OMNR/NORMANDALE H (QUAR)	1988	30000	FING	SEE NEXT LINE	
8006 MAI 9005 IN,	V, ROCKWOOD HATCHERY (DOM/TAGWERKER) . TWIN BRANCH H (LK MICHIGAN/SKAM)	1988 1989	25000 E EGGS OI 80000 E EGGS OI	NI, NUKRANDALE HAICHEKI NT, PINE VALLEY HATCHERY (QUAR) NT, OMNR/NORMANDALE H (QUAR)	5861 5861	35000 35000	YEAR YEAR FING	GEURGIAN BAT (RESTURA PRIVATE POND (AQUACUL SEE NEXT LINE	TURE)
0002 IN,	. TWIN BRANCH H (LK MICHIGAN/SKAM)	*1990	01 115000 E EGGS ON	NT, NORMANDALE HATCHERY NT, OMNR/NORMANDALE H (QUAR) NG, NORMANDALE H (QUAR)	0661	81000 45000	YEAR FING	GEORGIAN BAY (RESTORA SEE NEXT LINE	(NOIT
1002 WA. 2002 WA. 4051 QUF	 BEITEYS RESORT (DOMESTIC SP RUN) BEITEYS RESORT (DOMESTIC SP RUN) PISCICULTURE 	*1991 1992 1995	70000 E EGGS OF 70000 E EGGS OF 70000 E EGGS OF	NI, UNCREAUZALE HALCHERY (QUAR) NT, OMNR/ALMA HATCHERY (QUAR) NT, U OF GUELEH(ALMA QUAR FAC) I NT, SPRING VALLEY TROUT FARM	1992 1992 1995 1995		TEAR FING E EGGS	GEORGLAN BAI (RESIONA (PRIVATE AQUACULTURE) PRIVATE SECTOR (VARIO AQUACULTURE	(NOTI
SALMO SI 7010 NS,	NLAR [ATLANTIC SALMON] COLDBROOK FCS (LAHAVE RIVER)	*1987	50000 E EGGS ON	NT, OMNR/NORMANDALE H (QUAR)	1988	35000	FING	SEE NEXT LINE	
7003 ME,	GREEN LK H (PENOBSCOT RIVER)	*1987	58000 E EGGS ON	NORMANDALE HATCHERY NT, OMNR/NORMANDALE H (QUAR)	1989	27000	YEAR	LK ONTARIO (RESTORATI SEE NEXT LINE	(NO
7002 SCC), ALLT MOR HATCHERY (LOCAL RIVER)	*1987	35000 E EGGS ON	NOKMANDALE HATCHERY NT, OMNR/NORMANDALE H (QUAR)	1987	00072	YEAR	LK ONTARIO (RESTORATI SEE NEXT LINE	(NO
7011 NB,	MACTAQUAC FCS (SAINT JOHN RIVER)	*1987	35000 E EGGS ON	NORMANDALE HATCHERY NT, OMNR/NORMANDALE H (QUAR)	1987	25000	FING	(PRIVATE AQUACULTURE) SEE NEXT LINE	
1003 NS, 8011 NS,	MERSEY HATCHERY COLDBROOK FCS (LAHAVE RIVER)	*1987 *1988	800 FING ON 61000 E EGGS ON	NORMANDALE HATCHERY NT, ONTARIO HYDRO (RESEARCH) NT, OMNR/NORMANDALE H (QUAR)	1988 1988 1989	FING	YEAR	(PRIVATE AQUACULTURE) STOCK DESTROYED SEE NEXT LINE	
8011 9001 NS,	COLDBROOK FCS (LAHAVE RIVER)	*1989	60000 E EGGS ON	NORMANDALE HATCHERY NT, OMNR/NORMANDALE H (QUAR)	1990	32000 40500	YEAR	LK ONTARIO (RESTORATI SEE NEXT LINE	(NO
9001 9003 NS,	COLDBROOK FCS (LAHAVE RIVER)	*1990	80000 E EGGS ON	NORMANDALE HAICHERI NORMANDALE HATCHERY NT, OMNR/NORMANDALE H (QUAR)		4500 35000	YEAR YEAR FING	LK ONTAKIO (RESTORATI INLAND RESTORATION SEE NEXT LINE	(N)
0003 1004 NS,	COLDBROOK FCS (LAHAVE RIVER)	1991	60000 E EGGS ON	NORMANDALE HATCHERY NT, OMNR/NORMANDALE H (QUAR)	P1992 P1992	32000	YEAR	LK ONTARIO (RESTORATI SEE NEXT LINE	(NO
2001 NB, 2004 NS,	ST ANDREWS RESEARCH STA(UNKNOWN) COLDBROOK HAT (LAHAVE RIVER)	1991 P1992	40000 EGGS UN 80000 E EGGS ON	KINGWOUD FCS NIVERSITY OF GUELPH (RESEARCH) NT, OMNR/NORMANDALE H (QUAR) I	P1992 P1993 P	40000 50000	YEAR SAC FRY FING	LK ONTAKIO (RESTORATI DESTROYED RINGWOOD FCS	(NO
2132 NS,	COLDBROOK HAT (LAHAVE RIVER)	1993	78000 E EGGS ON	OMNR LAKE ONTARIO NT, OMNR/NORMANDALE H (QUAR) I	P1994 P1994	55000	YEAR FING	REHAB STOCKING SEE NEXT LINE	
3134 NS,	COLDBROOK HAT (LAHAVE RIVER)	P1994	80000 E EGGS ON	KINGWOUD HAICHEKI NT, OMNK/NORMADALE H (QUAR) I	1112 1122 1122 1122 1122 1122 1122 112	55000	FING	LK ONTAKIO (KEHAB STO SEE NEXT LINE	CKING)
4052 NS,	COLDBROOK HAT (LAHAVE RIVER)	P1995	60000 G EGGS ON	KINGWOOD HAICHERI NT, OMNR/NORMANDALE H	P1995	00000	IEAK G EGGS	LA UNIAKIO (KEHAB SIO DEV OF BROODSTOCK	CONTRO
SALMO SI 6002 NY,	NLAR [LANDLOCKED ATLANTIC SALMON] ADIRONDACK H (LITTLE CLEAR POND)	*1986	3400 E EGGS ON	NT, OMNR/NORMANDALE H (QUAR)	1987			SEE NEXT LINE	
8005 ME,	GRAND LK STREAM H (WEST GRAND LK)	*1988	75000 E EGGS ON	NEWANDALE HATCHERY NT, OMNR/NORMANDALE H (QUAR)	1988	- 1000	FING	LK ONTARIO (RESTORATI SEE NEXT LINE	(NO
9002 ME,	GRAND LK STREAM H (WEST GRAND LK)	1989	63000 E EGGS ON	JRMANDALE HATCHERY VT, OMNR/NORMANDALE H (QUAR)	1989	0000	YEAR FING	LK ONTARIO (RESTORATI SEE NEXT LINE	
0006 ME, 0006 000	GRAND LK STREAM H (WEST GRAND LK)	*1990	110000 E EGGS ON NG	JEVANUALE RALLERAT APPANDALE & ALMA (QUAR NEWANDALE & ALMA HATCHERIES DRMANDALE & ALMA HATCHERIES	R)1990 1991 1991	81000 81000 24000	I EAR F ING YEAR YEAR	LA UNIARIO (RESIDENTI SEE NEXT 2 LINES (REHABILITATION STOCK BROODSTOCK DEV	(SNI)
SALVELIN 7007 NB, 7009 ICE	US ALPINUS [ARCTIC CHAR] HUNTENAN MARINE LABORATORY , UNIVERSITY OF ICELAND	1987 1987	30 FING ON 3000 EGGS ON	VT, SIR WILFRED U (RESEARCH) VT, U OF GUELPH (RESEARCH)				STOCK DESTROYED STOCK DESTROYED	

STOCK DESTROYED STOCK DESTROYED

ONT, SIR WILFRED U (RESEARCH) ONT, U OF GUELPH (RESEARCH)

ONTARIO

ONTARIO	<pre>SPONSOR/FACILITY (PURPOSE) YEAR NUMBER STAGE LOCATION (PURPOSE)</pre>	ONT, U OF GUELPH (RESEARCH) ONT, U OF GUELPH (RESEARCH) STOCK DESTROYED SONT, DINE VALLEY HATCHERY (QUAR) 1989 SONT, ONTERIO VET COLLEGE (ACC DEV) ALL FICH DIED	SOUT, COLDMATER & ALMA H (QUAR) P1990 20000 FING (PRIVATE AC BROODSTOCK) SOUT, OMN/COLDMATE ALMA H (QUAR) 1991 30000 FING (PRIVATE AQCENCODSTOCK) SOUT, OMN/COLDMATE ALMA H (QUAR) 1991 30000 FING (PRIVATE AQUACULTURE) SS ONT, COLDWATER & ALMA H (QUAR) P1992 SEE NEXT 2 LINES	COLDWATER & ALMA HATCHERIES P1992 FING (AQC BROODSTOCK) COLDWATER & ALMA HATCHERIES P1992 FING (AQC BROODSTOCK) SU OF CULEPH (ALMA OUAR UNIT) P1993 SEE NEXT LINE	ONT, LAKEHEAD UNIVERSITY 1992 4000 FING PRIVATE SECT (BROODSTOCK DEV)	ONT, U OF OTTAWA (RESEARCH)	55 ONT, OMNR/NORMANDALE QUAR UNIT 1991 65000 FING SEE NEXT LINE WHITE LAKE HATCHERY P1992 51000 YEAR LK ONTARIO (REHABILITATION)	LAKE ONTARIO 55 ONT, OMNR/NORMANDALE H (QUAR) P1992 14000 FING SEE NEXT LINE 041700 1700 1700 20000 FING SEE NEXT LINE	S WHILL LARE HAICHERT FI993 50000 IEAK LA UNTAILO (REHAB & BRU SIUCK) 55 OMNY, OMNY, NORMANDALE H (QUAR) P1993 60000 FING SEE NEXT LINE	NORMANDALE FCSP 1944 50000 YEAR REHAB & BKOODSTOCK DEV 55 ONT, OMNR/NORMANDALE H (QUAR) P1994 60000 FING SEE NEXT LINE NORMINITE FCED	NUMERANDALE FUSE F1993 JUGUU LEAN LA UNIARIO (KERAB & DAUDDSLUCK DEV) ONT, BAYFIELD INSTITUTE 1994 5000 EGGS TO BE DESTROYED SONT, OMNR/NORMANDALE H (QUAR) P1995 60000 FING SEE NEXT LINE DAUDDSLUCK DEV)	NDRMANDALK FUSP AND ALL
	STAGE SPONS	FING ONT, EGGS ONT, E EGGS ONT, E EGGS ONT,	E EGGS ONT, E EGGS ONT, E EGGS ONT,	COL COL E EGGS U OF	FING ONT,	FISH ONT,	G EGGS ONT, WHI	G EGGS ONT,	G EGGS ONT,	G EGGS ONT,	G EGGS ONT,	
1986-1994	R NUMBER	7 200 8 2000 8 5000	9 30000 0 67000 1 68800	2 5000	2 500	ROOK] 8 500	00002 0	1 70000	2 70000	3 70000	4 70000	
ANSFERS,	YEA	ED 198 .K) 198 *198 *198	08000 0000 1777 1888	P199	199	US [CHARB 198	*199	199	P199.	199.	661d	
OF SALMONID INTRODUCTIONS AND TR	ORIGINAL SOURCE LOCATION (STOCK/STRAIN)	WUS ALPINUS [ARCTIC CHAR] CONTINU HUNTSMAN MARINE LABORATORY E, U OF ICELAND (THINCVALLAVAIN L N, ROCKWOOD H (FRASER R, LAB) I, ROCKWOOD H (FRASER R, LAB)	V. ROCKWOOD H. (FRASER R, LAB) V. ROCKWOOD HATCHERY (VARIOUS\) V. ROCKWOOD HATCHERY (VARIOUS\)	1, ROCKWOOD HATCHERY (VARIOUS)	UNIVERSITY OF N B (VARIOUS)	UUS FONTINALIS X SALVELINUS ALPIN 2, SILVER SPRINGS HATCHERY	WUS NAMAYCUSE [LAKE TROUT] SENECA LAKE (SENECA LAKE/WILD)	SENECA LAKE (SENECA LAKE/WILD)	SENECA LAKE (SENECA LAKE/WILD)	SENECA LAKE (SENECA LAKE/WILD)	ALLEGHENY NFH (LAKE ERIE) SENECA LAKE (SENECA LAKE/WILD)	
SUMMARY	FILE L	SALVELIN 7006 NB, 8009 ICE 8007 MAN 9006 MAN	9003 MAN 0001 MAN 1001 MAN	1001 1001 2005 MAN	2005 3131 NB,	SALVELIN 8008 QUE	SALVELIN 1005 NY, 1005	AN 9001	2003 NY,	3130 NY,	3133 PA, 3135 NY,	0100

FILE ORIGINAL SOURCE LOCATION (STOCK/STRAIN)	YEAR	NUMBER STAGE SPONSOR/FACILITY (PUI	RPOSE) YEAR	NUMBER STAGE	FINAL DISPO LOCATION (PU	SITION IRPOSE)
ONCORHYNCHUS KISUTCH [COHO SALMON] 9017 BC, PRIVATE AQUACULTURE FACILITY 0009 BC, CHILLIWACK RIVER HATCHERY 1008 BC, BIG QUALICUM HATCHERY 2013 BC, BIG QUALICUM HATCHERY 3096 BC, BIG QUALICUM HATCHERY 4055 BC, BIG QUALICUM HATCHERY	1998 1990 1992 1993 1993	10000 E EGGS PEI, AQUA HEALTH (VACCII 40000 E EGGS PEI, AQUA HEALTH (VACCII 40000 E EGGS PEI, AQUA HEALTH (VACCII 50000 E EGGS PEI, AQUA HEALTH (VACCII 21000 E EGGS PEI, AQUA HEALTH (VACCII 10000 E EGGS PEI, AQUA HEALTH (VACCII	NE DEV) NE DEV) NE RESEARCH) NE RESEARCH) NE RESEARCH) NE RESEARCH) NE RESEARCH)		TO BE DESTROYED TO BE DESTROYED TO BE DESTROYED TO BE DESTROYED TO BE DESTROYED TO BE DESTROYED	
ONCORHYNCHUS MYKISS [RAINBOW TROUT] ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS HATCHERY 7004 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS HATCHERY 7007 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS HATCHERY 7003 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS HATCHERY 7002 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS HATCHERY 7011 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS HATCHERY 7010 ONT, VAN ADUA INC	1986 1987 1987 1987 1987 1987	50000 EGGS PEI, GLYNDE RIVER AQUACU 50000 FING PEI, SILVER SEA AQUACU 50000 FING PEI, SILVER SEA AQUACUU 25000 FING PEI, BROOKVALLEY MARINE 100000 EGGS PEI, GLYNDE RIVER AQUACU 100000 EGGS PEI, GLYNDE RIVER AQUACU 75000 EGGS PEI, GLYNDE RIVER AQUACU 25000 EGGS PEI, BROOKVALLEY MARINE 20000 EGGS PEI, BROOKVALLEY MARINE	ULTURE TURE FARMS ULTURE SYSTEMS FARMS FARMS		BREADALBANE (AQU LITTLE YORK (AQU SOURIS (AQUACULI BREADALBANE (AQUAC BREADALBANE (AQUA BREADALBANE (AQUACULI)	ACULTURE) (ACULTURE) (URE) (URE) (ACULTURE) (ACULTURE) (ACULTURE) (ACULTURE)
7009 QUE, PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS 7008 WA, BEITEYS RESORT 7001 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS HATCHERY 7005 ANT PARANDOM SPRINGS HATCHERY	1987 1987 1987	15000 FING PEI, EDWARD MURPHY 200000 FING PEI, INTEGRATED AQUATIC 50000 EGGS PEI, GINNDE RIVER AQUACIC	SYSTEMS ULTURE		KENSINGTON (AQUA BROOKVALE (AQUAC BREADALBANE (AQUAC	CULTURE) CULTURE) MACULTURE)
7000 ONL, MAINOW JEALNOS HAICHEAL 7012 ONT, AQUAFARMS CANADA 8001 QUE, PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS 8002 WA, BEITEYS RESORT	1988 1988 1988	20000 FING FEL, BROGNALLEI WARNE 30000 EGGS PEL, BROGNVALLEY MARINE 50000 FING PEL, BROGNALLEY MARINE 200000 EGGS PEL, BROGNALLEY MARTNE	FARMS FARMS RING) FARMS		SOURIS (AQUACULI SOURIS (AQUACULI HUNTER R (AQUACULI SOURIS (AQUACULI	URE) URE) URE) URE)
8003 WA, BEITEYS RESORT 8004 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS HATCHERY 8005 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS HATCHERY	11988	250000 EGGS PEI, INTEGRATED AQUATIC 125000 EGGS PEI, GLYNDE RIVER AQUACI 25000 TR EGG PEI, GLYNDE RIVER AQUACI	s (REARING) ULTURE ULTURE		BROOKVALE (AQUAC GLYNDE R (AQUACU GLYNDE R (AQUACU	ULTURE) ILTURE) ILTURE)
9001 ONT, KAINBOW SFRINGS HATCHERY 9002 ONT, RAINBOW SFRINGS HATCHERY 9003 ONT, RAINBOW SFRINGS HATCHERY 9004 ONT, RAINBOW SFRINGS HATCHERY	1111 1089 1089 1089 1089 1089 1089 1089	25000 FING PEI, DOVER FISH HATCHER, 43500 E EGGS PEI, DOVER FISH HATCHER, 68500 E EGGS PEI, BONCWALLEY MARINE 20000 F FGCS PEI ANNA HEATTH (VASCTIN	Y Y FARMS) NF DEVI		DOVER (AQUACULTU DOVER (AQUACULTU SOURIS (AQUACULT TO BF DFSTDOVED	RE) RE) URE)
9005 WA, BEITEVS RESORT 9009 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS HATCHERY 9010 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS HATCHERY	10000 0000 0000 0000 0000	24384 E EGGS PEL, AQUA HEALTH (VACCH 75000 E EGGS PEL, AQUA HEALTH (VACCH 75000 E EGGS PEL, BROOKVALLEF MARINE 10000 E EGGS PEL, AQUA HEALTH (VACCIN	NE DEV) FARMS NE DEV)		TO BE DESTROYED SOURIS (AQUACULI TO BE DESTROYED	URE)
0007 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS HATCHERY 0012 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS HATCHERY 0001 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS HATCHERY	1989	10000 E EGGS PEI, AQUA HEALTH (VACCIN 10000 E EGGS PEI, AQUA HEALTH (VACCIN 50000 TR EGG PEI, DOVER FIAH HATCHERN	NE DEV)		TO BE DESTROYED TO BE DESTROYED DOVER (AQUACULTU	RE)
0002 ONL, KAINBOW SPRINGS HATCHER 0003 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS HATCHERY 0004 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS HATCHERY 0005 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS HATCHERY	1990 1990 1990	165100 E EGG PEL, DOVER FISH HATCHER, 20000 TR EGG PEL, DOVER FISH HATCHER, 200000 E EGGS PEL, DOVER FISH HATCHER, 100000 E EGGS PEL, DOVER FISH HATCHER,	ххх		DOVER (AQUACULTU DOVER (AQUACULTU DOVER (AQUACULTU DOVER (AQUACULTU	RE) RE) RE)
0006 WA, BEITEYS RESORT 1001 QUE, PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS 1002 WA, BEITEYS RESORT	1991 1991 1991	20000 E EGGS PEI, AQUA HEALTH (VACCIN 25000 E EGGS PEI, BROOKVALLEY MARINE 20000 E EGGS PEI, AQUA HEALTH (VACCIN	NE DEV) FARMS NE RESEARCH)		CHARLOTTETOWN (I SOURIS (AQUACULI TO BE DESTROYED	JESTROYED) (URE)
1003 QUE, PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS 1004 NB, GREENACRES TROUT HATCHERY 1005 QUE, PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS	1991 1991 1991	50000 E EGGS PEI, DOVER FISH HATCHEN, 4000 FING PEI, AQUA HEALTH (VACCIN 10000 FING PEI, DOVER FISH HATCHEN,	Y NE RESEARCH) Y		DOVER (AQUACULTU TO BE DESTROYED DOVER (AQUACULTU	IRE) IRE)
1006 NB, GREENACRES TROUT HATCHERY 1007 NB, SEA FARMS (CANADA) 1019 NB, GREENACRES TROUT HATCHERY	1991 1991 1992	1500 FING PEI, AQUA HEALTH (VACCI) 40000 E EGGS PEI, AQUA HEALTH (VACCI) 35000 E EGGS PEI, BROOKVALLEY MARINE	NE RESEARCH) NE RESEARCH) FARMS		TO BE DESTROYED TO BE DESTROYED SOURIS (AQUACULI	:URE)
2014 QUE, PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS 2015 NB, GREENACRES TROUT HATCHERY 2016 NB, GREENACRES TROUT HATCHERY 2017 NB, GREENACRES TROUT HATCHERY 2018 NB, GREENACRES TROUT HATCHERY	1992 1992 1992 1992	75000 E EGGS PEI, DOVER FISH HATCHERN 2000 FING PEI, AQUA HEALTH (VACCIN 4500 FING PEI, AQUA HEALTH (VACCIN 1000 FING PEI, AQUA HEALTH (VACCIN 20000 FING PEI, BROOKVALLEY MARINE 20000 FING PEI, BROOKVALLEY MARINE	Y NE RESEARCH) NE RESEARCH) NE RESEARCH) FARMS		DOVER (AQUACULTU TO BE DESTROYED TO BE DESTROYED TO BE DESTROYED TO BE DESTROYED SOURIS (AQUACULT	IRE) (URE)

PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND

SUMMARY OF SALMONID INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSF	FERS, 19	86-1994	PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND
FILE ORIGINAL SOURCE LOCATION (STOCK/STRAIN)	YEAR	NUMBER STAGE SPONSOR/FACILITY (PURPOSE) YEAR NUMBER STAGE	- FINAL DISPOSITION LOCATION (PURPOSE)
ONCORHYNCHUS MYKISS [RAINBOW TROUT] CONTINUE 2019 WA, BEITEYS RESORT 2054 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS HATCHERY 3090 QUE, PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS 3091 QUE, PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS (SEX REV) 3092 WA, TROUTLODGE INC 3093 ONT, RAINBOW SPRINGS HATCHERY 4056 WA, TROUTLODGE INC 4056 QUE, PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS 4058 QUE, PISCICULTURE ALLEGHANYS 4059 NB, GREENACRES TROUT HATCHERY	ED 1992 1993 1993 1993 1994 1994 1994 1994	10000 E EGGS PEI, AQUA HEALTH (VACCINE RESEARCH) 60000 E EGGS PEI, BROOKVALLEY MARINE FARM/SOURIS 60000 E EGGS PEI, DOVER FISH HATCHERY 10 GONADS PEI, BROOKVALLEY MARINE FARM/SOURIS 20000 E EGGS PEI, DOVER FISH HATCHERY 1000 FNV PEI, AQUA HEALTH (VACCINE RESEARCH) 55000 E EGGS PEI, DOVER FISH HATCHERY 25000 E EGGS PEI, DOVER FISH HATCHERY 25000 E EGGS PEI, DOVER FISH HATCHERY 25000 E EGGS PEI, DOVER FISH MATCHERY 25000 E EGGS PEI, DOVER FISH MATCHERY 25000 E EGGS PEI, DOVER FISH MATCHERY 25000 E FISH SALTH (VACCINE RESEARCH) 25000 E FISH SALTH (VACCINE RESEARCH)	TO BE DESTROYED SOURIS (AQUACULTURE) DOVER (AQUACULTURE) DOVER (AQUACULTURE) DOVER (AQUACULTURE) DOVER (AQUACULTURE) DOVER (AQUACULTURE) DOVER (AQUACULTURE) DOVER (AQUACULTURE) SOURIS (AQUACULTURE) TO BE DESTROYED
SALVELINUS ALPINUS [ARCTIC CHAR] 7013 MAN, ROCKWOOD HATCHERY 8006 NB, HUNTSMAN MARINE LABORATORY 9006 NB, HML (FRASER R, LABRADOR) 9008 MAN, ROCKWOOD H (FRASER R, LABRADOR) 0018 NB, PURTILL B FISH 0019 NB, PURTILL B FISH 0020 NB, PURTILL B FISH 0021 NB, PURTILL B FISH	<pre>L 1 1 4 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6</pre>	<pre>5000 EGGS PEI, ATL VETERINARY COLLEGE 500 FING PEI, INTEGRATED AQUATICS (REARING) 5000 E EGGS PEI, IAS/BROOKVALE (QUARANTINE) 1989 45600 FING 3000 E EGGS PEI, DOVER FISH HATCHERY 12000 E EGGS PEI, IAS (EXP QUARANTINE PROGRAM) 1990 131397 FING 88000 E EGGS PEI, IAS (EXP QUARANTINE PROGRAM) 1990 131397 FING 15000 S FRY PEI, IAS (EXP QUARANTINE PROGRAM) 15000 S FRY PEI, IAS (EXP QUARANTINE PROGRAM)</pre>	BROOKVALE (AQUACULTURE) BROOKVALE (AQUACULTURE) DOVER (BROODSTOCK DEV) DENOKVALE (AQUACULTURE) -RELEASED FROM QUARANTINE, BROOKVALE (AQUACULTURE)
SALVELINUS FONTINALIS [BROOK TROUT] 7006 ONT, WILDCAT TROUT FARM	1987	20000 JUV PEI, GLYNDE RIVER AQUACULTURE	BREADALBANE (AQUACULTURE)
<pre>SAIMO SALAR [ATLANTIC SALWON] 8007 NB, HML (SAINT JOHN CULTURED) 9007 NB, BOF CAGE SITE (SAINT JOHN CULTURED) 9011 NB, BOF CAGE SITE (SAINT JOHN RIVER) 9013 NB, BOF CAGE SITE (SAINT JOHN RIVER) 9013 NB, SEA FARMS CANADA 9014 NS, MERSEY FCS 9014 NS, MERSEY FCS 9014 NS, MERSEY FCS 9015 NB, MILATE FACILITY 9016 NOR, PRIVATE AQUACULTURE FACILITY 9015 NB, MIRAMICHI FCS (MIRAMICHI) 0010 NB, SEA FARMS CANADA 0011 NB, SEA FARMS CANADA 0017 NB, MIRAMICHI FCS (MIRAMICHI/NW) 0023 NB, MIRAMICHI FCS (MIRAMICHI/NW)</pre>	8 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	<pre>45000 FRY PEI, ATL VET COLLEGE (REARING 50000 ENY PEI, ATL VET COLLEGE (REARING 1500 FRY PEI, ATL VET COLLEGE (RESEARCH) 15000 FNG PEI, ATL VET COLLEGE (RESEARCH) 10000 E EGGS PEI, AQUA HEALTH (VACCINE DEV) 10000 E EGGS PEI, AQUA HEALTH</pre>	(AQUACULTURE) BROOKVALE (AQUACULTURE) TO BE DESTROYED TO BE DESTROYED
0025 NB, COLUBROOK FCS 0025 NB, SALMON DEMONSTRATION FARM 1009 ME, KENNEBEC AQUACULTURE 1010 NB, SEA FARMS (CANADA) 1011 NB, SEA FARMS (CANADA) 1012 ME, KENNEBEC AQUACULTURE 1013 NS, COLDBROOK FCS 1014 NB, SEA FARMS (CANADA) 1014 NB, SEA FARMS (CANADA) 1016 NB, SALMON DEMONSTRATION FARM 1017 NH, NEW ENG FISH FARM ENT	0691 1991 1991 1991 1991 1991 1991 1991	20000 E EGGS PEI, AQUA HEAITH (VACCINE DEV) 250 1 Kg PEI, ATL VET COLLEGE (RESEARCH) 2500 PARR PEI, AQUA HEAITH (VACCINE RESEARCH) 1600 PARR PEI, AQUA HEAITH (VACCINE RESEARCH) 5000 PARR PEI, AQUA HEAITH (VACCINE RESEARCH) 6000 PARR PEI, AQUA HEAITH (VACCINE RESEARCH) 350 SMOLT PEI, AQUA HEAITH (VACCINE RESEARCH) 350 SMOLT PEI, AVC (FISH HEAITH RESEARCH) 60 ADULT PEI, AVC (FISH HEAITH RESEARCH) 19000 FRY PEI, AVC (FISH HEAITH RESEARCH)	TO BE DESTROYED TO BE DESTROYED SOURLS (BROODSTOCK DEV)

PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND

SPOSITION (PURPOSE)	STOCK DEV) STOCK DEV) STOCK DEV) (ED (ED (ED) (ED) (ED) (ED) (ED)
- FINAL DI LOCATION	SOURIS (BROOD) TO BE DESTROD SOURIS (BROOD) TO BE DESTROD TO BE DESTROD TO BE DESTROD SOURIS (AQUA TO BE DESTROD TO BE DESTROD TO BE DESTROD
STAGE	FRY FRY FRY
NUMBER	22000
YEAR	(CH) 1992 (CEH) (CH) 1993 1993 (CH) (CH) (CH)
SFERS	MARINE FARMS (VACCINE RESEAR (VACCINE RESEAR (VACCINE RESEAR (VACCINE RESEAR (VACCINE RESEAR MARINE/SOURIS MARINE/SOURIS (VACCINE RESEAR (VACCINE RESEAR
TRAN SOR/FACILITY	BROOKVALLEY BQUA HEALTH BQUA HEALTH AQUA HEALTH AQUA HEALTH AQUA HEALTH BROOKVALLEY BROOKVALLEY AQUA HEALTH AQUA HEALTH AQUA HEALTH
spon	A C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
NUMBER STAGE	10000 FRY 5000 FRR 30000 EEGG 90000 PARR 10000 PARR 125 PARR 125 PARR 125 PARR 125 PARR 125 PARR 30000 G EGG 300000 G EGG 300000 G EGG 5000 FING
YEAR	1992 1992 19992 19993 19993 19993 1993
ORIGINAL SOURCE OCATION (STOCK/STRAIN)	LAR [ATLANTIC SALMON] CONTINUED BRIDEN CONSULTANTS LTD KENNEBEC AQUACULTURE INC BRIDEN CONSULTANTS LTD SEA FARMS (CANADA) MERSEY FCS KENNEBEC AQUACULTURE INC BRIDEN CONSULTANTS LTD (ST JOHN) JAIL ISLAND SALMON LTD (ST JOHN) JAIL ISLAND SALMON LTD (ST JOHN) COLDBROK FCS (LAHAVE RIVER) MERLIN FISH FARMS
FILE	SALMO S7 1018 NB, 2020 ME, 2021 NB, 2022 NB, 2023 NS, 2024 ME, 2024 ME, 3094 NB, 3095 NB, 3095 NB, 3097 NS,

SUMMARY OF SALMONID INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSF	ERS, 19	86-1994						QUEBEC	
FILE ORIGINAL SOURCE LOCATION (STOCK/STRAIN)	YEAR	NUMBER STAGE	SPONSOR/FAC	TRANSFI	ERS	YEAR NUMBE	R STAGE	FINAL LOCATION	DISPOSITION (PURPOSE)
COREGONUS CLUPEAFORMIS [LAKE WHITEFISH] 8004 ONT, WHITELAKE HATCHERY	1988	700 FING	LAVAL UNIVE	RSITY P(RE	ESEARCH)		TO BE	DESTROYED	
COREGONUS LAVARETUS [LAKE WHITEFISH] 7003 FIN, (VAASA)	1987	150 G EGGS	LAVAL UNIVE	RSITY (RE	ESEARCH)	ъ		TO BE DESTI	SOYED
ONCORHYNCHUS KISUTCH [COHO SALMON] 7001 BC, ROSEMALD CREEK HATCHERY	1987	150 JUV	LAVAL UNIVE	RSITY (RE	ESEARCH)	С,		TO BE DEST	SOYED
ONCORHYNCHUS MYKISS [RAINBOW TROUT] 7002 ONT, AQUAFARMS CANADA 8001 PEI, GLYNDE RIVER AQUACULTURE 8002 ONT, REDBOW FARMS 8003 ONT, ABERFOYLE FISHERIES	1987 1988 1988	50000 EGGS 80000 FING 80000 FING 80000 FING	QUE, BILL N QUE, FERME QUE, FERME QUE, FERME	IOWELL ST MATHIE ST MATHIE ST MATHIE	0.00			(AQUACULTU) (AQUACULTU) (AQUACULTU) (AQUACULTU)	RE) RE-MARKET) RE-MARKET) RE-MARKET)
8005 ONT, SFRING VALLEY HAICHERY 9007 ONT, AQUAFARMS CANADA (DOMESTIC) 9003 ONT, SPRING VALLEY H (DOMESTIC)	1988 1988 1988	600000 EGGS 100000 EGGS	QUE, FERME QUE, FERME OUF, FERME	ST MATHIE ST MATHIE ST MATHIE	0.01			AQUACULTUI AQUACULTUI AQUACULTUI	KE-MARKET) RE-MARKET) RE-MARKET)
9008 ONT, SPRING VALLEY H (DOMESTIC) 0001 ONT, MITLORY TRAINE ADD	1989	1000000 EGGS	QUE, FERME	ST MATHIE	SU Trearby			(AQUACULTUI	RE-MARKET)
1001 ONT, RIDGOAL INCUT LAND 1001 ONT, RAINBOW SPRING HATCHERY	1991	1000 FRY	QUE, ANALEX	INC/LAB	TTTTTT / ST			BIOASSAY	
1003 ONT, RAINDOW SPRING HATCHERY	1991	1000 FRY	QUE, LAB. C	ENTRE ST-	IN DIEEL DAD			BIOASSAY	
2087 ONT, RAINBOW SPRING HATCHERY 2088 ONT, RAINBOW SPRING HATCHERY	1992 1992	1000 FRY 150000 EGGS	QUE, ANALEX OUE, FERME	C INC/LABC	JRATORY SU			BIOASSAY	RE)
2089 NY, HINCHENBROOKE HATCHERY	1992	350 YEAR	QUE, GRAHAM	I FLOWERS/	FISH POND			STOCKING	Ì
2091 NY, HINCHENBROOKE HATCHERY	1992	550 YEAR	QUE, FRED F	ARQUHAR/H	UND HSI			STOCKING	
2092 NY, HINCHENBROOKE HATCHERY 2093 ONT RAINROW SPRING HATCHERY	1992	500 YEAR 0150 FDV	QUE, GEORGE	TANTS BFI	TISH POND			STOCKING	
2094 ONT, RAINBOW SPRING HATCHERY	1992	2000 FRY	QUE, CONSUL	TANTS BEA	AK LTEE/LAB			BIOASS	
3098 ONT, SPRING VALLEY TROUT 3100 ONT, RAINBOW SPRING HATCHERY	1993	150000 EGGS 3500 FRY	QUE, TRUITE OUE, ANALEX	INC/LABC	LEU DRATORY			(AQUACULTU BIOASSAY	(E)
3101 NY, HINCHENBROOKE HATCHERY	1993	200 YEAR	QUE, CLAIRM	IONT FAILI	CINCA HSIJ/E			STOCKING	
3102 ONT, RAINBOW SPRING HATCHERY 3103 WA, TROUTLODGE	1993 1993	12000 FRY 200000 EGGS	QUE, CONSUI OUE, SCOTT	TANTS BEA GRAHAM/HI	AK/LABORATORY ATCHERY			BIOASSAY (AQUACULTU)	RE)
3104 ONT, RAINBOW SPRING HATCHERY	1993	10000 EGGS	QUE, ECO-CN	IFS/LABOR/	ATORY			BIOASSAY	
3105 WA, TROUTLODGE 3106 ONT, RAINROW SPRING HATCHERY	1993 1993	1000000 EGGS	QUE, MICHEI	BOMBARD	IER/HATCHERY			(AQUACULTU) BIDASSAY	KE)
3108 WA, TROUTLODGE	1993	100000 EGGS	QUE, JEAN-F	TERRE REV	/ILLE/HATCHERY			(AQUACULTU)	RE)
4061 WA, TROUTLODGE 4062 WA TROUTLODGE	1994	1150000 EGGS	QUE, TRUITE	DES SOUF	RCES 1990 INC/	IATCHERY		(AQUACULTU	RE)
4063 WA, TROUTSPRING FACILITIES	1994	100000 EGGS	QUE, LIMNO-	SERVICE/H	HATCHERY			(AQUACULTU)	E)
4064 ONT, RAINBOW SPRING HATCHERY	1994	3750 FRY	QUE, ENVIRO	NNEMENT I	CQ INC/LABORAT	TORY		BIOASSAY	
4000 UNL, KAINBOW SEKING HAICHERI 4066 ONT, RAINBOW TROUT HATCHERY	1994 1994	6000 FRY	QUE, ENVIRC	BIOLOGIE	ET TOXICITE	JKI		BIOASSAY	
4067 ONT, RAINBOW SPRING HATCHERY	1994	60000 FRY	QUE, LABORP	TOIRES EC	CO-CNFS			BIOASSAY	
4068 ONT, RAINBOW SPRING HATCHERY 4069 ONT. RAINBOW SPRING HATCHERY	1994	30000 FRY 1000 FRY	QUE, ROBERT OUE, CONSUI	TANTS BEZ	CH/HATCHERY MK TTEE/LABORA	rory		(AQUACULTU BIOASSAY	RE)
4070 ONT, RAINBOW SPRING HATCHERY 4071 ONT, RAINBOW SPRING HATCHERY	1994	2500 FRY 6000 FRY	QUE, ALAIN	BOURGEOIS	S/LABORATORY DRATORY			BIOASSAY	

CNIMIT AND CHIOTTOOAONTHE ATMONTUP TO THURMAD		****				
FILE ORIGINAL SOURCE LOCATION (STOCK/STRAIN)	YEAR	NUMBER STAGE	TRANSI SPONSOR/FACILITY	FERS	NUMBER STAG	E LOCATION (PURPOSE)
SAIMO SALAR [ATLANTIC SAIMON] 0005 NB, SEA FARMS, DIGDEGUASH 1004 NB, KELLY COVE BROODSTOCK	1990 1991	? SMOLTS 60000 EGGS	QUE, BAIE DES CHALF QUE, BAIE DES CHALF	SURS AQC SURS AQC		(AQUACULTURE) (AQUACULTURE)
SALVELINUS ALPINUS [ARCTIC CHAR] 9002 MAN, ROCKWOOD HATCHERY (MILD) 9005 MAN, ROCKWOOD HATCHERY (MILD) 9006 MAN, ROCKWOOD HATCHERY (MILD) 0002 BC, SUN VALLEY TROUT FARM 0003 MAN, ROCKWOOD HATCHERY	11989 11989 1989 19890 19890 19990	20000 FRY 5000 EGGS 5000 EGGS 15000 EGGS 15000 EGGS 15000 EGGS	QUE, INRS/RIMOUSKI QUE, J P THONNEY HJ QUE, RECHERCHE LA I QUE, PISCICULTURE J QUE, INRS/RIMOUSKI QUE, INRS/RIMOUSKI	(RESEARCH) ATCHERY BETITE NATION ALLEGHANYS (RESEARCH)		(AQUACULTURE) (AQUACULTURE)
1005 NB, GREENACRES IROUT HATCHERY 1006 NB, GREENACRES IROUT HATCHERY 1006 NB, GREENACRES IROUT HATCHERY	1661 1661	13000 EGGS	QUE, INSTITUT TECH QUE, PISCICULTURE /	VOLOGIE AGRICOLE ALLEGHANYS		RESEARCH (AQUACULTURE) RESEARCH
2007 NEW, WILDNOU TARNOI FARM 2016 NE, GREENACRES TROUT HATCHERY 2016 NE, GREENACRES TROUT HATCHERY 2017 NE, GREENACRES TROUT HATCHERY 3017 NE, GREENACRES TROUT HATCHERY 3107 NE, GREENACRES FROUT HATCHERY 3107 NE, GREENACRES FISHERIES 4072 NE, POLAR SEAS FISHERIES 4072 NE, GREENACRES FISH HATCHERY 3109 YUK, POLAR SEAS FISHERIES 4072 NE, FILLER STANG FISH HATCHERY 3001 NT, THISTLE SPRINGS FARM (DOMESTIC) 9004 ME, PHILLIPS HATCHERY (DOMESTIC)	1992 1992 1999 1999 1999 1999 1999 1999	13000 EGGS 3000 EGGS 15000 EGGS 30000 EGGS 35000 EGGS 35000 EGGS 130000 EGGS 130000 EGGS 130000 EGGS	OUE, MARAC (MALANCI OUE, FERME ST MATH OUE, FISCICULTURE J OUE, PISCICULTURE J OUE, PISCICULTURE J OUE, BAIE DES CHALI OUE, MAPAQ/HATCHER OUE, CENTRE DE PECI OUE, (HOLDING PRIO	TE TEU ALLEGHANYS ALLEGHANYS ALLEGHANYS SURS/HATCHERY C HE BLAINVILLE HE BLAINVILLE TO STOCKING ?)1989	10000 FRY	(RESEARCH) (AQUACULTURE) (AQUACULTURE) (AQUACULTURE) (AQUACULTURE) (AQUACULTURE) (AQUACULTURE) (AQUACULTURE) (AQUACULTURE) (POND FISHING) SJR, MAINE (STOCKING)

QUEBEC

SUMMARY (JF SALMONID INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSFE	IRS, 19	36-1994						RHODE ISL	DN
FILE	ORIGINAL SOURCE				TRANSFERS				- FINAL DI	SPOSITION
ŭ	DCATION (STOCK/STRAIN)	YEAR	NUMBER STAGE	SPON	SOR/FACILITY (PURPOSE)	YEAR	IUMBER	STAGE	LOCATION	(PURPOSE)
ОИСОRНУИ 6001 WA, 7001 WA, 3013 MA,	HUS MIKISS [RAINBOW IROUT] TROUT LODGE (UNKNOWN) TROUT LODGE (UNKNOWN) PLYMOUTH ROCK TROUT H (DOM)	1986 1987 1994	150000 EGGS 150000 EGGS 61500 EGGS	RI, RI, RI,	PERRYVILLE TROUT H (HISTOR)	P1988? P1989? (OF BF)		2+ 2+	STATEWIDE (S STATEWIDE (S	TOCKING) TOCKING)
SALMO SAI	LAR [ATLANTIC SALMON]									
2100 ME,	KENNEBEC H (PEN-ST JOHN)	1992	20300 PARR	RI,	RIDFW	1992	20300	PARR	PAWCATUCK R	(RESTORATION)
2101 RI,	PERRIVILE H (PAWCATUCK R)	1992	5000 PARR	RI,	RIDFW	1992	5000	PARR	PAWCATUCK R	(RESTORATION)
2102 MA,	N ATTLEBORO H (MERRIMAC R)	1992	2500 SMOLT	RI,	RIDFW	1992	2500	SMOLT	BEAVER RIVER	(URI RESEARCH)
2103 MA,	N ATTLEBORO H (MERRIMAC R)	1992	45500 PARR	RI,	RIDFW	1992	45500	PARR	PAWCATUCK R	(RESTORATION)
2104 NH,	NASHUA H (MERRIMAC R)	1992	5000 P/S	RI,	RIDFW	1992	5000	P/S	PAWCATUCK R	(RESTORATION)
2105 NH,	NEFFI, (PENOBSCOT)	1992	250000 E EGGS	RI,	RIDFW	1992 2	50000	E EGGS	PAWCATUCK R	(RESTORATION)
3006 MA,	N ATTLEBORO H (MERRIMAC R)	1993	77300 FRY	RI,	RIDFW	1993	77300	FRY	PAWCATUCK R	(RESTORATION)
3007 NH,	NASHUA H (MERRIMAC R)	1993	4000 PARR	RI,	RIDEW	1993	4000	PARR	PAWCATUCK R	(RESTORATION)
3008 VT,	WHITE RIVER H (ST JOHN R) (DOM)	1993	42000 FRY	RI,	RIDFW	1993	42000	FRY	PAWCATUCK R	(RESTORATION)
3009 MA,	N ATTLEBORO H (MERRIMAC R)	P1994	800000 FRY	RI,	RIDFW	P1994 8	00000	FRY	PAWCATUCK R	(RESTORATION)
3010 VT,	WHITE RIVER H (ST JOHN R) (DOM)	1993	3000 PARR	RI,	RIDFW	1993	3000	PARR	PAWCATUCK R	(RESTORATION)
3011 NH,	NE FISH FARMS (ST JOHN R) (DOM)	1993	7000 PARR	RI,	RIDEW	1993	7000	PARR	PAWCATUCK R	(RESTORATION)
3012 NH,	NE FISH FARMS (ST JOHN R) (DOM)	1993	245000 FRY	RI,	RIDFW	1993	45000	FRY	(RESTORATION	•
SALMO TRI	JTTA [BROWN TROUT]									
3014 VA,	PAINT BANK FCS (CRAWFORD, DOM)	1993	20000 EGGS	RI,	PERRYVILLE TROUT H (HISTOR)	Y OF BF)				
SALVELINT 3015 NY, 3016 ME,	JS FONTINALIS [BROOK TROUT] FERNWOOD TROUT H (DOMESTIC) PHILLIPS STATE FISH H (ASSINICA D)	1993 1992	50000 EGGS 39000 EGGS	RI, RI,	LAFAYETTE TROUT H (HISTORY LAFAYETTE TROUT H (HISTORY	OF BF) OF BF)				

YEAR NUMBER STAGE SPONSOR/FACILITY (PURPOSE) -----ORIGINAL SOURCE LOCATION (STOCK/STRAIN) FILE

SALMO SALAR [ATLANTIC SALMON] 3130 ME, GREEN LAKE (PENOBSCOT)

YEAR

------ FINAL DISPOSITION NUMBER STAGE LOCATION (PURPOSE) VERMONT

CONNECTICUT RIVER

FRY

P1994

1993 1000000 EGGS VT, WHITE RIVER FISH CULTURE

NORTH AMERICAN COMMISSION

NAC(95)9

NORTH AMERICAN COMMISSION'S REQUEST FOR SCIENTIFIC ADVICE TO THE SCIENTIFIC WORKING GROUP ON SALMONID INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSFERS

- 1. Provide an update of the inventory of the introduction and transfer of salmonids in the NAC Area and rivers flowing into the NAC Area. Advise on deviations from the NAC Protocols.
- 2. Provide an update on the status of scientific knowledge on the effects on wild salmon stocks, of using non-local strains of salmon and/or genetically modified salmon in marine and freshwater cage-rearing.
- 3. Provide a review of the fish health aspects of the NAC Protocols in light of the current reviews which are being undertaken of the Canadian Fish Health Protection Regulations, the New England Fish Health Guidelines, and amendments to the US Title 50 and the latest revision to the Fish Health Policy of the US Fish and Wildlife Service.

ANNEX 6

COUNCIL

CNL(95)49

REQUEST FOR SCIENTIFIC ADVICE FROM ICES

CNL(95)49

REQUEST FOR SCIENTIFIC ADVICE FROM ICES

- 1. With respect to Atlantic salmon in each Commission area:
 - a) describe the events of the 1995 fisheries;
 - b) describe the status of the stocks and, where appropriate, evaluate the causes for any changes in salmon abundance with special reference to changes in natural mortality;
 - c) identify data deficiencies and research requirements relevant to the management of salmon stocks.
- 2. Report on significant research developments which might assist NASCO with the management of salmon stocks, with special reference to:
 - a) possible explanations for changes in sea-age at maturity of Atlantic salmon;
 - b) the criteria for defining salmon stocks;
- 3. Update the evaluation of the effects of the following measures on the stocks and fisheries occurring in the respective Commission areas:
 - a) quota management and closures implemented after 1991 in the Canadian commercial salmon fisheries;
 - b) the suspension of commercial fishing activity at Faroes since 1991;
 - c) the suspension of commercial fishing activity during 1993 and 1994 at West Greenland.
- 4. With respect to the fishery in the West Greenland Commission area:
 - a) review the age specific target spawning levels in Canadian rivers;
 - b) provide catch options with an assessment of risks relative to the objective of achieving target spawning escapement.
- 5. With respect to fisheries and stocks in the North-East Atlantic Commission area:
 - a) provide estimates of age specific spawning targets;
 - b) provide catch options with an assessment of risks relative to the objective of achieving target spawning escapement;
- 6. With respect to Atlantic salmon in the NASCO area, provide a compilation of microtag, finclip and external tag releases by ICES Member Countries in 1995.

ANNEX 7

LIST OF NORTH AMERICAN COMMISSION PAPERS

- Paper No. Title
- NAC(95)1 Provisional Agenda
- NAC(95)2 Draft Agenda
- NAC(95)3 News Release Outlining 1995 Salmon Management Plan (Tabled by Canada)
- NAC(95)4 Draft Report of the Twelfth Annual Meeting
- NAC(95)5 Salmon Fisheries on St Pierre et Miquelon
- NAC(95)6 NASCO Tag Return Incentive Scheme 1995 Prize
- NAC(95)7 Agenda
- NAC(95)8 Report of Activities 1994/1995, NAC Scientific Working Group on Salmonid Introductions and Transfers
- NAC(95)9 North American Commission's Request for Scientific Advice to the Scientific Working Group on Salmonid Introductions and Transfers
- NAC(95)10 Report of the Twelfth Annual Meeting
- CNL(95)15 Report of the ICES Advisory Committee on Fishery Management
- CNL(95)49 Request for Scientific Advice from ICES
- **<u>NOTE:</u>** This list contains all papers submitted to the Commission prior to and at the meeting. Some, but not all, of these papers are included in this report as annexes.

REPORT OF THE

TWELFTH ANNUAL MEETING

OF THE

NORTH-EAST ATLANTIC COMMISSION

12-16 JUNE 1995 GLASGOW, SCOTLAND

CHAIRMAN:	MR PEKKA NISKANEN (FINLAND (EU))
VICE-CHAIRMAN:	MR ERNESTO PENAS (EU)
RAPPORTEUR:	MR PER IVAR BERGAN (NORWAY)
SECRETARY:	DR MALCOLM WINDSOR

NEA(95)11

CONTENTS

<u>PAGE</u>

REPORT OF	THE TWELFTH ANNUAL MEETING OF THE NORTH-EAST COMMISSION, 12-16 JUNE 1995, GLASGOW, SCOTLAND	65
ANNEX 1	AGENDA, NEA(95)7	75
ANNEX 2	REPORT OF THE NORTH-EAST ATLANTIC COMMISSION WORKING GROUP ON INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSFERS, NEA(95)3	77
ANNEX 3	INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSFERS, NEA(95)12	127
ANNEX 4	REGULATORY MEASURE FOR FISHING OF SALMON IN THE FAROE ISLANDS FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 1996, NEA(95)10	131
ANNEX 5	REQUEST FOR SCIENTIFIC ADVICE FROM ICES, CNL(95)49	133
ANNEX 6	LIST OF NORTH-EAST ATLANTIC COMMISSION PAPERS	135

NEA(95)11

REPORT OF THE TWELFTH ANNUAL MEETING OF THE NORTH-EAST ATLANTIC COMMISSION OF THE NORTH ATLANTIC SALMON CONSERVATION ORGANIZATION 12-16 JUNE 1995, HILTON HOTEL, GLASGOW, SCOTLAND

1. OPENING OF THE MEETING

- 1.1 The Twelfth Annual Meeting of the North-East Atlantic Commission was opened by the Chairman, Mr Pekka Niskanen (Finland (EU)), who welcomed delegates to Glasgow.
- 1.2 A list of participants at the Twelfth Annual Meeting of the Council and Commissions is included on page 165 of this document.

2. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

2.1 The Commission adopted its agenda, NEA(95)7 (Annex 1).

3. NOMINATION OF A RAPPORTEUR

3.1 The Commission nominated Mr Per Ivar Bergan (Norway) as its Rapporteur for the meeting.

4. NON-GOVERNMENT OBSERVERS AT COMMISSION MEETINGS

- 4.1 At its Tenth Annual Meeting the Commission endorsed a recommendation from the Council to permit attendance of Non-Government Organizations as observers at its meetings for a trial period of two years covering the 1994 and 1995 meetings. The Chairman referred to the deliberations in the Council on the conditions governing attendance of NGOs at the 1996 and subsequent meetings. The Commission agreed that the present arrangements continue until further notice.
- 4.2 The representative of Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland) expressed reservations concerning the attendance of NGOs at the Commission meetings since decisions taken by the Commission on regulatory measures are introduced into legislation and become binding. However, he recognised the desirability of increasing the transparency of the Commission meetings and suggested that the press might also be represented in future.
- 4.3 The Commission agreed that accredited media would be allowed to attend its meetings and asked that the Secretary develop appropriate criteria which would be agreed by correspondence and issued before the Thirteenth Annual Meeting.

5. REVIEW OF THE 1994 FISHERY AND ACFM REPORT FROM ICES ON SALMON STOCKS IN THE COMMISSION AREA

- 5.1 The Chairman of the ACFM, Mr Eskild Kirkegaard, presented the scientific advice from ICES relevant to the North-East Atlantic Commission, CNL(95)15, prepared in response to a request from the Commission at its Eleventh Annual Meeting. The ACFM report from ICES which contains the scientific advice relevant to all Commissions is included on page 171 of this document.
- 5.2 The representative of Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland) stressed the importance of the research fishing programme in the Faroese zone which should result in improvements in the science. He referred to estimates provided by ACFM of increases in stock size in Scandinavia, Finland and Russia as a result of the compensation arrangement for the Faroese fishery which indicated that while these increases were between 4-12% for multi-sea-winter salmon the ACFM report stated that the increase was within the annual variation and was not statistically significant. He requested clarification of the term "apparent decline" used in the ACFM report and questioned whether a decline was detectable in the pre-fishery abundance estimates provided by ICES. He therefore expressed doubts about the basis for the ACFM recommendation.
- 5.3 The representative of ICES stressed that the analysis of pre-fishery abundance data is preliminary and that further information is needed before a more precise estimate can be made. Nevertheless, the data show a decline in the pre-fishery abundance of non-maturing 1SW salmon, the statistical significance of which is uncertain, but on the basis of information on the status of stocks in the North-East Atlantic area, ACFM had, for precautionary reasons, recommended that there should be no increase in levels of exploitation of non-maturing 1SW salmon in mixed stock fisheries. The representative of ICES indicated that this referred to all salmon not maturing at one sea winter and that this recommendation did not refer to exploitation by a particular Party.
- 5.4 The representative of Norway supported the research programme in the Faroese zone and requested clarification as to the meaning of the statement that levels of exploitation in mixed stock fisheries should not increase. The representative of ICES indicated that mixed stock fisheries exploit both stocks which are healthy and those that are not. While it was not possible to make a recommendation for a specific quota, the recommendation cautioned against any increase of the present level of exploitation in mixed stock fisheries. The representative of Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland) stated that rather than focusing on recruitment, attention should be paid to spawning levels in rivers and all factors which could influence spawning stocks should be considered.

6. ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY OF SALMON RIVERS

6.1 At its Eleventh Annual Meeting, the representative of Sweden referred to alarming trends in salmon populations in Swedish West Coast rivers. The parasite *Gyrodactylus salaris* had been found and further investigations were being undertaken. The representative of Norway had underlined the need for cooperation between the two Parties to control the parasite and prevent its spread.

6.2 The representative of Sweden advised the Commission that, since the last meeting, the first meeting of scientists and administrators from Norway and Sweden had taken place and considerable progress had been made. He also referred to the Swedish liming programme which commenced in 1976 and is a large-scale activity financed by Government subsidy. He referred to a publication 'Liming of Acidified Surface Waters' prepared by the Swedish Environmental Protection Agency which summarises the Swedish research and development programmes in relation to acidification and their experiences of liming. A copy of this publication has been lodged with the Secretariat.

7. REPORT OF THE NEAC WORKING GROUP ON SALMONID INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSFERS

- 7.1 At its Tenth Annual Meeting the Commission had decided in principle to convene a Working Group to look at the possibility of developing agreements concerning introductions and transfers for the North-East Atlantic area. The Terms of Reference for the Working Group were agreed at the Eleventh Annual Meeting and it was suggested that the Working Group should meet twice during the year.
- 7.2 The Secretary introduced the report of the Working Group on Introductions and Transfers, NEA(95)3 (Annex 2), and referred to the pressures for the removal of barriers to trade and the possible increase in the risks to wild salmon stocks. The Working Group recognised that if the Commission wished to have confidence that wild salmon stocks are protected there would have to be recommendations on measures stronger than those at present in force. The Working Group had formulated recommendations for consideration by the Commission.
- 7.3 The Commission welcomed the report of the Working Group but recognised that further work was needed on the classification of rivers and on the concept of zones designed to reduce the spread of unknown diseases and parasites. It agreed that work on these areas should be undertaken by the Secretary and if necessary by an Ad Hoc Working Group to be chaired by the Secretary. The Commission considered a document, NEA(95)12 (Annex 3), which had been prepared by the European Union based on the recommendations of the Working Group on Introductions and Transfers. The Secretary noted that the document did not refer to the need to develop measures, in connection with the rivers classification, to safeguard the wild stocks from introductions and transfers. The representative of the European Union indicated that the intention was to examine and consider the development of relevant measures to protect the wild stocks. The Commission agreed that this would be valuable and adopted the document.

8. **REGULATORY MEASURES**

- 8.1 The representative of Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland) stated that there was no specific biological advice to justify a change in the present quota arrangement. The representatives of Norway, Iceland and the European Union stressed the need for meaningful discussions on a regulatory measure for the Faroe Islands.
- 8.2 The Commission considered a proposal from the Chair for a regulatory measure for fishing of salmon in the Faroe Islands for the calendar year 1996, NEA(95)10

(Annex 4). Upon a vote, Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland), the European Union, Finland, Norway, the Russian Federation and Sweden voted in favour of the proposal. Iceland abstained from the vote. The regulatory measure was therefore adopted. The quota was agreed as an interim measure leading towards a quota based on a predictive biological model as soon as such scientific advice is available and was not opposed subject to the condition that the situation be thoroughly examined on the basis of all available information at the Thirteenth Annual Meeting.

- 8.3 The representative of Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland) stated that he had voted for the proposal with some hesitation. However, as an interim measure while waiting for detailed catch advice, he had been able to accept it. He indicated that he was satisfied that the quota set had been calculated according to a formula, which Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland) had proposed, to regulate the quota in the Faroese Fishery Zone according to catches in homewaters. This provides a rational basis for the quota which reflects, in a simple fashion, the combined effect of measures taken in homewaters and changes in the stock situation. The representative of Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland) informed the Commission that if fishery licences are granted in 1996 the Home Government of the Faroe Islands intends to allocate not more than 390 tonnes of the quota.
- 8.4 The representative of the European Union indicated that the measure was an important step forward. He noted that next year the Commission would thoroughly evaluate the situation with a view to making further progress. He had noted the statement by the representative of Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland) concerning the allocation of licences and he stated that as far as the European Union is concerned the 470 tonne quota represents a compromise.
- 8.5 The representative of Norway expressed his delegation's satisfaction that a NASCO quota had been reached. He stated that it was not easy for Norway to accept the measure and referred to the need for further research fishing. He supported the statement made by the representative of the European Union and emphasised the importance of the statement by the representative of Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland) that not more than 390 tonnes would be fished. He indicated that Norway was able to accept the prolongation of the fishing season for one year only.

9. FISHING FOR SALMON IN INTERNATIONAL WATERS BY NON-CONTRACTING PARTIES

9.1 The Secretary referred to the deliberations within the Council on this issue. The Commission agreed that further actions to stop fishing for salmon in the Commission area should continue to be coordinated by the Council.

10. RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE COUNCIL ON THE REQUEST TO ICES FOR SCIENTIFIC ADVICE

10.1 At its Ninth Annual Meeting the Commission had appointed Mr Kjartan Hoydal (Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland)) and Dr Lars Petter Hansen (Norway) to represent the Commission on the Standing Scientific Committee. 10.2 The Commission reviewed document SSC(95)4 and agreed to recommend it to the Council as part of the annual request to ICES for scientific advice. The request to ICES agreed by the Council, CNL(95)49, is contained in Annex 5.

11. ANNOUNCEMENT OF THE TAG RETURN INCENTIVE SCHEME PRIZE

11.1 The Chairman announced that the draw for prizes in the Tag Return Incentive Scheme was made by the Auditors at NASCO Headquarters on 1 June 1995. The winner of the Commission's prize was Mr Martin Røbergvik of Salsbruket, Norway. The Commission offered its congratulations to the winner.

12. OTHER BUSINESS

12.1 There was no other business.

13. DATE AND PLACE OF THE NEXT MEETING

13.1 The Commission agreed to hold its next meeting during the Thirteenth Annual Meeting of the Council, 10-14 June 1996, in Sweden.

14. CONSIDERATION OF THE DRAFT REPORT OF THE MEETING

14.1 The Commission agreed the draft report of the meeting, NEA(95)4.

ANNEX 1

NEA(95)7 TWELFTH ANNUAL MEETING OF THE NORTH-EAST ATLANTIC COMMISSION 12-16 JUNE 1995, HILTON HOTEL, GLASGOW, SCOTLAND

AGENDA

- 1. Opening of the Meeting
- 2. Adoption of the Agenda
- 3. Nomination of a Rapporteur
- 4. Non-Government Observers at Commission Meetings
- 5. Review of the 1994 Fishery and ACFM Report from ICES on Salmon Stocks in the Commission Area
- 6. Environmental Quality of Salmon Rivers
- 7. Report of the NEAC Working Group on Salmonid Introductions and Transfers
- 8. Regulatory Measures
- 9. Fishing for Salmon in International Waters by Non-Contracting Parties
- 10. Recommendations to the Council on the Request to ICES for Scientific Advice
- 11. Announcement of the Tag Return Incentive Scheme Prize
- 12. Other Business
- 13. Date and Place of Next Meeting
- 14. Consideration of the Draft Report of the Meeting

ANNEX 2

NORTH-EAST ATLANTIC COMMISSION

NEA(95)3

REPORT OF THE NORTH-EAST ATLANTIC COMMISSION WORKING GROUP ON INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSFERS OF SALMONIDS

NEA(95)3

REPORT OF THE NORTH-EAST ATLANTIC COMMISSION WORKING GROUP ON INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSFERS OF SALMONIDS

- 1. At its Eleventh Annual Meeting the Commission established a Working Group to advise on the adequacy of existing controls concerning introductions and transfers and to make recommendations for strengthened controls and other measures if necessary. The Working Group held two meetings (November 1994 and March 1995) and as a result of an excellent spirit of cooperation was able to make considerable progress in a very short period of time.
- 2. The report of the Working Group is attached and the Commission will be asked to consider the report and to decide what action it will take regarding the recommendations which are contained in Section 7 of the report.

Secretary Edinburgh 24 May 1995

NEA(95)3

REPORT OF THE NORTH-EAST ATLANTIC COMMISSION WORKING GROUP ON INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSFERS OF SALMONIDS

1. <u>Opening of the Meeting</u>

- 1.1 The Chairman of the Working Group, Dr Malcolm Windsor, opened the meeting and referred to the fact that we are in an era when there are pressures for the removal of barriers to trade. While this brings undoubted benefits, relaxing controls on trade in fish increases the risk of spreading diseases and parasites with serious consequences for wild salmon stocks. The spread of the parasite *Gyrodactylus salaris* from the Baltic area to Norwegian rivers draining into the Atlantic resulted from a trade in live fish and has highlighted the serious damage that can be caused inadvertently as a result of stock movements. There are also risks of genetic and ecological damage to wild stocks when aquatic organisms are introduced or transferred. He concluded that the challenge before the Working Group was to examine the measures necessary to safeguard the wild stocks without restricting trade more than is necessary.
- 1.2 The Working Group met twice, on 24/25 November 1994 in London and on 30/31 March 1995 in Bath. A list of participants is contained in Appendix 1.

2. <u>Adoption of the Agenda</u>

2.1 The Working Group adopted its agenda, IAT(94)8, (Appendix 2).

3. <u>Consideration of the Terms of Reference</u>

3.1 At its Eleventh Annual Meeting the North-East Atlantic Commission agreed the following Terms of Reference:

"That a Working Group be established to advise the North-East Atlantic Commission on the adequacy of existing controls and, if necessary, make recommendations for strengthened controls and other measures, and whether there is a need for a Standing Committee on the introduction and transfer of salmonid populations in the territories of the Parties to this Commission, with particular respect to:

- 1. disease control, including control of parasites
- 2. genetic integrity of stocks
- 3. ecological effects

So far as item 1 is concerned the Working Group should also consider the adequacy of controls on other potential vectors."

3.2 The Working Group discussed the interpretation of these Terms of Reference. It was agreed that "other potential vectors" should include vectors of diseases and parasites including fishing gear and equipment, ballast water, and other species of fish. The

question also arose as to whether the reference to salmonid populations was only in relation to disease control or whether it also applied to genetic and ecological aspects. The Working Group agreed to interpret the Terms of Reference as including all aspects relating to the impacts of salmonid introductions and transfers on salmon. The Working Group was advised of the existence of rules developed by the World Trade Organization which might have implications for movements of fish; however, the priority was the protection of the wild stocks.

4. <u>Review of the Adequacy of Existing Controls on Introductions and</u> <u>Transfers</u>

National Legislation

4.1 The Working Group reviewed the existing national legislation, and Directives applying within the European Union, concerning introductions and transfers. A summary of this legislation is contained in IAT(94)11, (Appendix 3).

International Guidelines and Codes of Practice

- 4.2 The Working Group reviewed the existing International Guidelines and Codes of Practice concerning Introductions and Transfers, IAT(94)7, (Appendix 4). This review indicated that there is a considerable difference in the scope of the existing agreements. Some commit signatories to take measures to protect against damage resulting from introductions and transfers but offer no guidance on the nature of the measures required. Others, such as the ICES Code of Practice to Reduce the Risks of Adverse Effects Arising from Introductions of Non-Indigenous Marine Species, provide general guidance. While most agreements cover a wide range of aquatic organisms, only the Protocols developed by the North American Commission of NASCO deal specifically with salmonids. Furthermore they provide detailed measures to protect wild salmon stocks from the adverse impacts of introductions and transfers and are being incorporated in the domestic laws, regulations and policies of Canada and the United States. In the North-East Atlantic Commission area, despite the existence of a number of international agreements, the parasite Gyrodactylus salaris has been introduced across international borders and spread within countries, threatening salmon stocks in the area with extinction. The Working Group agreed that it would therefore be appropriate to formulate recommendations on strengthened measures for consideration by the North-East Atlantic Commission.
- 4.3 A draft Aquatic Animal Health Code, which is being developed by the Office Internationale des Epizooties (OIE), was drawn to the attention of the Working Group. It is expected that the Code will be finalised during 1995. Many of the principles contained in the Draft Code are similar to those contained in the EU "Council Directive of 28 January 1991 Concerning the Animal Health Conditions Governing the Placing on the Market of Aquaculture Animals and Products (91/67 EEC)". The OIE Code will not be restricted in scope to aquaculture animals but neither of these instruments are intended to deal with genetic or ecological risks.

5. <u>Case Studies</u>

- 5.1 For centuries man has introduced species in order to improve the native fauna. Such introductions were especially common in the nineteenth century when there was much human migration and societies dedicated to introducing exotic plants and animals flourished. Although intended to enhance production of species favoured by humans, many introductions had unanticipated negative impacts on the native species and their habitat. In addition to intentional introductions, inadvertent movements (for example in ships' ballast water or as a result of engineering works) have also had damaging effects. In recent years there has been increasing interest in introductions and transfers for aquaculture, restoration of stocks or improvement of fisheries and considerable concern has been expressed within NASCO about the possible adverse impacts on the wild stocks from salmonid introductions and transfers.
- 5.2 In order to assist the Working Group in identifying the nature of strengthened controls which might be necessary to safeguard wild stocks in the light of these increasing introductions and transfers, the Working Group studied two cases, the spread of Gyrodactyliasis caused by *Gyrodactylus salaris* and furunculosis caused by *Aeromonas salmonicida*. These demonstrated the very serious risks to the wild stocks.

Gyrodactylus salaris

- 5.3. The genus Gyrodactylus is a group of parasitic flatworms which give birth to live offspring and which spend their entire life-cycle on one host. They occur on the skin, and occasionally on the eves and gills, of the fish they parasitise and can reproduce rapidly. Gyrodactylus salaris is restricted in its distribution to Europe and was first described in 1957 after being found on salmon parr at a fish farm on the River Indalsälven draining into the Baltic in northern Sweden. As with other Gyrodactylids this species occurs in fish farms but does not usually cause problems and can be successfully treated. However, in July 1975 the species was found in western Norway following a period of high mortality at the Sundalsøra hatchery near to the river Driva. and the following month it was found in the river Lakselva where the salmon population suffered high mortality to the extent that within two years the population had almost completely disappeared. By 1984 it was estimated that the parasite had caused losses to the Norwegian salmon fishery of between 250-500 tonnes. Initially it was thought that the mortality was due to environmental change in the river but following the discovery of the parasite in three other rivers it was hypothesised that the parasite had been introduced to Norway and subsequently dispersed by stocking. In 1989 the Swedish ecologist Malmberg, who first identified the parasite, concluded that "fish transports with Gyrodactylus salaris-infected small salmon helped the parasite to pass the former geographical barrier, the Scandinavian mountains, and thereby reach a new area, Norway, with rivers to the Atlantic Ocean and a form of salmon, the Atlantic salmon, genetically different from the Baltic salmon. A few rivers may have been infected via locally arranged import of salmon or rainbow trout, or via a local hatchery. Most infected rivers, however, seem to have been infected via stocking of parr from a central hatchery".
- 5.4 By the end of 1992 the parasite had been recorded in 37 rivers and 36 hatcheries in Norway. The spread of the parasite has been attributed to:

- stocking for enhancement purposes from infected hatcheries;
- changing of water and dumping of moribund smolts during transportation;
- escape of infected fish from hatcheries;
- movement of fish through brackish waters to neighbouring rivers.
- 5.5 Within the salmonids there is a marked difference in susceptibility to Gyrodactylus salaris from brown trout and Baltic salmon (restricted parasite attachment, establishment and reproduction almost non-existent, infection eliminated), through rainbow trout (parasite establishment, parasite reproduction moderate, infection not eliminated) to Norwegian Atlantic salmon (highly susceptible, parasite reproduction high, elimination of infection not observed). It has been suggested that selective breeding or "artificial" introgression may in time accelerate the accumulation of genes for resistance against attack. However, the Norwegian authorities' plan of action is to prevent the spread of the parasite, to eliminate it from as many rivers as possible and to preserve local salmon stocks so that these can be restored following elimination of the parasite. The efforts of the Norwegian authorities to prevent the further spread of the parasite to rivers and hatcheries have been successful. The treatment programme has involved the use of rotenone followed by re-establishment of the populations using gene banks or local stocks. This is very labour-intensive work over a period of 10-12 days followed by monitoring and restoration. Twenty-two rivers have been treated successfully with rotenone, ten of which have been taken off the restricted list and re-opened for fishing. The parasite has been eliminated from all but one of the treated rivers. Treatment of large river systems in this way would, however, be almost impossible. In the Drammenselv, for example, stock enhancement is being used as an alternative to rotenone treatment to maintain the stock.
- 5.6 In recent years the parasite Gyrodactylus salaris has been detected in rivers in northern Finland, Russia and, it is now believed, on the Swedish West Coast. In Finland, the parasite appears to have been introduced to Lake Inari with movements of rainbow trout and there is real concern that the parasite might spread to the Teno (Tana) river, a very important salmon river for both Finland and Norway. During 1993, sampling revealed the presence of the parasite on one wild fish from Lake Inari but it is believed that the parasite is no longer present in the lake. In Russia the parasite has been found in the river Keret which drains into the White Sea. In this case it is believed that the parasite was transferred from Lake Onega, which drains into the Baltic, to a hatchery on the river Kem and that stock from this hatchery was planted into the river Keret. In 1992 salmon in this river experienced high levels of mortality. Since 1988 there has been an alarming decline in juvenile salmon densities in the four largest Swedish West Coast rivers. It was reported at NASCO's Eleventh Annual Meeting that Gyrodactylus salaris had been found and that further investigations were being undertaken so that a clearer assessment of the occurrence and impacts of the parasite in Swedish rivers may be obtained. Subsequent research has revealed low levels of abundance of Gyrodactylus salaris. The parasite has also been detected in Spain, Germany and Denmark but in these countries it has only been identified on hatchery fish. While it is not known to occur in the British Isles. experimental exposure of two Scottish stocks has demonstrated their susceptibility to the parasite, and it is vitally important that the further spread of the parasite is prevented.
5.7 It is clear then that, despite the controls existing at the time, the parasite *Gyrodactylus* salaris has caused severe damage in Norwegian rivers and threatens Atlantic salmon populations in other North-East Atlantic countries.

Furunculosis

- 5.8 Furunculosis is a specific infective disease caused by the bacterium Aeromonas salmonicida. It was identified in continental Europe in 1894 and has been recorded also in North American and Japan, where it may cause infections in both reared and wild salmonids. It was probably introduced to Great Britain in imported trout and it assumed a more serious aspect for salmon in the 1920s when there were many outbreaks of disease in wild salmon populations. This led to the Diseases of Fish Act 1937 which made provisions to prevent the introduction and spread of fish diseases (especially salmonid diseases) in Britain.
- 5.9 Furunculosis was first recorded in Norway in 1964 following the import of rainbow trout from Denmark. A disease eradication programme at farms was carried out and was completed by 1969. However, following an import of smolts from Scotland furunculosis was found in Atlantic salmon in marine farms in 1985. It is believed that following severe storms in 1988 and 1989 some farmed salmon infected with furunculosis escaped and spread the disease when they subsequently ascended rivers. By the end of 1992 fish infected with furunculosis had been found in 74 Norwegian rivers. The extent of the mortality in these rivers has varied but in some rivers large numbers of wild salmon have died from the disease.

Discussion

5.10 In discussing these case studies the Working Group recognised that in the case of Gyrodactylus salaris, at the time of the stock movements from the Baltic area, the parasite was not known to be a danger to Atlantic salmon. In this case the parasite was transferred on live fish to a hatchery where it was held in a facility which also held salmon for release to a number of rivers containing wild salmon. It was only after fish carrying the parasite had been introduced to the wild that the acute pathogenicity of the parasite to Atlantic salmon became apparent. The point was made that in the case of introductions and transfers of fish, unknown and potentially damaging pathogens may appear and the only way to protect wild salmon stocks would be to prevent stock movements, particularly of live fish. In the case of the import of furunculosis to Norway the view was expressed that while the methods for detecting Aeromonas salmonicida or carrier fish were not 100% effective, the introduction of the disease would have been unlikely to have occurred under the present system of epidemiological zones since movements from an area where the disease was endemic (Scotland) to one where the disease was absent (Norway) would not now be permitted. The furunculosis case study also demonstrates the damaging role that infected farmed fish can play in transmitting disease to wild populations. The Working Group recognised that while these two case studies highlight the dangers posed to the wild salmon stocks from diseases and parasites there are also serious risks of adverse genetic and ecological interactions associated with stock movements, even movements of eggs.

6. <u>Rationale for New Conservation Measures</u>

- 6.1 The Working Group, having considered evidence of the damage that can be done to wild salmon stocks by introductions and transfers, recognised that such movements pose genetic, ecological and disease and parasite risks to the wild Atlantic salmon. It is clear that such damage can be so severe as to render certain wild salmon stocks extinct. The fact that diseases and parasites have been first introduced and then spread into other areas previously unaffected strongly suggests the inadequacy of the arrangements existing at the time, whether that be because of the nature of those arrangements or because of lack of implementation. Although in some areas there has been a strengthening of legislation, in other areas there has been a weakening in control partly due to the demands of free trade.
- 6.2 The Working Group opened to question the underlying philosophy of the present arrangements which are based on the control of known problems and often stem from earlier approaches in agriculture. There is a fundamental difference between the land animals and fish. Epidemiological controls, for example, based on agriculture, operate in a situation where there are few wild animals to protect and the main transfers are of reared stocks which have been very well studied. In the case of fish, however, there are major wild populations to consider and our knowledge of fish is less comprehensive than our knowledge of land-based animals. Control of an exotic species of fish, disease or pathogen, once it has been introduced to the aquatic environment, may not be possible and will involve considerable effort and expense. There are, no doubt, many pathogens of fish that are not known to us. The Group recognised that it is not possible to monitor fish stocks for a problem, whether it be disease, parasitic or genetic, of which the authorities are unaware. It is, for example, this lack of knowledge which allowed the parasite Gyrodactylus salaris to be introduced and spread. Moreover, recent disease legislation is based on the needs of aquaculture rather than the potential risks to wild stocks.
- 6.3 This led the Group to the conclusion that the only safe course is to invoke a precautionary approach. Such an approach is already under active discussion in other international fisheries fora and may be stated as "lack of scientific knowledge should not be used as a reason to postpone or fail to take conservation measures where there is risk to a species". Such an approach is particularly appropriate in the case of introductions and transfers where the risks of damage are high but our knowledge is incomplete. This suggests that all introductions and transfers can be considered risky and should, where possible, be avoided. The Group considered the implications for trade of a complete ban on international trade in live salmon and we believe that, though there would have been real problems for the aquaculture industry of such a ban during its initial establishment and growth periods, there would be little negative impact today. In the case of the wider case of salmonids as a whole there could be problems for trade because of movements of live rainbow trout in continental Europe. Nevertheless, this practice poses a risk to wild salmon which needs consideration.

7. <u>Recommendations on Introductions and Transfers</u>

7.1 The Working Group came to the view that, if the North-East Atlantic Commission wishes to have confidence that wild salmon stocks are properly protected, there would have to be recommendations on measures stronger than those at present in force. The

Group then looked at a number of options for making conservation of the wild stocks more assured and agreed on the recommendations below. These recommendations do not apply to the use of introductions or transfers for research purposes provided that fish moved for such purposes are held in secure quarantine facilities. The recommendations are complementary to those concerning salmon farming, ranching and enhancement in the Resolution by the Parties to the Convention for the Conservation of Salmon in the North Atlantic Ocean to Minimise Impacts from Salmon Aquaculture on the Wild Salmon Stocks (CNL(94)53) adopted by the Council in 1994. The Commission might wish to consider how best to integrate both sets of recommendations in any guidance which follows from this report, to ensure that the potential problems posed to the genetic integrity of wild stocks from escapes from salmon farms, ranching and the release of fish for enhancement purposes are properly addressed.

7.2 Movements originating from outside the North-East Atlantic Commission Area

Movements into the Commission area of live Atlantic salmon and their eggs which have originated from outside the Commission area should not be permitted.

- **Implications:** This measure would prohibit imports of live salmon and their eggs from areas such as North America. It would not prohibit, for example, movements of live salmon and their eggs from France to Russia or Norway to Finland and it would not prohibit the movement of other live salmonids and their eggs into the Commission area.
- **Rationale:** Genetic differences between salmon populations tend to increase with geographical distance and major differences have been demonstrated between, for example, European and North American salmon stocks. In recognition of these genetic differences the North American Commission Protocols ban the importation of reproductively viable strains of European origin salmon to that Commission area.

7.3 Transgenic Atlantic salmon

Transgenic Atlantic salmon (and other salmonids containing genetic material from Atlantic salmon) should not be permitted in the Commission area except in secure self-contained facilities.

- **Implications:** Any development of transgenic Atlantic salmon would be strictly controlled.
- **Rationale:** The development of techniques to transplant genes in fish could lead to possibilities for unintentional transmission of transplanted genes to wild stocks through interbreeding. There are potentially severe risks to the wild stocks from such interbreeding.
- Note: One Party indicated some concern about the provisions of this paragraph because of possible implications for the trade in genetically modified animals.

7.4 Movements within the North-East Atlantic Commission Area

7.4.1 Specified diseases and parasites

Mapping of the presence of serious diseases and parasites should be used to establish epidemiological zones, i.e. zones free of specific pathogens, covering the Commission area. Such zones have been created for certain diseases under the European Union's legislation. Management measures within these zones should include monitoring to confirm the disease status of a zone and eradication. These zones should be established for at least the following diseases: Viral Haemorrhagic Septicaemia (VHS), Infectious Haematopoietic Necrosis (IHN), Infectious Salmon Anaemia (ISA) and the parasite *Gyrodactylus salaris*.

Movements of live salmonids and their eggs from a zone where any of the specified diseases is present to a zone free of these diseases should not be permitted. However, movements of salmonid eggs should be permitted where there is no risk of transmission of the specified diseases or parasite.

- **Implications:** This measure would prohibit the movement of fish and restrict the movement of eggs from low health status to high health status zones but it would have no impact on movements within a zone. It would not necessarily have an impact on the spread of unknown diseases.
- **Rationale:** This measure is concerned only with controlling the spread of diseases and parasites. It is recognised that there is less threat of introducing many of the major diseases and parasites with eggs which can be disinfected.

7.4.2 Unknown diseases and parasites

The Working Group recommends the strengthening of procedures for the early identification and detection of, and rapid response to, an outbreak of a previously unknown disease or parasitic infection likely to affect Atlantic salmon. However, it is recognised that even with such procedures it may not be possible to respond in time to prevent the spread of such an infection. The Group therefore considered the concept of zones designed to reduce the spread of such diseases. Such zones could reinforce natural barriers to the spread of diseases and parasites. They could be defined using geographical, climatic and biological criteria and might involve the territories of one or of more than one Party. Movements of live salmonids between such zones would be prohibited.

The Working Group recognised that such zones would be effective in reducing the spread of unknown diseases and parasites, and some members of the Working Group were in favour of recommending the establishment of such zones to limit the spread of unknown diseases so as to act now to safeguard the wild stocks. Others however felt that such an approach would create problems with internal markets and that the establishment of meaningful criteria for defining such zones would require careful consideration.

7.4.3 Health inspections of donor facilities

Movements of live salmonids and their eggs from hatcheries to areas containing Atlantic salmon stocks, or to facilities where there is a risk of transmission of infection to such areas, should only take place from facilities where regular inspections have not detected significant diseases and parasites.

- **Implications:** The impact of this measure would depend upon the frequency and nature of the health inspections prescribed.
- **Rationale:** This element is also concerned principally with disease and parasite problems and is intended to reduce the risk of spreading a disease to the wild stocks in a zone. It recognises the need to monitor facilities to confirm their disease status.

7.5 Movements of Non-Indigenous Fish Species

No non-indigenous fish species should be introduced into a river containing Atlantic salmon without a thorough evaluation of the potential adverse impacts on the Atlantic salmon population(s) which indicates that there are no risks of adverse ecological interactions. Where a decision is taken to proceed with the introduction of a non-indigenous species it should be carried out in accordance with the codes of practice developed by ICES and EIFAC.

Introductions of non-indigenous anadromous salmonids into the Commission area should not be permitted.

- **Implications:** This measure is to protect salmon rivers without adversely affecting the movements of non-salmonids.
- **Rationale:** These options recognise that non-indigenous fish have the capacity to adversely affect Atlantic salmon stocks through ecological interactions. Non-indigenous anadromous salmonids pose a particular risk to wild salmon stocks.

7.6 Classification of Rivers

The Working Group supports the development of a classification of Atlantic salmon rivers and proposes that a classification system, based on the NASCO Salmon Rivers Database but perhaps including an additional category for rivers which are of particular value, might form a suitable basis for the development of management measures in relation to introductions and transfers. The rationale for this approach is to permit different measures to be developed for each class of river. Given the diversity of rivers, salmon stocks and geography within the Commission area, the measures required will probably differ from Member to Member. The Working Group recommends that the North-East Atlantic Commission encourages its Members to provide information for inclusion in the database to the NASCO Secretariat as a matter of urgency. The Group also recommends that the Commission establishes an ad hoc Committee to review the suitability for this purpose of the descriptive classes used in the database and to propose both a classification system and the management measures which might be developed for each class of river.

- **Implications:** This element is principally to limit the extent of any genetic impacts on the wild stocks. It could restrict aquaculture activities (farming, ranching and enhancement) in particular rivers depending on how each river is classified.
- **Rationale:** In addition to disease risks, the Working Group recognizes that there are genetic and ecological risks to wild Atlantic salmon stocks from transfers and as a result of escapes from aquaculture. The Resolution of the NASCO Council to Minimise Impacts from Salmon Aquaculture on the Wild Salmon Stocks recognised these risks and recommended that mechanisms to control transfers should be introduced where necessary. Classification of rivers has the advantage of offering greater protection to rivers where the status of the stocks is high while offering flexibility in cases where salmon stocks have already been damaged or modified.

A classification system for rivers might be based on the following five classes, as used in the NASCO Salmon Rivers Database, but some rivers might be designated as being of particular importance and have additional management measures.

Class 5: Rivers in which the wild stocks of salmon are not considered to be threatened with loss.

Class 4: Rivers in which there is a threat to the natural stock of salmon which would lead to loss of the stock unless the factor(s) causing the threat is(are) removed.

Class 3: Rivers in which the natural stock of salmon is known to have been lost in the past but in which there is now a self-sustaining stock of salmon as a result of restoration efforts or natural recolonization.

Class 2: Rivers in which there is no natural stock of salmon, which are known to have contained salmon in the past, but in which a salmon stock is now only maintained through human intervention.

Class 1: Rivers in which there is no natural or maintained stock of salmon but which are known to have contained salmon in the past.

Measures Applying to All Rivers

Aquaculture within the North-East Atlantic Commission area should be conducted in accordance with the Resolution of the Council of NASCO on Minimising the Impacts from Salmon Aquaculture on the Wild Salmon Stocks adopted at the Eleventh Annual Meeting, Oslo, Norway in June 1994.

Measures for Specific River Classes

Measures should be developed for each class of river concerning management practices such as the use of hatchery fish; the use of non-indigenous fish; the location, monitoring and operation of salmon farming, and salmon ranching and enhancement activities.

7.7 Ad Hoc Committee on Introductions and Transfers

The Working Group discussed the possible benefits of a Standing Committee to advise the Commission on matters relating to introductions and transfers. The Group felt that it was not appropriate to establish a Standing Committee. However, it was agreed that, in the light of the changing situation with regard to the disease, parasite, genetic and ecological implications of introductions and transfers, the best approach would be for the Commission to consider the establishment of an ad hoc Committee. The Committee would meet only at the request of the Commission and only with a defined mandate. Its first task should be to advise on an appropriate system of classification of rivers and on the management measures concerning introductions and transfers which might be considered for each class (see 7.6). The Committee could also review progress on the operation of the measures adopted by the North-East Atlantic Commission arising from this Working Group.

Rationale: An ad hoc Committee could develop measures for the different river classes, could advise on the potential threats, and could monitor the implementation and effectiveness of measures agreed by the Commission.

7.8 Unintentional Introductions and Releases and Related Education and Information

The Working Group is concerned at the impacts of unintentional introductions of aquatic species which may adversely affect wild salmon stocks. For example, in ships' ballast water, with containers for transport of fish, as a result of the release of live bait or on fishing equipment. The Working Group recommends that the Members of the North-East Atlantic Commission take steps to limit the risks from such unintentional introductions. To this end it recommends that the NASCO Secretariat produces educational material and other information to increase awareness of the risks.

7.9 **Definitions**

Atlantic salmon - The species Salmo salar L.

Introduction - The intentional or accidental release of a species into the environment outside its native or natural range.

Non-indigenous - Any species introduced to an environment outside its native or natural range.

North-East Atlantic Commission Area - Since introductions and transfers affect salmon stocks subject to the Convention but take place within the territories of the Members of the Commission, for the purposes of these recommendations the Commission area includes these territories.

River - For the purposes of these recommendations a river includes any tributaries or lakes flowing into that river.

Salmon aquaculture - The culture or husbandry of Atlantic salmon and includes salmon farming, salmon ranching and salmon enhancement activities.

Salmon farming - A production system which involves the rearing of Atlantic salmon in captivity for the duration of their life-cycle until harvested.

Salmon ranching - The release of reared juvenile Atlantic salmon with the intention of harvesting all of them on their return.

Salmonid - All species and hybrids of the family Salmonidae, i.e. members of the genera Salmo, Oncorhynchus and Salvelinus.

Transgenic Atlantic salmon - Atlantic salmon carrying foreign genes which have been incorporated into their chromosomes.

Transfer - The deliberate or accidental transport of Atlantic salmon (or salmonids) within their native or natural range.

8. <u>Conclusions</u>

In summary, the Working Group confirms that measures have not been sufficient to prevent the spread of diseases and parasites, some of which have had devastating results. With regard to genetic risks the evidence is less certain but the potential is serious. Therefore the Working Group recommends that the measures outlined above be adopted by the Commission as guidelines to the Members of the Commission and that the Commission agree to review, at regular intervals, the effectiveness of these guidelines in protecting the wild Atlantic salmon stocks.

Appendix 1

MEETING OF THE NEAC WORKING GROUP ON INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSFERS WALDORF HOTEL, LONDON, 24-25 NOVEMBER 1994 LANSDOWN GROVE HOTEL, BATH, 30-31 MARCH 1995

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

* Denotes Head of Delegation

EUROPEAN UNION

*MR ERNESTO PENAS	Commission of the European Communities, Brussels
MR JOHN BROWNE	Department of the Marine, Dublin
MR WILLEM DAELMANN	Commission of the European Communities, Brussels
**MS KAJSA HAKULIN	Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, Helsinki
**DR BO HOLMBERG	National Board of Fisheries, Gothenburg
DR BARRY J HILL	Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, Weymouth
MR IVOR LLEWELYN	Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, London
**MR PEKKA NISKANEN	Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, Helsinki
MR WILLIAM J SCRIVEN	Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, London
DR ALAN MUNRO	Scottish Office Agriculture and Fisheries Department, Aberdeen
MR ALESSANDRO PICCIOLI	Commission of the European Communities, Brussels
MR TED POTTER	Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, Lowestoft
MR JOSE A SANCHEZ-PRADO	University of Oviedo, Spain
MR MICHAEL WALDRON	Council of the European Union, Brussels
MR ROBERT WILLIAMSON	Scottish Office Agriculture and Fisheries Department, Edinburgh

ICELAND

*MR ARNI ISAKSSON

Institute of Freshwater Fisheries, Reykjavik

NORWAY

*MR PER IVAR BERGANDirectorate for Nature Management, Trondheim*MR STEINAR HERMANSENMinistry of the Environment, OsloMR KJETIL HAMNESMinistry of Agriculture, OsloMR HELGE LORENTZENMinistry of the Environment, OsloMR ANDREAS STOCKSETHMinistry of Fisheries, OsloMR ØYVIND WALSØDirectorate for Nature Management, TrondheimRUSSIAN FEDERATIONPINRO, Murmansk

MR VICTOR A NESVETOV	JV Arctic Salmon, Murmansk
MR BORIS F PRISCHEPA	Murmanrybvod, Murmansk
MS ELENA SAMOILOVA	PINRO, Murmansk

DR ALEXANDER ZUBCHENKO PINRO, Murmansk

SECRETARIAT

DR MALCOLM WINDSOR	Secretary
DR PETER HUTCHINSON	Assistant Secretary
MISS MARGARET NICOLSON	PA to the Secretary

<u>NOTE</u> Not all delegates were present at both meetings

** At the second meeting, Finland and Sweden were represented within the delegation of the European Union, following their accession to the Union on 1 January 1995.

Appendix 2

IAT9408

NORTH-EAST ATLANTIC COMMISSION

WORKING GROUP ON INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSFERS OF SALMONIDS

Waldorf Hotel, Aldwych, London Thursday 24 and Friday 25 November 1994 and Lansdown Grove Hotel, Bath Thursday 30 and Friday 31 March 1995

AGENDA

- 1. Opening of the Meeting
- 2. Adoption of the Agenda
- 3. Consideration of the Terms of Reference
- 4. Review of the adequacy of Existing Controls on Introductions and Transfers
 - (a) National Legislation
 - (b) International Guidelines and Codes of Practice
- 5. Recommendations on the need for:
 - strengthened controls
 - other measures
 - the establishment of a Standing Committee on Introductions and Transfers of Salmonids
- 6. Arrangements for Second Meeting
- 7. Other Business
- 8. Consideration of the Report of the Meeting

Appendix 3

IAT9411

IAT(94)11

NORTH-EAST ATLANTIC COMMISSION WORKING GROUP ON INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSFERS OF SALMONIDS

EXISTING NATIONAL LEGISLATION ON INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSFERS

EUROPEAN UNION (FINLAND)

SUMMARY OF THE FINNISH VETERINARY LEGISLATION CONCERNING INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSFERS OF LIVE FISH AND THEIR EGGS AND GAMETES

1. <u>Imports</u>

The import of live fish, live crustaceans or their eggs or gametes is allowed only if the importer has obtained an individual import licence from the Veterinary and Food Department of the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry. Ornamental fish may, however, be imported without a licence.

For the last twenty years granting of such import licence has been very restricted. During the 80's and 90's, the only imports of live fish that have been allowed are the import of rainbow trout and salmon from Sweden into the county of Åland and the import of eels from Swedish quarantine into some water courses in the South of Finland. The import of live rainbow trout and salmon from Sweden was, however, banned in 1988 because of the risk for renibacteriosis. In addition, some import licences for the import of fertilized rainbow trout eggs from Sweden have been granted as well as one import licence for the import of fertilized eggs of arctic char from Russia.

2. <u>Transfers within Finland</u>

There are four different Decisions of the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry in force concerning restrictions of transfers of fish, eggs and gametes. Two of these concern transfers to the water courses connected to the Barents Sea:

- 1) According to the Decision concerning the prevention of the spreading of *Gyrodactylus salaris* all transfers of live fish from other parts of Finland to the Tenojoki, the Näätämöjoki, the Paatsjoki and the Luttojoki water catchment areas are banned, as well as transfers from the Paatsjoki and the Luttojoki water catchment areas to the Näätämöjoki and Tenojoki water catchment areas. The Tenojoki water catchment area is also protected by the Tenojoki Agreement between Norway and Finland (see point 3). The Veterinary and Food Department has the right to grant derogations from this ban, but in practice such derogations have only been granted for transfers to the Paatsjoki and Luttojoki water catchment area, and since 1991 no derogations have been granted.
- 2) According to the Decision concerning the prevention of the spreading of furunculosis all transfers of live fish or gametes from those parts of Finland, which are infected, to those parts which are free, are prohibited. Fertilized eggs may be transferred if they are disinfected with an iodine solution. The local fishing authorities are allowed to grant derogations from this Decision. The Tenojoki, Näätämöjoki, Paatsjoki and Luttojoki water catchment areas are considered free from furunculosis.

The aim of the other two Decisions is to protect the Finnish inland waters and certain coastal areas from viral diseases and renibacteriosis. These Decisions also protect the Tenojoki, Näätämöjoki, Paatsjoki and Luttojoki water catchment areas from the spreading of these diseases from the Baltic area.

3. International Agreements

- 1) The Tenojoki Agreement between Norway and Finland protects the Tenojoki water catchment area from all transfers of fish, eggs or gametes from other water catchment areas. Transfers within the Tenojoki area are banned as well, but in some cases derogations are made.
- 2) The EEA-agreement includes the EC-directive concerning the animal health rules on the placing on the market of aquaculture animals (91/67/EEC), but Finland obtained the right to keep its national legislation on the placing on the market of live fish, live crustaceans and their eggs and gametes if they are intended for farming or restocking purpose.
- 3) In the Act of Accession (to the EU) it was decided that regarding fish, and eggs and gametes thereof, intended for farming and restocking, consignments to or from Finland shall not be authorized during a transitional period of three years from the date of entry into force of the Accession Treaty. After that period Finland must implement the EC-directive 91/67/EEC, which means that aquaculture animals must be excluded from the movement restrictions mentioned above.

EUROPEAN UNION (IRELAND)

Department of the Marine, Leeson Lane, Dublin 2

October 1994

Dear Malcolm,

Further to your request of 6 October to Heads of Delegation I attach the text of our Live Fish (Restriction on Import) Order 1972 which is self-explanatory.

The taking of salmonid fish for propagation or re-stocking is covered by authorisation under Section 14 of the Fisheries (Consolidation) Act, 1959 which reads as follows:

- (1) Subject to subsection (2) of this section, nothing in this Act or in any Instrument made thereunder shall prohibit anything done by the Minister or a person previously authorised in writing by the Minister in that behalf for the purpose of the artificial propagation of fish, for some scientific purpose or for the improvement or development of any fishery.
- (2) Nothing shall be done pursuant to subsection (1) of this section in relation to a several fishery without the consent of the owner thereof.
- (3) A person authorised by the Minister under this section to do anything shall be furnished by the Minister with a certificate of such authorisation and when doing anything pursuant to the authorisation shall, if requested by any person affected, produce the certificate to that person.

The transfer of fish in the course of commercial fish farming operations is covered by licensing conditions under Sections 15 and 54 of the 1959 Act.

I take it that O. Tougaard will supply you with copy of the Community's Fish Health Directive.

There is I understand an ICES protocol on introductions and transfers which should be available from their Secretariat.

Yours sincerely

John Keohane

c.c. O Tougaard Cion A McDaid Perm Rep

S.I. No. 4 of 1972

LIVE FISH (RESTRICTION ON IMPORT) ORDER, 1972

I, JOHN FAHEY, Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Agriculture and Fisheries, in exercise of the powers conferred on me by section 17 of the Fisheries (Consolidation) Act, 1959 (No. 14 of 1959), and the Fisheries (Transfer of Departmental Administration and Ministerial Functions) Order, 1965 (S.I. No. 83 of 1965) (as adapted by the Agriculture (Alteration of Name of Department and Title of Minister) Order, 1965 (S.I. No. 146 of 1965)) and the Fisheries (Delegation of Ministerial Functions) Order, 1970 (S.I. No. 123 of 1970), hereby order as follows:

- 1. This Order may be cited as the Live Fish (Restriction on Import) Order, 1972.
- 2. This Order shall come into operation on the 1st day of February, 1972.
- 3. The import of live fish and of the eggs or young of such fish, save under and in accordance with a licence in that behalf issued under section 17 (4) of the Fisheries (Consolidation) Act, 1959 (No. 14 of 1959), is hereby prohibited.
- 4. This Order shall not apply to -
 - (a) tropical aquarium fish, or
 - (b) fish which have been taken by and are landed in the State from an Irish seafishing boat within the meaning of Part XIII of the Fisheries (Consolidation) Act, 1959 (No. 14 of 1959).
- 5. The Live Fish (Restriction in Import) Order, 1962 (S.I. No. 39 of 1962), is hereby revoked.

GIVEN under my hand this 12th day of January, 1972.

JOHN FAHEY,

Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Agriculture and Fisheries.

Notice of the making of this Statutory Instrument was published in "Iris Oifigiuil" of 14th January, 1972.

The version of this Statutory Instrument in the Irish language, officially prepared, is printed on the opposite pages.

EUROPEAN UNION (SPAIN)

EXPLANATORY NOTE: SUMMARY OF LEGISLATION

Order of 11 May 1982

The Autonomous Communities have exclusive competence on inland waters, the restocking of which is, therefore, their individual responsibility. Inland waters are those comprised between the coast lines and straight base-lines that bound the territorial sea.

The Dirección General de Ordenación Pesquera is now the Dirección General de Estructuras Pesqueras.

References to the Comandancias Militares de Marina should be read now as references to the Direcciones Provinciales of the Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food.

Law No. 4/1989 of 27 March 1989

Article 29 therein relates to the establishment of catalogues of endangered species, which is the responsibility of the Autonomous Communities. A national catalogue of endangered species will also be instituted.

ORDER OF 11 MAY 1982 REGULATING THE ACTIVITIES OF SEA RESTOCKING (BOLETIN OFICIAL DEL ESTADO NO. 125 OF 26 MAY 1982)

TITLE I

General Provisions

Article 1

These regulations are aimed at the restocking of the continental shelf and the exclusive economic zone, both in the territorial sea and inland seawaters, without prejudice to the competences that the Autonomous Communities may have in this field.

Article 2

For the purposes of the present regulations, sea restocking means any action aimed to increase the natural animal and/or plant populations of the seas. Within this context, two types of restocking are considered, namely:

- 2.1 Artificial restocking, by which is meant the release of animal and/or plant species, in any stage of their lifecycle, into their natural environment.
- 2.2 Natural restocking, meaning the establishment of facilities or measures which foster the development of animal and/or plant species.

Article 3

All facilities and measures leading to natural restocking are included under this heading, especially the following:

- 3.1 Artificial, man-made reefs that supply a favourable habitat to marine species.
- 3.2 Biotypes or natural reefs which, having lost their favourable condition for the development of marine species, are man-restored to regain such favourable condition. This concept includes any area suitable for the development of any species, especially so if relating to the restoration of weed-fields and barnacle-breeding rocks.
- 3.3 Reserve zones for the protection of marine species, aiming to their development and proliferation.

CHAPTER I

Artificial Restocking

Article 5

Applications for a licence will specify:

- (a) The name and other details of the applicant.
- (b) Restocking species, their life stage and place of origin.
- (c) The place(s) where the sowing or release of such species is to take place, accompanying a transparent superimposable sheet marking clearly the relevant places on the relevant nautical chart.
- (d) An ecological study justifying both the place(s) and the species chosen.

Article 6

Artificial restocking may be authorized by the Dirección General de Ordenación Pesquera, under the conditions made necessary by the defense of ecosystems, after examination of the reports submitted thereon by the Federación Nacional de Cofradias de Pescadores, the Instituto Español de Oceanografia and the relevant naval authorities.

Article 7

These licences will not imply a right or priority to the harvesting of restocked areas, except as relates to the release of smolt, in which case the Dirección General de Ordenación Pesquera, acting in mutual agreement with the Instituto para la Conservación de la Naturaleza (ICONA), may authorize restockers to catch all or part of the returning individuals, specifying both the place and the time period when the fishery may take place.

LAW NO. 23/84 OF 25 JUNE 1984, RELATING TO SEA FARMING

TITLE I

Scope of the Law

Article 1

This Law is aimed at the management and regulation of sea farming in the national territory, the littoral area, arms of the sea, estuaries, lagoons and sea-lakes permanently or temporarily connected to the sea, as well as in the territorial sea and the exclusive economic zone, both in public and private domains, without prejudice to the powers and competences pertaining to the Autonomous Communities.

Definition

Article 2

Trying to establish a unified nomenclature, for the purposes of this Law the main concepts of sea farming are defined as below.

- 1. Meaning of the following concepts:
 - (a) Sea farming: the carrying out of actions and all kinds of labour activities relevant to the reproduction and/or growth of one or more marine species, either plant or animal, or other species associated with them.
 - (b) Spawning: the process by which marine species release their eggs, larvae or spores into the water.
 - (c) Rearing: the culture of marine animal species in their early life stages, prior to raising.
 - (d) Raising: the culture of juveniles and/or adults of marine animal species to commercial sizes.
 - (e) Marine restocking: the release of animal and/or plant species, in any stage of their life cycle, into their natural environment in order to increase their populations.

TITLE IV

Marketing

Article 20

The transfer of eggs, spores and/or individuals of non-commercial size, in any of their life stages, will only be carried out for the purposes of cultivation, research or experiment.

Article 21

The export of eggs, spores or non-commercial size individuals, in any life stage, whatever their destination, will need the authorization of the Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, which will note the opinion of the fisheries authority having exclusive competence in the Autonomous Community of origin.

Article 22

The importation of any species, whatever their size and life stage, for their cultivation or simple immersion, will need a previous favourable report from the Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food.

In order to prevent ecological disequilibriums, when the importation of foreign species not occurring naturally in our waters is contemplated, the aforementioned authorization will need the favourable opinion of the Instituto Español de Oceanografia.

Imports will need to be accompanied, moreover, by a health certificate issued by the relevant authority in the country of origin, containing the specifications required by the said Instituto, at the request of any interested party.

In any case, the immersion of imported species will need to be authorised, supervised and inspected by the Autonomous Community Agency having competence on fisheries.

LAW NO. 4/1989 OF 27 MARCH 1989, RELATING TO THE CONSERVATION OF NATURAL SPACES AND WILDLIFE

TITLE I

General Provision

Article 1

Pursuant to Articles 45.2 and 149.1.23 of the Constitution, this Law aims to establish the rules for the protection, conservation, rebuilding and improvement of natural resources and especially those relating to natural spaces and wildlife.

TITLE IV

On Wildlife

CHAPTER I

General Provisions

Article 26

1. Public administrations will take the necessary measures to ensure the conservation of plant and animal species that live in a wild state within the Spanish territory, paying special attention to autochthonous species.

- 2. Priority will be given to the preservation of their habitats; specific protection regimes will be established for the species, communities and population whose state so requires, including those in one or other of the categories listed in Article 29 of this Law.
- 3. The relevant Administrations will attend to the preservation, maintenance and restoration of sufficiently large and diversified spaces that will provide adequate habitats for plant and animal species not included in paragraph 2 above.
- 4. It is hereby forbidden to kill, injure, trouble or disturb wild animals intentionally, specially those involved in any of the categories comprised in Article 29 below, including their taking alive and/or the harvesting of their eggs and young, as well as to modify or destroy vegetation.

It is equally forbidden to possess, traffic or trade in wild animals, either alive or dead, even their remains, including foreign trade.

Article 27

The actions of Public Administrations to foster the preservation of the genetic diversity of our natural heritage will mainly be based on the following criteria:

- (a) Priority will be given to conservation and preservation measures in the framework of the natural habitat of every single species, taking account at the same time of the possibility of establishing complementary measures outside such habitat.
- (b) To prevent the introduction and proliferation of non-autochthonous species, subspecies or races insofar as they could complete with their indigenous equivalents, alter the genetical purity of the same or change ecological equilibriums.
- (c) To give priority to endemic species and subspecies, as well as to migratory ones and those others whose distribution area is highly limited.

Article 28

- 1. The prohibitions established under Article 26.4 will not apply to wild species not included in any of the categories specified in Article 29 when such species are subject to specific regulation in legislation relating to forest, hunting or inland fishing, without prejudice to the provisions in Chapter III of this Title.
- 2. The prohibitions established under Article 26.4 may become inoperative, pursuant to the authorization by the relevant administration, when any of the following circumstances occur:
 - (a) When their implementation may result in harmful effects on human health or security.
 - (b) When their implementation may result in harmful effects on protected species.

- (c) The prevention of significant damage to crops, livestock, forest, game, fish or the quality of water.
- (d) When necessary, owing to reasons relating to research, education, restocking, reintroduction and/or captive breeding.
- (e) To prevent accidents in relation to flight security.
- 3. When the authorization is based on research reasons, the decision will be made taking into account the criteria adopted by the Comision Interministerial de Ciencia y Tecnologia pursuant to the opinion expressed on such criteria by the Consejo General de la Ciencia y la Tecnologia.
- 4. When, owing to reasons of urgency, the prior administrative authorization referred to in paragraph 2 above cannot be obtained, the relevant administration will be immediately informed of the action taken, and such administration will conduct an administrative enquiry to determine the correctness of the alleged urgency.

EUROPEAN UNION (SWEDEN)

Imports of live fish are based on the Governmental Veterinary Regulation. The Board of Agriculture promulgates the detailed terms. At present import is not allowed for live and round fish. Import for breeding purposes is allowed if the fish is kept in constant quarantine and never released. Offspring can be released under certain conditions. Glass eel may be imported and quarantinized. After approved laboratory tests they may be released along the southern part of the Swedish coast and inland waters. Since 1986 no live fish (except glass-eel) or eggs have been imported.

The Animal Health Control Act stipulates that the Board of Agriculture has the mandate to approve a health control program. The program is voluntary but only farms joining the program are allowed to transfer fish from one water system to another.

According to the Fisheries Ordinance the establishment of a fish farm requires permission and fish may not be released or transferred from one water system to another without permission from the County Administration. The National Board of Fisheries promulgates regulations and issues guidelines on how to deal with the risks of diseases and other biological constraints. Live fish for stocking or farming can only be transferred if the fish is free from notifiable diseases and if the fish farm is a member of the Fish Health Control. Stocking of fish will not be permitted if "valuable" indigenous fish populations can be threatened. The releasing of salmon in a river system is only permitted if the fish originates from that particular river.

EUROPEAN UNION (UNITED KINGDOM)

Fish Health Controls

- 1. In Great Britain the Fish Health Regulations 1992 (as amended) and in Northern Ireland the Fish Health Regulations (Northern Ireland) 1993 implement EU Directive 91/67/EEC concerning the animal health conditions governing the placing on the market of aquaculture animals and products. Under the terms of this Directive both Great Britain and Northern Ireland have been recognised as approved (i.e. disease-free) zones in respect of VHS and IHN. As a result, introductions into Great Britain and Northern Ireland of live salmonids and other susceptible species from non-approved zones is prohibited, as is the import of uneviscerated dead salmonids. There are also controls on the introduction of other species which might transmit these diseases passively. In addition, the Diseases of Fish (Control) Regulations 1994 provide for immediate eradication action in the event of an outbreak of ISA (which is absent from the EU) VHS or IHN in Great Britain; similar regulations are being introduced into Northern Ireland.
- 2. Under the Diseases of Fish Acts 1937 and 1983 and the Diseases of Fish (Northern Ireland) Act 1967 discovery or suspicion of *Gyrodactylus salaris* must be notified to the Government; in this event movement controls would be imposed on the site in question. The United Kingdom is also seeking approval, under the terms of Directive 91/67, for the introduction of controls requiring salmonids introduced into the United Kingdom to come from regions certified as applying similar controls against the disease as are applied to UK zones.

Other Controls

- 3. Under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 in Great Britain and the Wildlife (Northern Ireland) Order 1985 in Northern Ireland there is a prohibition on the release into the wild of species, including fish, which are not normally resident in or regularly visit Great Britain and Northern Ireland, unless a licence is issued by the appropriate Minister.
- 4. In England and Wales, the Salmon and Freshwater Fisheries Act 1975 prohibits the introduction of any fish or fish eggs into any inland water without the written consent of the National Rivers Authority but this prohibition does not apply to fish farms. Under the Import of Live Fish Act 1980, the Minister of Agriculture may prohibit, either absolutely or except under licence, the keeping and release of live fish of a species not native to England and Wales and which might compete with, displace, prey on or harm the habitat of freshwater fish (including salmonids) in England and Wales.
- 5. In Scotland, the Import of Live Fish (Scotland) Act 1979 allows the Secretary of State for Scotland to prohibit, either absolutely or except under licence the keeping and release of live fish of a species not native to Scotland and which might compete with, displace, prey on or harm the habitat of freshwater fish (including salmonids) in Scotland. The Salmon Act 1986 prohibits the intentional introduction of salmon or sea trout into inland waters in any area administered by a District Salmon Fishery

Board unless the permission of the relevant Board is obtained, but this provision does not extend to any water which is in a fish farm.

6. In Northern Ireland, the Fisheries Act (Northern Ireland) 1966 empowers the Department of Agriculture to introduce subordinate legislation to prohibit the introduction into certain waters, except under the authority of a permit, of live fish, eggs or fry of any particular species which would be detrimental to the fishery of those waters.

ICELAND

Regulatory measure concerning transportation and release of salmonids and prevention of fish diseases and genetic mixing of salmon stocks

Paragraph 1

Definitions

The following definitions hold throughout the following text:

Enhancement: Any activity intended to sustain or increase salmon populations, including smolt and fry releases, river improvement, fish passes etc.

Fish rearing: Feeding of fish in captivity, salmon ranching, hatching and rearing of juveniles in fresh and saltwater alike.

Fish farm: A place where fish rearing takes place in sea cages and land-based units, including salmon ranches.

Land rearing: Rearing of salmonids in land-based tanks often with pumping of seawater.

Salmon ranch: A place where salmon are released for ranching and recaptured in traps after their oceanic feeding migration.

Salmon ranching: The act of releasing hatchery smolts and their recapture upon freshwater return after their oceanic migration.

Salmon stock: Group of salmon spawning together in a specific place during a specific period and interbreeding to no extent with other populations.

Wild salmon stock: Group of salmon which is the progeny of natural spawning in a stream.

Rearing stock: Group of salmon which are the progeny of captive salmon and have acclimatised to rearing conditions.

Ranching stock: Group of salmon which are the progeny of ranched parents.

Sterile stock: Group of salmon which are infertile and can not produce viable offspring.

Paragraph 2

Transport of living wild salmon and their eggs

2.1 Transport of wild salmon alive is prohibited without a permit from the fish Disease Committee and the fisheries association of the river of origin. Similarly the transport of living wild salmon and their eggs from one watershed to another for storage, spawning or release into the wild is prohibited. The Minister of Agriculture can permit the transport of living brood fish between watersheds for temporary storage if recommended by the Fish Disease Committee. After spawning all these brood fish shall be slaughtered and samples taken for disease analysis as recommended by the Disease Committee in each case.

- 2.2 Enhancement in salmon rivers shall be based on indigenous stock. The chairman of each river association must consult the Director of Freshwater Fisheries before embarking on such activity. The Director of Freshwater Fisheries can permit the transport of disinfected eggs or resulting progeny for enhancement into streams or lakes with low or nil salmon production, provided that the salmon stocks used are from nearby watersheds of similar character. Enhancement efforts in small streams without salmon which flow into the sea in or close to the estuarine area of a major salmon stream shall be based upon stocks from the major stream or in exceptional cases from nearby streams.
- 2.3 The Director of Freshwater Fisheries can permit the transport of wild parr or adult wild fish from a lake or stream into rearing stations, provided that the station has outflow into the watershed where the fish originate, rearing stocks are not being used and the transport has been approved by the Fish Disease Committee. The transport of wild salmonids into land stations and sea cages can similarly be permitted by the Director of Freshwater Fisheries.

Paragraph 3

Transport and release of rearing and ranching stocks

- 3.1 Any person intending to start salmon ranching shall seek the advice of the Director of Freshwater Fisheries. Ranching stations are permitted to use ranching stock from all ranching stations which have been recognized according to par. 66, 1, no. 76/1970.
- 3.2 Rearing stations with water supply which has the potential of being contaminated with germs from wild fish shall comply to regulatory measure no. 403/1986 with respect to transport of eggs and other living material. Transport shall otherwise comply with this regulatory measure.
- 3.3 Disinfected eggs and the resulting progeny of Icelandic origin as well as brood fish of reared origin can be transported between rearing stations as long as the transport complies with regulatory measure no. 403/1986.
- 3.4 The transport of salmonids of foreign origin for enhancement and ranching is strictly forbidden. The Ministry of Agriculture can permit the transport of such stocks between rearing stations upon a recommendation from the Director of Freshwater Fisheries and the Fish Disease Committee.

Paragraph 4

Various provisions

4.1 Exemptions from the following regulations are subject to a written application to the Ministry of Agriculture or the Director of Freshwater Fisheries as appropriate.

- 4.2 Salmon ranching and enhancement efforts on the north and east coast of Iceland using wild salmon stocks shall use stocks originating from that general area and similar operations on the south and west coasts shall similarly use stocks from that area. The boundaries of the areas are the following:
 - 4.2.1 Southern and western Iceland. Eastern boundaries at Stokknes, northern boundaries at Hornbjarg.
 - 4.2.2 Northern and eastern Iceland. Western boundaries at Bjargtanar, southern boundaries at Ingólfshöfoi.
 - 4.2.3 The Director of Freshwater Fisheries can grant exemptions from those clauses for experimental comparisons of salmon stocks.
- 4.3 Salmon ranching, land-rearing and sea cage stations producing salmon shall not be permitted closer than 5km to salmon streams with an average annual catch exceeding 100 salmon for the last 10 years. For salmon streams with an annual catch exceeding 500 salmon the minimum distance shall be 15km unless local or sterile stocks are used in which case the distance can be shortened to 5km. The distance between land-based stations, salmon ranches and sea cages among themselves shall be at least 2 kilometres. Those distances are measured as the crow flies over open water. The Director of Freshwater Fisheries can recommend to shorten those distances upon a mutual request from fish farmers and fisheries associations in the area concerned.
- 4.4 Salmon ranchers shall microtag 10% of released smolts up to a release of 100 thousand smolts. A minimum figure for larger releases is 10 thousand smolts. Fish farmers using sea cages shall tag at least 1000 salmon with micro- or external tags. Recaptures of these tags in other areas shall form the basis for revision of this regulatory measure.
- 4.5 The release of adult salmon of ranching or reared origin into rivers and lakes for put and take fishery is not permitted unless a local stock is used. The Minister of Agriculture can, however, permit such releases upon the recommendations of the fish Disease Committee and the fisheries association concerned.

Paragraph 5

Penalties and implementation

- 5.1 Violations of this regulatory measure are punishable according to paragraph 97, j-1, in laws no. 76/1970 on salmon and trout fishing unless specified otherwise in other laws.
- 5.2 Violations of this regulatory measure shall be handled according to public law.
- 5.3 This regulatory measure, which is based on paragraphs 22, 66 and 81 of law no. 76/1970 on salmon and trout fishing, goes into effect immediately and shall be revised before July 1 1990.

Ministry of Agriculture, 12th of July 1988 Jón Helgason (Minister) Jón Höskuldsson (Attorney)

110

NORWAY

A brief review of legislation and practice concerning introductions and transfers of aquatic organisms in Norway

This document gives a brief review of the present Norwegian system for legislation, regulation and management relevant to introductions and transfers. The focus is on main principles and practices, but ongoing efforts to improve management tools and practices are also briefly described.

1. Legal framework applicable to introductions and transfers of aquatic organisms in Norway.

The legal basis for the Norwegian policy and practice on introductions and transfers is given in:

- the Act on Anadromous Salmonids and Freshwater Fish
- the interim Fish Diseases Act
- the Aquaculture Act
- the Act on Saltwater Fisheries
- the Quality Control Act
- the Gene Technology Act

Of these Acts the two former are the most important for the considerations of the Working Group.

The main objective of the Act on Anadromous Salmonids and Freshwater Fish is to ensure that natural stocks of anadromous salmonids, freshwater fish, their habitats and other freshwater organisms are managed with a view to maintaining natural diversity and productivity. The Act is administered by the Ministry of the Environment and by the Directorate for Nature Management and the Regional Commissioners as underlying authorities. The environmental authorities, consequently, have the competence in questions relating to import and release of anadromous salmonids.

Under this Act, and reflecting environmental and ecological considerations, the <u>import</u> of any freshwater organism is prohibited without permission from the Ministry.

Likewise, the <u>release</u> of anadromous salmonids, freshwater fish and other freshwater organisms is prohibited without permission from the environmental authorities. Permissions are granted only when local stocks are used, and there is an absolute condition that no fish is transported between regions. As from 1995, these issues will be addressed in regional plans for stock enhancement formulated by each county. Such plans are presently worked out, and will be assessed by the authorities before implementation. The intention is i.a. that the enhancement plans shall secure genetic resources and prevent the spread of diseases and parasites.

The Act on Anadromous Salmonids and Freshwater Fish further prohibits measures for <u>stock</u> <u>enhancement</u> without permission from the Ministry of Environment. Permission for stock enhancement measures may be granted by regulations for specific types of activities or areas, or by individual decision on application. The regulations in this field are very strict,

including the use of local stocks as a mandatory prerequisite. Through 1995-1998, enhancement measures will gradually be integrated in, and considered as part of, the stock enhancement plans.

The Interim Fish Diseases Act is aimed at prevention, control and eradication of diseases in aquatic organisms. For marine species, the Act relates only to organisms in containment. Present regulations regarding fish health as well as introductions and transfers of aquatic organisms are mainly based on this legislation. The Act enables the Ministry of Agriculture and its underlying authorities to implement the measures deemed necessary to fulfil the object of the Act.

Under the Fish Diseases Act, it is prohibited to sell or offer for sale, give away, purchase, receive or <u>release</u> living aquatic organisms if it is clear, or there is reason to suspect, that they are suffering from or infected by diseases to which the Act applies. The Act further enables the veterinary authorities to <u>prohibit import</u> of living aquatic organisms, used packing, used fishing gear and other products or articles that may be contaminated with infectious agents.

This Act further prohibits the establishment of new hatcheries without the approval of the veterinary authorities, and a prior consent from the Ministry is required for the establishment of new, or expansion of existing, installations for rearing aquatic organisms.

In addition to the strict measures listed, the interim Fish Diseases Act is the legal basis for regulations dealing with:

- records of aquatic organisms going into or out of fish farms
- ban on stripping of eggs from organisms in case of disease
- visits to fish farms
- control of broodstock
- routine disinfection of eggs
- certificate of origin and health relating to sale, <u>transfer and release</u> of living fish and eggs
- treatment of waste, used equipment etc
- zones for control of specific diseases
- disease prevention measures in fish slaughterhouses, processing plants etc
- approval of methods and equipment for the treatment of dead fish, waste, effluents etc originating from aquaculture

The veterinary regulations have been adjusted to meet the standards set by the Treaty of European Economic Association and conform with the relevant regulations in the European Union, except for those concerning living aquatic organisms.

The Aquaculture Act (Ministry of Fisheries) regulates aquaculture with a view to enhancing a balanced and sustainable industry contributing to vitality and prosperity in coastal regions. Under this Act, <u>import</u> of living marine organisms or living eggs of such organisms for aquaculture purposes requires an approval from the Ministry of Fisheries.

The Saltwater Fisheries Act (Ministry of Fisheries) regulates the exercise of saltwater fishing in Norwegian waters and forms the basis for a wide variety of technical regulations. In addition, this Act contains a provision prohibiting the <u>release</u> of organisms and living eggs in the sea without permission from the Ministry of Fisheries. Permissions may be given by

regulations for specific organisms and specific areas or by individual decision on application. As yet, no specific regulations have been established under this provision, but work has been initiated in this respect.

The Quality Control Act (Ministry of Fisheries) regulates the handling and processing of fish, but a regulation given under this Act also contains provisions regarding import of living lobsters and mussels.

The Gene Technology Act (Ministry of the Environment) regulates the production and use including introductions and transfers - of genetically modified organisms with an aim to ensure that such activity is carried out in an ethically and socially acceptable way, in accordance with the principle of sustainable development and without detrimental effects on health and the environment.

2. Recent Practice Regarding Imports, Transfers and Release

2.1 Import

For the last years, no applications for import of anadromous salmonids, freshwater fish or other freshwater organisms have been put forward. This may reflect the restrictive practice in this field that is based upon previous experience, i.a. with *Gyrodactylus salaris* and furunculosis.

As for marine organisms, some import permissions have been given. Most of them have been related to import of lobster for consumption, where strict conditions have been set. Some permissions were granted for import of living oysters for aquaculture purposes before 1990, but since then no permissions have been granted. Import of oysters at present is restricted to consumptive uses. Permission to import a limited number of sea bass as brood stock was given to a land based aquaculture site some years ago, after a thorough health and quarantine inspection.

The veterinary authorities grant permission for import of freshwater organisms for contained use in aquaria etc, but the practice regarding import of organisms for release has been strict, and no permissions have been granted.

2.2 <u>Transfers</u>

Transfers of organisms has been practised in connection with stock enhancement, aquaculture and sea ranching. All such cases are considered according to the provisions of the interim Fish Diseases Act in addition to other relevant legislation.

Current practice regarding <u>stock enhancement</u> is very strict, and only local stocks are allowed for this purpose. The new county stock enhancement plans, coming into effect in 1995, will contribute to even better protection of the wild stocks, as each county will be divided into separate zones expected to be self-contained with fish for stocking.

Where <u>fish farming</u> is concerned, restrictions have been set for trade in smolts for release into net pens where disease has been proven or suspected. Transfer of fish between different net pen localities is prohibited, and the only permissions given for such 'transfers' relates to emergency situations (i.a. poisonous algal blooms). Further, a report has been drafted by the relevant authorities where a proposal has been made to divide the country into nine different epidemiological regions i.a. with a view to improving the disease status in the industry. According to the proposal, each region will be self-supported, and there will be strict regulations regarding transport of, and trade in, living fish between regions. A decision has not yet been taken on this issue.

<u>Sea ranching</u> is not a commercial industry in Norway, but different models for future sea ranching have been explored. Where this work has involved anadromous salmonids, relevant regulations in the Act on anadromous salmonids and freshwater fish has been applied. Projects involving marine species (cod and lobster) are treated under the Saltwater Fisheries Act, but so far only very few applications have been granted.

2.3 <u>Release</u>

Release of fish and other aquatic organisms may take place deliberately or incidentally. Deliberate release in the form of stock enhancement or sea ranching has already been described and will not be discussed further.

The most common form of incidental release relates to damage to net pens in aquaculture, which has been a highly discussed problem for some years. Due to i.a. improved controls of net pen installations, the extent of this problem has diminished during the last couple of years. A system for certification of installations has been proposed, but is pending decision.

Another form of incidental release relates to illegal spreading of fish. This activity has in some cases been based upon "enhancement" of certain stocks - especially freshwater salmonids (trout and charr). There has also been problems associated with the use of live bait. Due to the often clandestine nature of this activity, the extent is unknown. However, much attention is presently given to this problem.

RUSSIA

REGULATIONS ON THE PROCEDURES USED FOR ACCLIMATION OF FISH, OTHER AQUATIC ORGANISMS AND FOR STOCKING OF RESERVOIRS OF THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION (Approved by the Order of the Russian Fisheries Committee)

1. General

- 1.1 Acclimatization of fish, other aquatic organisms and stocking into reservoirs are a part of a complex of measures aimed at enhancement of fish resources and resources of other aquatic organisms in the wild and in artificial reservoirs. The goal of acclimatization and stocking is to enhance the production in and economic value of reservoirs through directed development of ecosystems (improvement of species composition and increase of the numbers of certain valuable species of fish and invertebrates and expansion of their native habitat). In view of this in carrying out acclimatization one proceeds from species properties and stocking capacity of a reservoir.
- 1.2 Acclimatization of aquatic organisms is a biological and biotechnical process implying introduction of species transported from one reservoir, region, country to another, which were not their native habitat before or from where they vanished, with the aim of its full or partial naturalization, and other forms of economic utilization (recreational fisheries, lake farming, biological amelioration). Acclimatization can be done in two ways: full-cycle and step-by-step acclimatization.

Full-cycle acclimatization - naturalization - is a final stage of the process of acclimatization, when an introduced species has adapted to a new habitat, has found its niche and developed relations with resident species in the ecosystem of the reservoir of introduction, flexible equilibrium of the numbers of the introduced population has set in and it has become possible that it can be used as prey and taken as commercial catch.

Step-by-step acclimatization is an incomplete acclimatization, when certain stages in the development of the introduced species can not be completed under the conditions available in a new habitat and go off in another reservoir or under the human protection.

Stocking is a particular case of step-by-step acclimatization, which describes type, method of economic activity and implies a regular release of stocking material for feeding into already tested waters.

Re-acclimatization is an introduction of a species with the aim to rehabilitate its population within its natural (in the past) habitat, from where this species vanished for some reason.

2. <u>Organisation, planning and fulfilment of acclimatization work</u>

- 2.1 All work to develop food supply and ichthyofauna in natural and other reservoirs shall be carried out pursuant to these Regulations.
- 2.2 Practical measures to develop fauna and flora of a reservoir shall be preceded by biological justification including biological description of the introduced species, capacity of a reservoir, to which stocking is undertaken, action plan to fulfil introduction and economic evaluation of this measure.
- 2.3 Biological justification for introduction of an object of acclimatization and stocking, including species fished in recreational fisheries, into natural waters and reservoirs can come from individual persons and organisations. Locally it is considered by a Scientific Council of a basin Fisheries Research Institute together with a basin Department of the Ichthyological Commission, local organs of the Ministry of Conservancy of Environment and Natural Resources of the Russian Federation and basin agencies of fish conservancy. If approved the biological justification followed by two copies of a protocol are forwarded for approval to the Scientific Council on Acclimatization of Fish and Invertebrates within the Ichthyological Commission, one copy shall be sent to the Central Directorate of Fisheries Expertise and Standards on Protection, Enhancement of Fish Resources and Acclimatization (TSUREN) to coordinate practical measures connected to supply and transport of stocking material.
- 2.4 Positive decision by the Scientific Council on Acclimatization of Fish and Invertebrates within Ichthyological Commission shall be sent to those who had prepared the biological justification together with the recommendation to publish it within one month time and besides to territorial organs of conservancy of environment and natural resources and to fish conservancy agency.
- 2.5 Approved biological justification is a basis for inclusion into annual plans made by basin fish conservancy directorates and fisheries organisations of releases of species for acclimatization and stocking into natural waters and reservoirs.
- 2.6 TSUREN undertakes coordination of acclimatization measures, control over planning and arrangement of operations on acclimatization of fish, other aquatic organisms and stocking of reservoirs in the Russian Federation, provides methodological and practical assistance in carrying out operations, summarizes results from acclimatization and stocking.
- 2.7 Basin fish conservancy directorates and fisheries organisations submit to TSUREN within times set by the Russian Fisheries Committee draft plans on releases of species for acclimatization and stocking in natural waters and reservoirs for analysis and working out of acclimatization measures, which require consideration by the Scientific Council on Acclimatization of Fish and Invertebrates within the Ichthyological Commission.
- 2.8 Amendments made by the Scientific Council on Acclimatization of Fish and Invertebrates, shall be advised by TSUREN to the basin fish conservancy directorates and fisheries organisations for further adjustment of draft plans on acclimatization of fish, other aquatic organisms and stocking of natural waters and reservoirs.

- 2.9 To preserve the genofond of aquatic organisms it is prohibited to release interspecific and intergeneric hybrids into natural waters and reservoirs. For economic purposes hybrids can be released at lake farms only after this operation has been agreed with the Scientific Council on Acclimatization of Fish and Invertebrates and the Scientific Council on Genetics and Selection of Fish within the Ichthyological Commission. Import of species from abroad for acclimatization and stocking for scientific and economic purposes shall be undertaken only after having been agreed with the Ichthyological Commission.
- 2.10 It is prohibited to undertake acclimatization in reservoirs having endemic fauna.
- 2.11 Transportation of species for acclimatization and stocking is carried out in a strict compliance with established veterinary procedures to be used for transportation of live fish, fertilized eggs, crayfish and other aquatic organisms.
- 2.12 Stocking material shall be released in the presence of representatives of fish conservancy agencies, territorial organs of conservancy of environment and natural resources, scientific and fisheries organisations and this operation is documented as act of acceptance-transfer of culture produce.
- 2.13 Termination of introduction of species for acclimatization and stocking into natural waters and reservoirs is decided by TSUREN and agreed with the Scientific Council on Acclimatization of Fish and Invertebrates within the Ichthyological Commission after relevant documents have been presented by fisheries organisations.

3. <u>Control over fulfilment of acclimatization operations</u>

- 3.1 Control over fulfilment of acclimatization operations in natural waters and reservoirs is accomplished by the Russian Fisheries Committee through TSUREN and basin fish conservancy directorates, by the Ministry of the Conservation of Environment and Natural Resources of the Russian Federation and its territorial organs with participation of relevant scientific organisations.
- 3.2 Basin fish conservancy directorates and subordinate industrial and acclimation stations and fish protection inspections carry out control locally over the compliance with all requirements as specified by these Regulations and ensure:
 - guarding of sites of acclimatization and stocking,
 - education work among local residents to explain the need of protection of introduced species,
 - collection and submission on a regular basis to TSUREN of comprehensive information on all releases of stocking material and results of stocking,
 - monitoring of acclimatization together with territorial organs of conservation of environment and natural resources and scientific organisations.

4. <u>Responsibilities for non-compliance with procedures to be used in acclimatization</u> of fish, other aquatic organisms and stocking

4.1 Managers of companies engaged in acclimatization and stocking into natural waters and reservoirs shall bear personal responsibility for the cases, when:

species for acclimatization and stocking have been released without relevant biological justification, approved by the Scientific Council on Acclimatization of Fish and Invertebrates within the Ichthyological Commission,

- other species, including disease agents, which can cause epizooty in a reservoir, mortality of fish and other aquatic organisms have been introduced to reservoirs together with species for acclimatization and introduction,
- stocking material designated for introduction into natural waters and reservoirs has been used for stocking at lake farms.
- 4.2 Officials and persons, enterprises, institutions and organisations shall bear administrative responsibility for offences specified by item 4.1 or others related to non-compliance with ecological or other regulations and which caused mortality of aquatic organisms, deterioration of production conditions and other adverse ecological effects pursuant to Article 84 of the RF Law "On conservation of natural environment", Article 84 (2) of the RF Code "On administrative offences" and RF Law "On the procedure to calculate fines stipulated by the RF Code on Administrative Offences".

Prepared and signed by

The Directorate of Conservancy, Enhancement of Fish Resources and Fisheries Management

Agreed with the Ichthyological Commission

Approved through the Order of 27 December 1993 by the Chairman of the Russian Fisheries Commission

LAW

OF THE UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

ON

CONSERVATION AND USE OF THE ANIMAL WORLD

Article 29 Transfers, acclimation and interbreeding of animals

Transfers of animals to new habitats, acclimatization of species which are new for the fauna of the USSR, and interbreeding of animals are allowed for scientific and economic purposes if underpinned by justification from relevant scientific organisations and after a decision from specially authorized governmental agencies on conservation and regulation of the use of animal world.
Appendix 4

IAT9407

IAT(94)7

NORTH-EAST ATLANTIC COMMISSION WORKING GROUP ON INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSFERS OF SALMONIDS

INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENTS ON INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSFERS

IAT(94)7

INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENTS ON INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSFERS

INTRODUCTION

As part of its deliberations on the need for changes in measures to deal with introductions and transfers the Working Group will wish to consider existing international agreements. As a result of concern about the damage caused by poorly planned introductions, provisions dealing with introductions have been included in many international agreements. These are summarised briefly below.

United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea

Under Article 196 "States shall take all measures necessary to prevent, reduce and control pollution of the marine environment resulting from the use of technologies under their jurisdiction or control, or the intentional or accidental introduction of species, alien or new, to a particular part of the marine environment, which may cause significant and harmful changes thereto".

United Nations Environment Programme Convention on Biological Diversity of 5 June 1992

Article 8 of this Convention states that "Each Contracting Party shall as far as possible and as appropriate

- (g) Establish or maintain means to regulate, manage or control the risks associated with the use and release of living modified organisms resulting from biotechnology which are likely to have adverse environmental impacts that could affect the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity;
- (h) Prevent the introduction of, control or eradicate those alien species which threaten ecosystems, habitats or species".

Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats of 19 September, 1979 (the Bern Convention)

Under Article 11, paragraph 2 of this Convention each Contracting Party undertakes:

- a) to encourage the reintroduction of native species of wild flora and fauna when this would contribute to the conservation of an endangered species, provided that a study is first made in the light of the experiences of other Contracting Parties to establish that such reintroduction would be effective and acceptable;
- b) to strictly control the introduction of non-native species.

Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals of 23 June 1979 (the Bonn Convention)

Under Article V, paragraph 5(e) conservation and management agreements concluded under the Convention should provide for "conservation and, where required and feasible, restoration of the habitats of importance in maintaining a favourable conservation status and protection of such habitats from disturbances, including strict control of the introduction of, or control of already introduced, exotic species detrimental to the migratory species". The Bonn Convention does not, however, apply to Atlantic salmon.

Preliminary Draft Code of Conduct for Responsible Fishing

The Declaration of Cancun which was adopted at the International Conference on Responsible Fishing requested FAO to draft, in consultation with other international organizations, an International Code of Conduct on Responsible Fishing. During a Technical Consultation held in Rome, Italy from 26 September - 5 October 1994 a Preliminary Draft Code was reviewed. Article 8 of this Preliminary Draft addresses Aquaculture Development and paragraph 8.2.6 states that "States should conserve genetic diversity and maintain genetic integrity of aquatic communities and eco-systems by minimizing the risks of introducing non-native species or genetically altered stocks used for aquaculture including culture-based fisheries into waters". This Code of Conduct is still being developed and was considered by the Committee on Fisheries at its Twnety-first session during 10-15 March 1995. It is clear from the inclusion of this Article that FAO recognises the potentially damaging effects of introduced species on native species and their environment.

ICES Code of Practice to Reduce the Risks of Adverse Effects Arising from Introductions of Non-Indigenous Marine Species

At its 1973 Statutory Meeting, ICES adopted a Code of Practice to Reduce the Risks of Adverse Effects Arising from Introduction of Non-Indigenous Marine Species. Revised Codes were adopted in 1979 and in 1990. These Codes apply to anadromous as well as marine species. The revised 1990 Code of Practice to Reduce the Risks of Adverse Effects Arising from Introduction and Transfers of Marine Species includes details of the procedures to follow prior to reaching a decision regarding new introductions; the actions to be taken if it is decided to proceed with the introduction; and the procedures to be followed for introduced or transferred species which are part of current commercial practice. The Code also encourages regulatory authorities to use the strongest possible measures to prevent unauthorised or unapproved introductions.

The Code recommends that prior to reaching a decision, member countries contemplating a new introduction should be requested to present to the Council of ICES, at an early stage, details of the proposed introduction (including species, stage in the life-cycle, area of origin, proposed plan of introduction and objectives, etc.) so that the Council can consider the possible outcome and offer advice on the acceptability of the choice. Further, the appropriate authorities of the importing country should examine each proposed introduction in its natural environment, and assess the justification for the introduction, its relationship with other members of the ecosystem and the role played by parasites and diseases. The probable effects of the introduced species in its new area should be carefully assessed. This information should be communicated to ICES for evaluation and comment. If the decision is taken to proceed with the new introduction a broodstock should be established in an approved quarantine situation in sufficient time to allow adequate evaluation of its health status, and only the first generation progeny of the introduced species should be transplanted to the natural environment if no diseases or parasites become evident. Initially, the F1 progeny should be placed on a limited scale into open waters to assess ecological interactions with native species. All effluents from hatcheries or establishments used for quarantine should be sterilised in an approved manner. A continuing study of the introduced species in its new environment should be made and progress reports made to ICES.

For introduced or transferred species which are part of current commercial practice the Code recommends periodic inspection by the receiving country of material prior to mass transplantation to confirm freedom from introducible pests and diseases with importation discontinued immediately if inspection reveals any undesirable development. The Code also recommends inspection and control of each consignment on arrival, quarantine and disinfection whenever possible and where appropriate and establishment of broodstocks certified free of specific pathogens.

In 1994, the ICES Working Group on Introductions and Transfers of Marine Organisms prepared a further revision of the Code of Practice. This latest revision has a shortened title (1994 Code of Practice on Introductions and Transfers of Marine Organisms), includes a preamble which warns of the risks associated with introductions and/or transfers and includes a section on genetically modified organisms. Greater emphasis is placed on the genetic implications of introductions and transfers. The Revised Code was recommended for adoption by the Council of ICES at its Annual Science Conference in St John's, Canada, in September 1994.

EIFAC Code of Practice to Reduce the Risk of Adverse Effects Arising from the Introduction and Transfer of Inland Aquatic Organisms

At its Fourteenth session held in Bordeaux, France in 1987 the European Inland Fisheries Advisory Commission (EIFAC) endorsed the efforts of the first session of its Working Party on Introductions. The report of the EIFAC Working Party included a "Code of Practice to Reduce the Risk of Adverse Effects Arising from the Introduction and Transfer of Inland Aquatic Organisms" which was based closely upon the Revised 1979 ICES Code of Practice.

The Code recommends that prior to reaching a decision regarding proposed introduction, member countires should provide information to EIFAC so that advice may be offered. It is further recommended that the appropriate authorities of the importing country examine each "candidate for admission" and assess carefully the probable effects of the introduction. If the decision is taken to proceed with the introduction it is recommended that a broodstock developed from imported eggs is established in an approved quarantine situation and, if no pathogenic organisms including parasites become evident, the first generation progeny of the introduced species may be transplanted to culture sites or to the natural environment, preferably to small, isolated and restricted river basins or lakes. Following the introduction it is recommended that a continuing study of the introduced species is made and progress reports submitted to EIFAC and efforts should be made to contain the species within the water bodies into which the introduction was made. The Code also encourages regulatory agencies to use the strongest possible measures to prevent unauthorized or unapproved introductions and transfers. Where introductions and transfers are part of current commercial practice the Code recommends periodic inspection of material by the receiving country, prior to mass transplantation, inspection and control of each consignment on arrival, guarantining or disinfection where appropriate and establishment of broodstocks certified free of specified pathogens.

The EIFAC Code will be reviewed to ensure uniformity with the amendments to the ICES Code. Furthermore, the need for increased effort to make the Codes more visible and more

practical in certain areas has been recognised and a Users Manual is being considered in this regard.

International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources (IUCN) Position Statement on Translocation of Living Organisms - Introductions, Re-introductions and Re-stocking

The IUCN statement is divided into three sections dealing with introductions, re-introductions and re-stocking and describes the decisions that should be taken before proceeding with these different categories of translocation.

Introductions, ie the intentional or accidental dispersal by human agency of a living organism outside its historically known native range, should only be considered if clear and well defined benefits can be foreseen and if no native species is considered suitable. However, no alien species should be deliberately introduced into any natural habitat, and no alien species should be introduced into any semi-natural habitat unless there are exceptional reasons for doing so and only following careful investigation and planning in advance. With regard to introductions to man-made habitats, it is recommended that an assessment be made of the effects of surrounding natural and semi-natural habitat and appropriate action taken to minimise negative effects. With regard to planning a beneficial introduction, essential features of investigation and planning consist of an assessment phase; an experimental, controlled trial and the extensive introduction phase. The extensive introduction should only be carried out if the introduced species behaves as predicted under the experimental conditions. The statement also deals with accidental introductions and states that measures should be taken to discharge the escape of farmed, including captive bred, alien wild animals and newly domesticated species which could breed with their wild ancestors if they escape.

Re-introduction, ie the release of a species into an area in which it was indigenous before extermination, should only take place where the original causes of the extinction have been removed and where the habitat requirement of the species are satisfied. The programme for a re-introduction should consist of a feasibility study, a preparation phase, release or introduction phase and a follow-up phase.

Restocking, ie the release of a species into an area in which it is already present, may be a useful tool, for example, where a population has dropped below critical levels and recovery by natural growth will be dangerously slow. Before restocking care should be taken to ensure that the problem is not the result of poor management which has allowed deterioration in the habitat or over-utilisation of the population. Where there are compelling reasons for restocking attention should be paid to the genetic constitution of stocks used; the species used for restocking must be of the same race as the population into which they are released and individuals from a similar climatic or ecological zone should be used.

The IUCN position statement also recommends that governments should set up or utilise existing scientific management authorities to advise on policy matters concerning translocations and on individual proposals for translocation of wild species, capture and transport of wild animals, selection and propagation of wild species for domestication and prevention and control of invasive alien species. At the national level, legislation is required to curtail introductions. Deliberate introductions should be subject to a permit system which should apply not only to species introduced from abroad but also to native species introduced to a new area in the same country. With regard to accidental introductions for all potentially harmful organisms there should be a prohibition on their importation and trade in them except under a permit and under stringent conditions. There should also be strict controls on the use of live fish bait to avoid inadvertent introductions of species into areas where they do not naturally occur. The person responsible for an introduction without a permit or by negligence should be legally liable for the damage incurred and should, in particular, bear the costs of eradication.

Position Statement of the American Fisheries Society on Introductions of Aquatic Species

In 1972 the American Fisheries Society endorsed a position statement on Introductions of Aquatic Species, subsequently modified in 1986, containing the following elements:

- 1. Encourage fish importers, farmers, dealers and hobbyists to prevent and discourage the accidental or purposeful introduction of aquatic species into their local ecosystems;
- 2. Urge that no city, county, state, province, or federal agency introduce, or allow to be introduced, any species into any waters within its jurisdiction which might contaminate any waters outside its jurisdiction without official sanction of the exposed jurisdiction;
- 3. Urge that only ornamental aquarium fish dealers be permitted to import such fishes for sale or distribution to hobbyists;
- 4. Urge that importation of fishes for purposes of research not involving introduction into a natural ecosystem, or for display in public aquaria by individuals or organizations, be made under agreement with responsible government agencies;
- 5. Urge that all species considered for release be prohibited and considered undesirable for any purposes of introduction into any ecosystem unless that species shall have been evaluated upon the following bases and found to be desirable:
 - a) Rationale for seeking an import should be clearly stated and demonstrated;
 - b) Search of possible contenders should be made;
 - c) Preliminary assessment of the impact on the target aquatic ecosystems, general effect on game and food fishes or waterfowl, on aquatic plants and public health;
 - d) Publicity and review to facilitate careful evaluation; no importation is so urgent that it should not be subject to careful evaluation.
 - e) Experimental research in confined waters to test the impact;
 - f) Evaluation or recommendation;
 - g) Introduction, if the evaluation process is favourable, followed by monitoring.

Resolution by the Parties to the Convention for the Conservation of Salmon in the North Atlantic Ocean To Minimise Impacts From Salmon Aquaculture on The Wild Stocks

This Resolution, developed in response to concern about the adverse impacts of salmon aquaculture on the wild stocks, was adopted by the Council of NASCO at its Eleventh Annual Meeting in 1994 and contains a number of elements concerning transfers. For example, Article 2 states that each Party shall take measures, to the full extent practicable, to minimise escapes of farmed salmon; minimise the straying of ranched salmon and minimise adverse genetic and other biological interactions from enhancement activities. Under Part I of the Annex to the Resolution it is stated that "transfers of salmon shall be conducted so as to minimise the potential for transmission of diseases and parasites, and for genetic and other biological interactions. Mechanisms to control transfers should be introduced where necessary".

Protocols for the Introduction and Transfer of Salmonids in the North American Commission Area of NASCO

Introductions and transfers of aquatic species for stock restoration and to satisfy demand for recreational/commercial fishing have been carried out in Canada and the north-eastern USA since the 1800's. In recent years there has been increasing interest in introductions and transfers for use in aquaculture. At the North American Commission's First Annual Meeting a Scientific Working Group on Introductions of New Salmonids on the Atlantic Seaboard was established and this Working Group, subsequently renamed the NAC Scientific Working Group on Salmonid Introductions and Transfers, has continued to provide advice and comments to the Commission on relevant issues. In a report to the Commission in 1987 the Group recognised that there was a potential for adverse impacts on North American Atlantic salmon resulting particularly from the transfer of European Atlantic salmon as well as from the introduction of Pacific salmonids, particularly steelhead rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss), to the east coast of North America. Furthermore, the different mechanisms in place in the United States and Canada offered varying degrees of control against the spread of disease agents and a particular concern was expressed about the possible transfer from the west coast of the virus causing the disease Infectious Haematopoietic Necrosis (IHN) which it was believed would probably "prove devastating to indigenous salmonid stocks". Concern was also expressed about the growing use of "genetically homozygous" Atlantic salmon in aquaculture and their occurrence in the wild following escape and the use of genetically engineered stocks. The Working Group considered that blanket bans against all salmonid introductions and transfers may not be warranted but that there was a need for interim measures until appropriate protocols could be developed. These protocols developed by the Working Group were adopted by the North American Commission at its Ninth Annual Meeting in 1992 and have the following objectives:

- a) To minimise the risk of introduction and spread of infectious disease agents;
- b) To prevent the reduction in genetic diversity and prevent the introduction of nonadaptive genes to wild Atlantic salmon populations;
- c) To minimise the intra- and inter-specific impacts of introductions and transfers on Atlantic salmon stocks.

The protocols which are considered the minimum to safeguard the wild stocks are reviewed every two years and were amended at the Commission's Annual Meeting in 1994. Three zones (I-III) have been designated based on the degree of degradation or manipulation of the wild salmon populations. To allow operational flexibility there are three classes of rivers (I-III) although in most cases the river is given the same class as the zone in which it occurs. The following Protocols apply to all three zones:

- i) Reproductively viable strains of Atlantic salmon of European origin, including Icelandic origin, are not to be released or used in aquaculture in the North American Commission area;
- ii) No live salmonid fish, fertilised eggs, gametes or fish products are to be imported from IHN enzootic areas unless sources have an acceptable history of disease testing demonstrating the absence of IHN;
- iii) Prior to any transfer of eggs, juveniles or broodstock, a minimum of three health inspections of the donor facility will be undertaken during the two-year period immediately preceding the transfer; the inspections must reveal no evidence of either emergency or restricted fish pathogens in the donor population;

- iv) Prior to any movement of non-native fishes into a river system or rearing site inhabited by Atlantic salmon the agency with jurisdiction shall review and evaluate fully the potential for adverse impacts on wild Atlantic salmon populations;
- (v) Hatchery rearing programmes should try to use only F1 progeny from wild stocks; derive broodstock from all phenotype age-groups and the entire run of a donor population; avoid selection of "best fish"; and during spawning make only single paired matings of a broodstock of no less than 100 parents.

Each zone then has specific protocols dealing with rehabilitation, establishment or reestablishment of salmon in rivers or parts of watersheds with no salmon; and aquaculture (including ranching). The protocols are most restrictive for Zone I (containing rivers which are mainly pristine) and least restrictive for Zone III (containing rivers where habitats have been altered, or the fish communities destabilised and where exotic species are present). The protocols also detail the responsibilities of the proponents and of government agencies having the authority to issue permits and they provide details of the information required in preparing proposals and on how proposals should be evaluated. The responsibilities of the NAC Scientific Working Group on Salmonid Introductions and Transfers are also detailed and these include maintaining an inventory of all introductions of salmonids; of transfers of salmonids from IHN-infected areas and of importation of salmonids across national boundaries into the Commission area. In this way the Working Group can review and evaluate all introductions and transfers before they take place and report to the Commission.

CONCLUSIONS

From this brief review it is clear that the potentially damaging effects of introductions and/or transfers are clearly recognised in international conservation agreements. Some of these agreements are regional and are not therefore of relevance to all NASCO Parties; others are global but have not been accepted by all NASCO Parties. Some of these agreements commit signatories to take measures to protect against damage resulting from introductions and/or transfers but offer no guidance on the nature of the measures required. Others, such as the ICES and EIFAC codes, and the IUCN Position Statement provide general guidance. The agreements also vary considerably in their scope. The ICES Code deals with marine organisms (including anadromous species), the EIFAC Code deals with inland aquatic organisms and the IUCN Position Statement applies to all living organisms. The ICES and EIFAC Codes and the AFS Protocols are non-binding, whereas the NAC Protocols deal specifically with salmonids and are being incorporated in the domestic laws, regulations and policies of Canada and the United States. Despite the existing international agreements it is clear that in the North-East Atlantic the parasite Gyrodactylus salaris has been introduced inadvertently across international borders and spread within countries, threatening a number of salmon stocks with extinction. The North-East Atlantic Commission's Working Group on Introductions and Transfers might therefore wish to consider whether some form of international agreement or guidelines dealing specifically with salmonids is desirable or whether it considers that the existing international agreements are adequate.

ANNEX 3

NORTH-EAST ATLANTIC COMMISSION

NEA(95)12

INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSFERS

NEA(95)12

INTRODUCTIONS AND TRANSFERS

- 1. The North-East Atlantic Commission, having considered evidence of the damage that can be done to wild salmon stocks by introductions and transfers, recognised that such movements pose genetic, ecological and disease and parasite risks to the wild Atlantic salmon. It is clear that such damage can be so severe as to render certain wild salmon stocks extinct. The fact that diseases and parasites have been first introduced and then spread into other areas previously unaffected strongly suggests the inadequacy of the arrangements existing at the time, whether that be because of the nature of those arrangements or because of lack of implementation. In recent years there has been a strengthening of legislation although the measures are of a different nature depending on the Contracting Parties.
- 2. The North-East Atlantic Commission came to the view that, to protect wild salmon stocks there should be measures stronger than those at present in force. The Commission looked at a number of options for making conservation of the wild stocks more assured and, subject to reservations on the implementation of some of the measures which may be incompatible with existing legislation, the Parties, agreed, in principle, on the guidelines below. The Parties agreed to implement the measures in the proposed guidelines as soon as practicable and to present the guidelines in an appropriate form for formal approval before or at the next meeting of the Commission. (These proposed guidelines do not apply to the use of introductions or transfers for research purposes provided that fish moved for such purposes are held in secure The proposed guidelines are complementary to the quarantine facilities.) recommendations on salmon farming, ranching and enhancement in the Resolution by the Parties to the Convention for the Conservation of Salmon in the North Atlantic Ocean to Minimise Impacts from Salmon Aquaculture on the Wild Salmon Stocks (CNL(94)53) adopted by the Council in 1994.

3. Movements Originating from Outside the North-East Atlantic Commission Area

Movements into the Commission area of live Atlantic salmon and their eggs which have originated from outside the Commission area should not be permitted.

4. Transgenic Atlantic Salmon

Transgenic Atlantic salmon (and other salmonids containing genetic material from Atlantic salmon) should not be permitted in the Commission area except in secure self-contained facilities.

On this point, however, there is at this stage no unanimous position.

5. Movements within the North-East Atlantic Commission Area

(a) Specified diseases and parasites

Mapping of the presence of serious diseases and parasites should be used to establish epidemiological zones, i.e. zones free of specific pathogens, covering the Commission area. Management measures within these zones should include monitoring to confirm the disease status of a zone and eradication. These zones should be established for at least the following diseases: Viral Haemorrhagic Septicaemia (VHS), Infectious Haematopoietic Necrosis (IHN), Infectious Salmon Anaemia (ISA) and the parasite *Gyrodactylus salaris*.

Movements of live salmonids and their eggs from a zone where any of the specified diseases is present to a zone free of these diseases should not be permitted. However, movements of salmonid eggs should be permitted where there is no risk of transmission of the specified diseases or parasite.

(b) Unknown diseases and parasites

The North-East Atlantic Commission recommends the strengthening of procedures for the early identification and detection of, and rapid response to, an outbreak of a previously unknown disease or parasitic infection likely to affect Atlantic salmon. However, it is recognised that even with such procedures it may not be possible to respond in time to prevent the spread of such an infection. The North-East Atlantic Commission considered the concept of zones designed to reduce the spread of such diseases. Such zones could reinforce natural barriers to the spread of diseases and parasites. They could be defined using geographical, climatic and biological criteria and might involve the territories of one or of more than one Party. Movements of live salmonids between such zones would be prohibited. The EU indicated that the implementation of the zones could be incompatible with existing Community legislation.

(c) Health inspections of donor facilities

Movements of live salmonids and their eggs from hatcheries to areas containing Atlantic salmon stocks, or to facilities where there is a risk of transmission of infection to such areas, should only take place from facilities where regular inspections have not detected significant diseases and parasites.

6. Movements of Non-Indigenous Fish

- No non-indigenous fish should be introduced into a river containing Atlantic salmon without a thorough evaluation of the potential adverse impacts on the Atlantic salmon population(s) which indicates that there are no risks of adverse ecological interactions. Where a decision is taken to proceed with the introduction of a non-indigenous species it should be carried out in accordance with the codes of practice developed by ICES and EIFAC.
- Introductions of non-indigenous anadromous salmonids into the Commission area should not be permitted.

7. Classification of Rivers

The North-East Atlantic Commission will consider the development of a classification system for Atlantic salmon rivers as a suitable basis for the development of management measures in relation to introductions and

transfers. The rationale for this approach is to permit different measures to be developed for each class of river.

8. Unintentional Introductions and Releases and Related Education and Information

The North-East Atlantic Commission is concerned at the impacts of unintentional introductions of aquatic species which may adversely affect wild salmon stocks. Such introductions and transfers can occur, for example, in ships' ballast water, with the use of containers for transport of fish, as a result of the release of live bait or on fishing equipment. It is recommended that steps should be taken to limit the risks from such unintentional introductions. To this end it recommends that the NASCO Secretariat produces educational material and other information to increase awareness of the risks.

9. Ad Hoc Working Group on Introductions and Transfers

The North-East Atlantic Commission asked the Secretary to complete the following work:

- review the suitability of the descriptive classes used in the NASCO rivers database as a classification system that could be used as a basis for the application of relevant measures for different river classes;
- review and propose appropriate criteria for defining zones designated to reduce the spread of diseases and parasites.

The Contracting Parties should work by correspondence so that the Secretary could present a draft Resolution on Guidelines on Introductions and Transfers of Salmonids to the Commission at its Thirteenth Annual Meeting. If there should be a need for a Working Group each Party should nominate experts for an ad hoc Working Group to be chaired by the Secretary. If the Secretary decides that an inter-sessional meeting proves to be necessary, it is agreed to hold this in Brussels. Another possibility would be to have a meeting during the Thirteenth Annual Meeting of the Commission.

NORTH-EAST ATLANTIC COMMISSION

NEA(95)10

REGULATORY MEASURE FOR FISHING OF SALMON IN THE FAROE ISLANDS FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 1996

The North-East Atlantic Commission of the North Atlantic Salmon Conservation Organization

having regard to Article 8, subparagraph (b), recognising the need for regulatory measures in the Faroese fishery for the year 1996 decides that:

The Faroese catch shall be controlled in accordance with an effort limitation programme, set out in Appendix 1, for a period of one year.

The total nominal catch for the duration of the period shall not exceed 470 tonnes.

This quota was not opposed subject to the condition that the situation be thoroughly examined on the basis of all available information at the Thirteenth Annual Meeting.

This quota was agreed as an interim measure leading towards a quota based on a predictive biological model as soon as such scientific advice is available.

Appendix 1

The following regulatory measures for the fishing of salmon in the fisheries zone of the Faroe Islands for the year 1996 shall apply:

- (1) Areas with salmon below the length of 60cm will be closed for salmon fishery at short notice, following the general rules for closing areas with undersized fish already in force in the Faroese fisheries zone;
- (2) The number of boats licensed for salmon shall not exceed 13;
- (3) The salmon fishing season will be limited to 150 days between 1 January and 30 April and 1 November and 31 December;
- (4) Subject to the maximum annual catch the total allowable number of fishing days for the salmon fishery in the Faroe Islands zone shall be set at 1200.

ANNEX 5

• -

COUNCIL

CNL(95)49

REQUEST FOR SCIENTIFIC ADVICE FROM ICES

CNL(95)49

REQUEST FOR SCIENTIFIC ADVICE FROM ICES

- 1. With respect to Atlantic salmon in each Commission area:
 - a) describe the events of the 1995 fisheries;
 - b) describe the status of the stocks and, where appropriate, evaluate the causes for any changes in salmon abundance with special reference to changes in natural mortality;
 - c) identify data deficiencies and research requirements relevant to the management of salmon stocks.
- 2. Report on significant research developments which might assist NASCO with the management of salmon stocks, with special reference to:
 - a) possible explanations for changes in sea-age at maturity of Atlantic salmon;
 - b) the criteria for defining salmon stocks;
- 3. Update the evaluation of the effects of the following measures on the stocks and fisheries occurring in the respective Commission areas:
 - a) quota management and closures implemented after 1991 in the Canadian commercial salmon fisheries;
 - b) the suspension of commercial fishing activity at Faroes since 1991;
 - c) the suspension of commercial fishing activity during 1993 and 1994 at West Greenland.
- 4. With respect to the fishery in the West Greenland Commission area:
 - a) review the age specific target spawning levels in Canadian rivers;
 - b) provide catch options with an assessment of risks relative to the objective of achieving target spawning escapement.
- 5. With respect to fisheries and stocks in the North-East Atlantic Commission area:
 - a) provide estimates of age specific spawning targets;
 - b) provide catch options with an assessment of risks relative to the objective of achieving target spawning escapement;
- 6. With respect to Atlantic salmon in the NASCO area, provide a compilation of microtag, finclip and external tag releases by ICES Member Countries in 1995.

LIST OF NORTH-EAST ATLANTIC COMMISSION PAPERS

- Paper No. Title
- NEA(95)1 Provisional Agenda
- NEA(95)2 Draft Agenda
- NEA(95)3 Report of the North-East Atlantic Commission Working Group on Introductions and Transfers of Salmonids
- NEA(95)4 Draft Report of the Twelfth Annual Meeting
- NEA(95)5 NASCO Tag Return Incentive Scheme, 1995 Prize
- NEA(95)6 Introductions and Transfers
- NEA(95)7 Agenda
- NEA(95)8 Introductions and Transfers (Including the Amendments Proposed by the European Union) (1st draft)
- NEA(95)9 Introductions and Transfers (Including the Amendments Proposed by the European Union) (2nd draft)
- NEA(95)10 Regulatory Measure for Fishing of Salmon in the Faroe Islands for the Calendar Year 1996
- NEA(95)11 Report of the Twelfth Annual Meeting
- NEA(95)12 Introductions and Transfers
- CNL(95)15 Report of the ICES Advisory Committee on Fishery Management
- CNL(95)49 Request for Scientific Advice from ICES
- **NOTE:** This list contains all papers submitted to the Commission prior to and at the meeting. Some, but not all, of these papers are included in this report as annexes.

REPORT OF THE

TWELFTH ANNUAL MEETING

OF THE

WEST GREENLAND COMMISSION

12-16 JUNE 1995 GLASGOW, SCOTLAND

CHAIRMAN:	MR ERNESTO PENAS (EU)
VICE-CHAIRMAN:	MR ROBERT JONES (USA)
RAPPORTEUR:	MR DAVID DUNKLEY (EU)
SECRETARY:	DR MALCOLM WINDSOR

WGC(95)11

CONTENTS

REPORT OF THE TWELFTH ANNUAL MEETING OF THE WEST GREENLAND COMMISSION, 12-16 JUNE 1995, GLASGOW, SCOTLAND						
ANNEX 1	AGENDA, WGC(95)9	147				
ANNEX 2	PROPOSAL BY CANADA AND THE USA FOR A CATCH QUOTA FOR THE WEST GREENLAND FISHERY IN 1995, WGC(95)7	149				
ANNEX 3	CATCH QUOTA FOR THE WEST GREENLAND FISHERY IN 1995, WGC(95)10	153				
ANNEX 4	EXPLANATORY NOTE ON THE 1995 WEST GREENLAND QUOTA CALCULATION, WGC(95)8	155				
ANNEX 5	REQUEST FOR SCIENTIFIC ADVICE FROM ICES, CNL(95)49	161				
ANNEX 6	LIST OF WEST GREENLAND COMMISSION PAPERS	163				

WGC(95)11

REPORT OF THE TWELFTH ANNUAL MEETING OF THE WEST GREENLAND COMMISSION OF THE NORTH ATLANTIC SALMON CONSERVATION ORGANIZATION 12-16 JUNE 1995, HILTON HOTEL, GLASGOW, SCOTLAND

1. <u>OPENING OF THE MEETING</u>

- 1.1 The Twelfth Annual Meeting of the West Greenland Commission was opened by the Vice-Chairman, Mr Ernesto Penas (EU), who welcomed the delegates to Glasgow.
- 1.2 A list of participants at the Twelfth Annual Meeting of the Council and Commissions is included on page 165 of this document.

2. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

2.1 The Vice-Chairman pointed out that following the resignation of Mr David Egan (USA) as Chairman, the agenda would have to be modified to allow the election of a new Chairman. The Commission adopted the modified Agenda, WGC(95)9 (Annex 1).

3. <u>ELECTION OF OFFICERS</u>

- 3.1 The representative of Canada proposed the election of Mr Ernesto Penas as Chairman. The nomination was seconded by the representative of Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland). There being no other nominations, Mr Penas was elected as Chairman.
- 3.2 The representative of the European Union proposed Mr Robert Jones (USA) as Vice-Chairman. The nomination was seconded by representative of the USA. There being no other nominations, Mr Jones was elected as Vice-Chairman.

4. <u>NOMINATION OF A RAPPORTEUR</u>

4.1 The Commission nominated Mr David Dunkley (EU) as its Rapporteur for the meeting.

5. NON-GOVERNMENT OBSERVERS AT COMMISSION MEETINGS

- 5.1 At its Tenth Annual Meeting the Commission endorsed a recommendation from the Council to permit attendance of Non-Government Organizations as observers at its meetings for a trial period of two years covering the 1994 and 1995 meetings.
- 5.2 The representative of Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland) reminded the Commission that the agreement to permit the attendance of Non-Government Observers at its meetings was for two years, 1994 and 1995. He also pointed out that at the Eleventh Meeting of the Commission held in Oslo in 1994, he had reminded the Commission that any articles published by the Observers should be copied to the Secretariat and reviewed by the delegation of Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland) to determine that they reflected accurately what had happened at the meeting. Since last year, only three groups had sent reports to the

Secretariat and of these, only two were considered to be accurate. The representative of Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland) reminded Observers that their presence at Commission meetings depended upon the agreement of all delegations and this could be withdrawn. The representative of Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland) said that in order to ensure that information to the public was accurate, the members of the press should be allowed to attend Commission meetings if Observers were allowed to attend. He further suggested that as the trial period for attendance by Non-Government Observers was now completed, the matter should be discussed by Heads of Delegations to reach an agreement on the future participation of Observers.

- 5.3 The representative of the European Union underlined the importance of the intervention of the representative of Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland). He pointed out that any reports of the Commission meetings must be accurate.
- 5.4 The representative of the USA stated that he supported the views expressed by the representative of the European Union. He felt that it was simply responsible and courteous for people to provide copies of articles to the people about whom they were written.
- 5.5 The Chairman referred to the deliberations in the Council on the conditions governing attendance of NGOs at the 1996 and subsequent meetings, and agreed that the present arrangement continue until further notice. The Commission agreed that accredited media would be allowed to attend the meetings and asked that the Secretary develop appropriate criteria which would be agreed by correspondence and issued before the Thirteenth Annual Meeting.

6. <u>REVIEW OF THE 1994 FISHERY AND ACFM REPORT FROM ICES ON</u> <u>SALMON STOCKS IN THE COMMISSION AREA</u>

- 6.1 The Chairman of ACFM, Mr Eskild Kirkegaard, presented the Scientific Advice from ICES relevant to the West Greenland Commission, CNL(95)15, prepared in response to a request from the Commission at its Eleventh Annual Meeting. The ACFM report from ICES, which contains the scientific advice relevant to all Commissions, is included on page 171 of this document.
- 6.2 The Chairman of ACFM reported that in 1993 and 1994 the salmon fishery in Greenland had been restricted to an estimated unreported subsistence catch of 12 tonnes, equivalent to about 4,000 fish. There was no information available on the size or composition of the 1994 catch.
- 6.3 The Chairman of ACFM pointed out the continuing downward trend in recent years in the estimated pre-fishery abundance of non-maturing 1SW salmon. The estimate for 1993 was the lowest in the time series. The thermal habitat model used in the prediction exercise had been improved by considering three winter months (January, February and March) instead of March alone as in previous years. He noted that if the same mechanism as used last year was to be adopted this year, a quota of 77t would be recommended. However, ACFM were conscious of the fact that the 50% probability level of achieving target spawning levels also meant that there was a 50% probability that

targets would not be met. He pointed out that in the last six years, the predicted pre-fishery abundance had consistently overestimated the observed value. At high pre-fishery abundance levels the predicted value tended to underestimate the observed value but at low levels, the predicted value tended to overestimate the observed value. Latest estimates show that pre-fishery abundance is now very close to the level of 2SW salmon returns to North America so that any catch might have a negative impact on the number of returning salmon. Thus, ACFM recommended that the mixed stock fishery in the West Greenland Commission area should be closed in 1995 and the mixed stock fishery in the North American Commission area should be closed in 1996. He also recommended that a research survey should be undertaken at West Greenland to provide necessary biological information on the status and composition of the stocks there.

- 6.4 The representative of Canada asked if there was any reason why predicted levels of pre-fishery abundance had overestimated observed levels for so many years. The Chairman of ACFM said that the errors involved were not random but systematic. However, it was not possible to identify any biological reasons for this with the information available.
- 6.5 The representative of Canada asked if this information comparing predicted and observed levels of pre-fishery abundance levels was new. The Chairman of ACFM said the data were not new but had not been examined in that way previously.
- 6.6 The representative of the USA reminded the Commission that while great advances had been made in the development of predictive models to estimate pre-fishery abundance, it had to be remembered that we had no understanding of the biological mechanisms behind the model. It was, therefore, necessary to be aware of the limitations of the technique. The Chairman of ACFM reminded the Commission that this point had been made to the Commission at previous meetings.
- 6.7 The representative of Canada asked why predicted levels of pre-fishery abundance had underestimated observed levels in 1983-1988 and whether something had happened in 1988 to reverse the relationship. The Chairman of ACFM said it was not possible to give a definitive answer. It was clear that something had happened but the difference was not due to any mathematical problem.
- 6.8 The representative of Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland) reminded the Commission that at the Eleventh Annual meeting of the Commission, it had been pointed out that it was not appropriate for ICES to state management objectives. Since the ACFM advice this year was preceded by the term "To protect all the stocks contributing to the fishery...", he felt that ICES, without request from NASCO, had unilaterally established this as the management objective. The Chairman of ACFM agreed that it was not the duty of ICES to define management objectives. However, where there is a lack of clear management objectives and there is a likelihood of catches exceeding safe biological limits, ICES felt it was correct to address this. With regard to the present level of the fishery, there was clearly a chance that stocks may decrease to below safe biological limits. This was not due to high exploitation rates but to other factors. However, the only factor which may be controlled is the level of exploitation.

7. <u>REGULATORY MEASURES</u>

- 7.1 The representative of Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland) stated that there had been no catches in Greenland in 1993 and 1994, other than the agreed subsistence fishery, and yet salmon stocks still appeared to be low. He said that the reductions in catches in the Greenland fishery had not had any visible influence in the returns to the countries of origin of the salmon, suggesting that the critics of the Greenland fishery had been mistaken in identifying this fishery as the main threat to salmon stocks.
- 7.2 The representative of Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland) pointed out that the quota levels set in 1993 and 1994 had been 213t and 159t respectively but had not been fished. He compared these levels with estimated losses due to the effects of acid precipitation (1200t), the effects of the parasite Gyrodactylus salaris (300-500t), fishing by Panamanian and Polish registered vessels (up to 350t) and the probable losses of thousands of tonnes due to habitat degradation in homewaters. The effects of genetic pollution and escapes from aquaculture establishments had not been quantified but could be large. Other factors might include pollution from the nuclear and oil industries. Unreported catches in homewaters had been of the level of 1600 -3200t per annum since 1987 but nothing had been done to reduce these losses by the homewater countries. The level of unreported catches had gone down but this was because they were calculated as a proportion of the reported catches.
- 7.3 The representative of Canada agreed with the representative of Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland) that there was a number of factors involved. However, he drew the Commission's attention to a statement in the Report of the Working Group on North Atlantic Salmon that "The 2SW salmon estimated to have returned to North American waters (35,009) as a result of the closure of the Greenland fishery in 1993 therefore represented between 20 to 40% of the total 2SW return of 87,738 to 176,435." He stated that this should not be forgotten.
- 7.4 The representative of the United States referred to the ACFM advice that there should be no fishery at West Greenland this year. The representative of Canada also referred to this advice. The US and Canadian delegations jointly tabled a proposal for a catch quota at West Greenland, WGC(95)7 (Annex 2). The representative of Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland) referred to the historic five-year agreement agreed by NASCO in 1993 which was based on science and to the fact that the model was central to this agreement. He indicated that he would therefore vote against the proposal. The Chairman referred to the fact that one vote against a proposal would cause it to fail. The US and Canada accepted a recommendation from the Chair that the proposal be withdrawn.
- 7.5 A catch quota, WGC(95)6, was then proposed by the Chair. The representative of Canada indicated that, in the light of the scientific advice, and the experience in other fishery organizations, his delegation would not be able to support the proposal. The representative of Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland) indicated that they could support the proposal which in their view was consistent with the 1993 agreement although this would mean that their quota would go down by more than 50%. The EU substantiated its position with reference to the

importance of maintaining the model of 1993 which incorporates provision for flexibility and that the inputs would be reviewed next year, the necessity to ensure that a research programme would be carried out this year and that the difference between the two proposals was not great. Therefore, on balance, taking account of all these elements the EU could, with some hesitation, support the proposal from the Chair. The representative of the USA urged delegates to refer carefully to document WGC(93)9 and consider the flexibility indicated in the sections dealing with the quota mechanism and the allocation of surplus. He reminded delegates that the flexibility could be used to adjust the quota to reflect the advice from ICES. He would not therefore be able to support the proposal.

7.6 The Chairman then put the proposal to the vote. Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland) and the European Union voted in favour. Canada and the USA abstained. The measure was therefore adopted, WGC(95)10 (Annex 3). An explanatory note on the quota calculation, WGC(95)8, is contained in Annex 4.

8. <u>RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE COUNCIL ON THE REQUEST TO ICES</u> <u>FOR SCIENTIFIC ADVICE</u>

- 8.1 The Chairman of the Standing Scientific Committee, Dr Peter Hutchinson, reported that Mr Jens Møller Jensen (Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland)) had retired and, accordingly, a new member of the Committee would have to be elected. The representative of Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland) nominated Mr Michael Andersen (Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland)). The Secretary reminded the Commission that members of the Standing Scientific Committee did not represent any particular delegations. It was agreed that Mr Andersen should be elected as a member of the Standing Scientific Committee.
- 8.2 The representative of Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland) stated that many of the factors affecting salmon stocks related to the environment. He asked whether the questions posed to NASCO were being addressed to the correct Committee, suggesting that they might be addressed better by ACME than ACFM. The Chairman of ACFM replied that all requests for scientific advice were addressed to ICES rather than to an individual Committee and that requests would be channelled to the appropriate Committee by ICES. The Chairman of the Commission stated that it was important that questions to ICES were framed correctly so that it was clear how they should be dealt with.
- 8.3 The Commission reviewed document SSC(95)4 and agreed to recommend it to the Council as part of the annual request to ICES for Scientific Advice. The request to ICES agreed by the Council, CNL(95)49, is contained in Annex 5. The representative of Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland) indicated that he would like to see ICES providing advice on issues other than those specifically referred to in the request from NASCO if they were relevant. He suggested that subsequent requests from NASCO might include a statement indicating that ICES should feel free to advise on any relevant issues it felt necessary.

9. ANNOUNCEMENT OF THE TAG RETURN INCENTIVE SCHEME PRIZE

9.1 The Chairman announced that the draw for prizes in the Tag Return Incentive Scheme was made by the Auditors at NASCO Headquarters on 1 June 1995. The winner of the Commission's prize was Mr Jens Willumsen, Arsuk, Greenland. The Commission offered its congratulations to the winner.

10. <u>OTHER BUSINESS</u>

- 10.1 The representative of the USA referred to the list of problems outlined earlier by the representative of Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland) and said that these should be examined. He also asked about the development of predictive models for pre-fishery abundance of salmon originating in North-East Atlantic Commission area countries. The Chairman of ACFM reported that progress had been made in the development of such models but this was still at an early stage of development. For all Commission areas, it was hoped that links between the biology of the animals and the environment could be investigated.
- 10.2 The representative of the USA said that a number of the problems referred to earlier by the representative of Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland) were being addressed both within and outwith NASCO. A number of Protocols had been developed, such as on the control of the spread of diseases. With regard to investigations into the causes and repair of habitat degradation, some countries where damage has been greatest have been leaders in trying to clean up the environment and have made significant progress in many areas. He also pointed out that NASCO should take a great deal of the credit in combatting the problem of the fishing for salmon in international waters by ships reflagged in countries such as Panama and Poland.
- 10.3 The representative of the USA recognised that the introduction of management proposals may impose problems on peoples who have few other resources but that this had to be balanced against the possible loss of salmon for everyone. There was a clear need for sound, scientific programmes to investigate these problems.
- 10.4 The representative of Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland) welcomed the recognition for research survey work to be done at Greenland.

11. DATE AND PLACE OF NEXT MEETING

11.1 The Commission agreed to hold the next annual meeting during the Thirteenth Annual Meeting of the Council, in Gothenburg, Sweden, from 10-14 June 1996.

12. CONSIDERATION OF THE DRAFT REPORT OF THE MEETING

12.1 The Commission agreed the draft report of the meeting, WGC(95)3.

WGC(95)9

TWELFTH ANNUAL MEETING OF THE WEST GREENLAND COMMISSION 12-16 JUNE 1995, HILTON HOTEL, GLASGOW, SCOTLAND

AGENDA

- 1. Opening of the Meeting
- 2. Adoption of the Agenda
- 3. Election of Officers
- 4. Nomination of a Rapporteur
- 5. Non-Government Observers at Commission Meetings
- 6. Review of the 1994 Fishery and ACFM Report from ICES on Salmon Stocks in the Commission Area
- 7. Regulatory Measures
- 8. Recommendations to the Council on the Request to ICES for Scientific Advice
- 9. Announcement of the Tag Return Incentive Scheme Prize
- 10. Other Business
- 11. Date and Place of Next Meeting
- 12. Consideration of the Draft Report of the Meeting

ANNEX 2

WEST GREENLAND COMMISSION

WGC(95)7

PROPOSAL BY CANADA AND THE USA FOR A CATCH QUOTA FOR THE WEST GREENLAND FISHERY IN 1995

Having regard to the measure for the period 1993 to 1997, adopted by the Parties at its Tenth Annual Meeting, WGC(93)9 (attached), the Commission agrees to establish a catch quota for the West Greenland salmon fishery in 1995 of 45 metric tonnes including catch for research purposes.

The Commission also recognises that, in view of the scientific advice from ICES, it considers that some of the parameters used in the model may need to be updated. Such an update will be considered at its 1996 meeting.

WGC(93)9

PROPOSAL FROM THE CHAIR FOR EMERGENCY REGULATORY MEASURES IN THE WEST GREENLAND COMMISSION AREA

The Parties sought an agreement on the West Greenland quota which reconciled the following principal considerations:

- An agreement should take effect in 1993;
- Quotas should be determined annually based on the best available scientific advice from ICES;
- A quota would adjust up or down relative to the best available scientific advice;
- A quota agreement should recognize a transition period to implement the significant adjustment required to accommodate new ICES advice given in 1993;
- A quota agreement should commit the Parties for a significant period and not be subject to change in its fundamental parameters unless agreed by the Parties.

The Parties recalled:

- Article 3.2 of the Convention that "the objective of the Organization shall be to contribute through consultation and cooperation to the conservation, restoration, enhancement and rational management of salmon stocks subject to this Convention, taking into account the best scientific evidence available to it."
- Article 9(g) to take into account "the interest of communities which are particularly dependent on salmon fisheries."

Accordingly, the Parties, in order to address the decline in abundance of wild stocks of Atlantic salmon and to provide adequate spawning stocks of 2SW fish to support sustainable populations, agree to establish an annual quota for each of the years 1993 to 1997 by application of the following mechanism:

The quota shall be based on scientific advice from the following sources, and applied in the following manner without prejudice to new advice from ICES:

- The ICES advice on the pre-fishery abundance of potential 2SW salmon of North American origin (and European origin if available).
- The ICES advice on the target spawning escapement reserve of potential 2SW salmon necessary to achieve target spawning escapement, or a different proportion of this reserve as agreed to by the Parties.

- Any surplus above the target spawning escapement reserve, or the proportion agreed to, may be available for harvest by the Parties.
- Allocation of the surplus shall be based on the average for the period 1986-1990 of the harvest share of potential 2SW salmon of North American origin caught at West Greenland (40%), or a different share if agreed upon by the Parties.
- Any other parameters used by the Parties shall be as advised by ICES.

The Parties, recognizing the difficulty of establishing a new catch quota for the 1993 West Greenland fishery at the levels recommended by ICES, hereby agree to a 1993 catch quota of 213 tonnes which is expected to achieve 72% of the ICES target spawning escapement reserve. This quota is expected to provide for an increase in the spawning escapement of approximately 50 percent over the average of the past 10 years.

For 1994 the Parties seek to achieve a minimum of 85% of the ICES target spawning escapement reserve level as advised by ICES at that time, and thereafter, the Parties will seek to achieve 100% of the ICES target spawning escapement reserve level. Any increase in the prefishery abundance advised by ICES for 1994 above that advised in 1993 will be applied first to increasing the percentage of the target spawning escapement reserve to be achieved in 1994.

WEST GREENLAND COMMISSION

WGC(95)10

CATCH QUOTA FOR THE WEST GREENLAND FISHERY IN 1995

Having regard to the measure adopted by the Parties at its Tenth Annual Meeting, WGC(93)9, and having regard to the West Greenland quota calculation, WGC(95)8, the Commission agrees to establish a catch quota for the West Greenland salmon fishery in 1995 of 77 tonnes.

Taking into account recommendations from ACFM that since the latest scientific sampling in this fishery was conducted in 1992, a research survey should be carried out, the Commission agrees to recommend to the Parties the undertaking of such a research survey in 1995.

Considering the opinion of ACFM that the model referred to above has consistently predicted higher levels of pre-fishery abundance than have been observed in the past 6 years, the Commission agrees that, at the next annual meeting, special consideration will be given to the pre-fishery abundance estimate to be used in the model.

ANNEX 4

WEST GREENLAND COMMISSION

WGC(95)8

EXPLANATORY NOTE ON THE 1995 WEST GREENLAND QUOTA CALCULATION

WGC(95)8

EXPLANATORY NOTE ON THE 1995 WEST GREENLAND QUOTA CALCULATION

INTRODUCTION

- 1. At its Tenth Annual Meeting in 1993, the West Greenland Commission formulated a mechanism for establishing quotas for the West Greenland fishery for each of the years 1993-1997. The mechanism for establishing the quota is based on the following factors:
 - Factor A The ICES advice on the pre-fishery abundance of potential 2SW salmon of North American origin (and European origin if available).
 - Factor B The ICES advice on the target spawning escapement reserve of potential 2SW salmon necessary to achieve target spawning escapement or a different proportion of this reserve as agreed to by the Parties.
 - Factor C Any surplus above the target spawning escapement reserve, or the proportion of this reserve agreed to, may be available for harvest by the Parties.
 - Factor D Allocation of the surplus shall be based on the average for the period 1986-1990 of the harvest share of potential 2SW salmon of North American origin caught at West Greenland (40%), or a different share if agreed upon by the Parties.
 - Factor E Any other parameters used by the Parties shall be as advised by ICES.

The agreement further states that for 1994 the Parties seek to achieve a minimum of 85% of the target spawning escapement reserve level as advised by ICES at that time, and thereafter the Parties will seek to achieve 100% of the ICES target spawning escapement reserve level.

THE PRINCIPLE

2. The catch quota for West Greenland is calculated by subtracting the number of North American origin potential 2SW salmon required to achieve the target spawning escapement (or the agreed percentage of this target) from the pre-fishery abundance to give a maximum allowable harvest. Under the agreement 40%, or a different share if agreed by the Parties, of this allowable harvest is allocated to Greenland. Subject to future knowledge with regard to salmon of European origin, presently all the calculations are based on North American origin fish but, having calculated the allowable harvest of North American origin fish the number of European fish which may be harvested is estimated from the historical (1978-1992) proportions of North American origin fish in the fishery. The quota in tonnes is then calculated by multiplying the number of North American and European origin fish by their average weights. These calculations are based on the 1SW salmon alone and do not take account

of the small proportion of older fish in the catch at West Greenland; the quota must, therefore, be multiplied by an age correction factor (1.121).

THE 1995 CALCULATION

FACTOR A: PRE-FISHERY ABUNDANCE

In providing catch options for the West Greenland fishery ICES developed a range of pre-fishery abundance forecasts covering different levels of probability that the true abundance will be lower than indicated. A risk-neutral estimate is that forecast at which there is a 50% chance that the true abundance is lower than required to achieve the spawning target.

The risk-neutral forecast of pre-fishery abundance of potential 2SW salmon of North American origin for 1995 as advised by ICES is:

244,000 salmon

FACTOR B: TARGET SPAWNING ESCAPEMENT RESERVE

The North American spawning target of 2SW salmon used by ICES in providing catch options in 1995 is

186,486 salmon

This number must be increased to take account of mortality of fish between the time of the West Greenland fishery and their return to home waters (ICES use a mortality of 1% a month over 11 months), i.e. an increase of

21,684 salmon
208,170 salmon

giving a revised total of

Under the 1993 Regulatory Measure the Parties seek to achieve 100% of the target spawning escapement reserve for 1995

FACTOR C: SURPLUS NORTH AMERICAN ORIGIN SALMON AVAILABLE FOR HARVEST

The surplus of North American origin salmon above the target spawning escapement reserve (i.e. the Maximum Allowable Harvest (MAH)) is calculated as follows:

Maximum Allowable Harvest = Pre-fishery Abundance - Target Spawning Escapement Reserve

Maximum Allowable Harvest = 244,000 - 208,170

The surplus available for harvest is therefore



FACTOR D: ALLOCATION OF THE SURPLUS UNDER THE REGULATORY MEASURE

Allocation of the surplus of North American origin salmon is on the basis of 40% to West Greenland (or a different share if agreed upon by the Parties). In 1994 there was no change in the shares agreed. The allocation to the Greenland fishery is:

 $35,830 \ge 0.4 =$

14,332 salmon

FACTOR E: OTHER PARAMETERS

Both European and North American fish are harvested in the fishery at West Greenland. The estimated number of European salmon that will be caught under a quota will depend on the harvest of North American fish and the proportion of North American fish in the West Greenland fishery. The estimate of the proportion of the stock at West Greenland which are North American as advised by ICES is

999	-	 	~~~~	 ******	 **********************
	~ /	 		 	

12,209 European salmon

The number of European fish which would be harvested is calculated from the Maximum Allowable Harvest of North American origin salmon as follows:

(14,332/0.54) - 14,332 =

The mean weight of North American origin 1SW salmon advised by ICES is

The mean weight of European origin 1SW salmon advised by ICES is 2.525 kg

2.660 kg

It is necessary to apply an age correction factor because not all of the fish at West Greenland are 1SW salmon. A factor of 1.121, based on the total weight of salmon caught divided by the weight of 1SW salmon, has been advised by ICES.

CALCULATION OF THE 1995 QUOTA

To convert the allowable harvest in numbers into a catch quota in metric tonnes the mean weights must be included. The catch quota (in tonnes) is calculated as follows:

[(Number x Average Weight for North American Fish) + (Number x Average Weight for European Fish)] x Age Correction Factor/1000

i.e. $[(14,332 \times 2.525) + (12,209 \times 2.660)] \times 1.121/1000$

i.e. (36,188 + 32,476) x 1.121/1000

i.e.

77 tonnes

COUNCIL

CNL(95)49

REQUEST FOR SCIENTIFIC ADVICE FROM ICES

CNL(95)49

REQUEST FOR SCIENTIFIC ADVICE FROM ICES

- 1. With respect to Atlantic salmon in each Commission area:
 - a) describe the events of the 1995 fisheries;
 - b) describe the status of the stocks and, where appropriate, evaluate the causes for any changes in salmon abundance with special reference to changes in natural mortality;
 - c) identify data deficiencies and research requirements relevant to the management of salmon stocks.
- 2. Report on significant research developments which might assist NASCO with the management of salmon stocks, with special reference to:
 - a) possible explanations for changes in sea-age at maturity of Atlantic salmon;
 - b) the criteria for defining salmon stocks;
- 3. Update the evaluation of the effects of the following measures on the stocks and fisheries occurring in the respective Commission areas:
 - a) quota management and closures implemented after 1991 in the Canadian commercial salmon fisheries;
 - b) the suspension of commercial fishing activity at Faroes since 1991;
 - c) the suspension of commercial fishing activity during 1993 and 1994 at West Greenland.
- 4. With respect to the fishery in the West Greenland Commission area:
 - a) review the age specific target spawning levels in Canadian rivers;
 - b) provide catch options with an assessment of risks relative to the objective of achieving target spawning escapement.
- 5. With respect to fisheries and stocks in the North-East Atlantic Commission area:
 - a) provide estimates of age specific spawning targets;
 - b) provide catch options with an assessment of risks relative to the objective of achieving target spawning escapement;
- 6. With respect to Atlantic salmon in the NASCO area, provide a compilation of microtag, finclip and external tag releases by ICES Member Countries in 1995.
ANNEX 6

LIST OF WEST GREENLAND COMMISSION PAPERS

- Paper No. Title WGC(95)1 **Provisional Agenda** WGC(95)2 Draft Agenda WGC(95)3 Draft Report of the Twelfth Annual Meeting WGC(95)4 NASCO Tag Return Incentive Scheme - 1995 Not issued WGC(95)5 Proposal by the Chair for a Catch Quota for the West Greenland Fishery in 1995 WGC(95)6 WGC(95)7 Proposal by Canada and the USA for a Catch Quota for the West Greenland Fishery in 1995 WGC(95)8 Explanatory Note on the 1995 West Greenland Quota Calculation WGC(96)9 Agenda WGC(95)10 Catch Quota for the West Greenland Fishery in 1995 WGC(95)11 Report of the Twelfth Annual Meeting CNL(95)15 Report of the ICES Advisory Committee on Fishery Management CNL(95)49 Request for Scientific Advice for ICES
- <u>NOTE:</u> This list contains all papers submitted to the Commission prior to and at the meeting. Some, but not all, of these papers are included in this report as annexes.

TWELFTH ANNUAL MEETING OF THE COUNCIL HILTON HOTEL, GLASGOW, SCOTLAND 12-16 JUNE, 1995

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

* Denotes Head of Delegation

<u>CANADA</u>

*MR JEAN-EUDES HACHE	Representative Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Ottawa, Ontario
DR WILFRED CARTER	Representative Atlantic Salmon Federation, St Andrews, New Brunswick
MR JEAN-PAUL DUGUAY	Representative Gaspé, Québec
DR ALEX BIELAK	Department of Natural Resources and Energy, Fredericton, New Brunswick
MR RICHARD HEGAN	Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Ottawa, Ontario
MR MURRAY HILL	Department of Fisheries, Halifax, Nova Scotia
MR KEN JONES	Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Ottawa, Ontario
MR DAVID MEERBURG	<u>Vice-President of NASCO</u> Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Ottawa, Ontario
MR REX PORTER	Department of Fisheries and Oceans, St John's, Newfoundland
MR BERKLEY SLADE	Department of Fisheries and Oceans, St John's, Newfoundland
DENMARK (IN RESPECT OF THE	FAROE ISLANDS AND GREENLAND)

*MR KJARTAN HOYDAL	Representative Faroese Home Government, Torshavn
MR EINAR LEMCHE	Representative Greenland Home Rule Government, Copenhagen Office
MR MICHAEL ANDERSEN	Greenland Fisheries Research Institute, Copenhagen
MS ANNA MARIA FOSAA	Faroese Home Government, Torshavn

165

MR JAN ARGE JACOBSEN Fishery Laboratory, Torshavn MR JASPUR KRUSE Felagid Laksaskip, Klaksvik MR OLE LOEWE Royal Danish Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Copenhagen MR HENRIK NIELSEN Greenland Home Rule, Nuuk MR FREDERIK OLSEN KNAPK (The Organization of Hunters and Fishermen in Greenland), Nuuk MR SOFUS POULSEN Faroese Commercial Attaché, Aberdeen MR ANTHON SIEGSTAD KNAPK (The Organization of Hunters and Fishermen in Greenland). Nuuk **EUROPEAN UNION** *MR OLE TOUGAARD Representative Commission of the European Communities, Brussels MR ERNESTO PENAS Representative Commission of the European Communities, Brussels MR MICHAEL BREATHNACH Central Fisheries Board, Dublin MR JOHN BROWNE Fisheries Research Centre, Dublin MR DAVID DICKSON Scottish Office Agriculture and Fisheries Department, Edinburgh MR DAVID DUNKLEY Scottish Office Agriculture and Fisheries Department, Montrose DR PADDY GARGAN Central Fisheries Board, Dublin MR CHRISTOPHER HUNTER Department of Agriculture for Northern Ireland, **Bushmills** MR JOHN KEOHANE Department of the Marine, Dublin MR IVOR LLEWELYN Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, London DR ALAN MUNRO Scottish Office Agriculture and Fisheries Department, Aberdeen MR JES BROGAARD NIELSEN Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries, Copenhagen MR TED POTTER Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, Lowestoft

MR MICHAEL WALDRON	Secretariat General of the Council of the EU, Brussels
MR ROBERT WILLIAMSON	Scottish Office Agriculture and Fisheries Department, Edinburgh
MR ALAN WINSTONE	National Rivers Authority, Welsh Region, Cardiff
FINLAND (see Footnote)	
*MR PEKKA NISKANEN	Representative Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, Helsinki
ICELAND	
*H.E. HELGI AGUSTSSON	<u>Representative</u> Ministry for Foreign Affairs, Reykjavik
MR ARNI ISAKSSON	Representative Institute of Freshwater Fisheries, Reykjavik
MR ORRI VIGFUSSON	Ministry of Agriculture, Reykjavik
<u>NORWAY</u>	
MR BØRRE PETTERSEN	President of NASCO AOF, Oslo
*MR TORMOD KARLSTRØM	Representative Ministry of the Environment, Oslo
MR STEINAR HERMANSEN	Representative Ministry of the Environment, Oslo
MR YNGVE SVARTE	Representative Directorate for Nature Management, Trondheim
MR PER IVAR BERGAN	Directorate for Nature Management, Trondheim
MR ARNE EGGEREIDE	Directorate for Nature Management, Trondheim
DR LARS PETTER HANSEN	Norwegian Institute for Nature Research, Trondheim

RUSSIAN FEDERATION

*DR ALEXANDER SOROKIN	<u>Representative</u> PINRO, Murmansk				
MR GUENRIKH BOROVKOV	Committee of Russian Federation on Fisheries, Moscow				
MR GENNADI LAZUTKIN	Consul General of the Russian Federation, Edinburgh				
MR VICTOR A NESVETOV	JV Arctic Salmon, Murmansk				
MS ELENA SAMOILOVA	PINRO, Murmansk				
DR ALEXANDER ZELENTSOV	Murmanrybvod, Murmansk				
SWEDEN (see Footnote)					
*MRS LENA ELLWERTH-STEIN	Ministry of Agriculture, Stockholm				
DR INGEMAR OLSSON	<u>Representative</u> National Board of Fisheries, Göteborg				
USA					
*MR STETSON TINKHAM	<u>Representative</u> Department of State, Office of Fisheries Affairs, Washington DC				
MR ROBERT JONES	<u>Representative</u> Connecticut River Salmon Association, S. Windsor, Connecticut				
DR RAY B OWEN, JR.	<u>Representative</u> Maine Atlantic Sea Run Salmon Commission, Augusta, Maine				
MS KIMBERLY BLANKENBEKER	National Marine Fisheries Service, Silver Spring, Maryland				
MR JERRY CLARK	National Fish and Wildlife Foundation, Washington DC				
DR KEVIN FRIEDLAND	National Marine Fisheries Service, Woods Hole, Massachusetts				
DR JAMES GEIGER	US Fish and Wildlife Service, Hadley, Massachusetts				
DR JOHN MCGRUDER	Department of State, Bureau of Oceans and International Environmental and Scientific Affairs, Washington DC				
DR FREDRIC SERCHUK	National Marine Fisheries Service, Woods Hole, Massachusetts				

<u>ICES</u>

PROFESSOR CHRIS HOPKINS	International Council for the Exploration of the Se Copenhagen	a,
DR ROGER BAILEY	International Council for the Exploration of the Se Copenhagen	a,
MR ESKILD KIRKEGAARD	Danish Institute for Fisheries Research, Charlottenlur	ıd

NON-GOVERNMENT OBSERVERS

M. FREDERIC MAZEAUD	AIDSA, France
DR WILFRED CARTER (member of Canadian delegation)	American Fisheries Society, USA
MR DUNCAN WILSON COL. ROBERT CAMPBELL	Association of Scottish District Salmon Fishery Boards, Scotland
ADMIRAL JOHN MACKENZIE DR DEREK MILLS	Atlantic Salmon Trust, UK
MR JIM MAXWELL MR RICHARD BEHAL	Federation of Irish Salmon and Sea-Trout Anglers, Ireland
MR ALAN HOLDEN	Institute of Fisheries Management, UK
MR ED CHANEY	International Friends of Wild Salmon, USA
MR CHRIS POUPARD COLONEL JAMES FERGUSON	Salmon and Trout Association, UK
MR JOUNI KITTI	Sami Parlamenta, Finland
MR BJORNULF KRISTIANSEN	Norges Bondelag (Norwegian Farmers Union), Norway
MR BJORN MOE	Norske Lakseelver (Norwegian Salmon Rivers), Norway
MR NOEL SMART MR WILLIAM SHEARER	Salmon Net Fishing Association of Scotland, Scotland
MR WILLIAM BROWN MR IAN CALCOTT	Scottish Anglers National Association, Scotland
MR ALAN KEYS MR NEWELL MCCREIGHT	Ulster Angling Federation, Northern Ireland

SECRETARIAT

DR MALCOLM WINDSORSecretaryDR PETER HUTCHINSONAssistant SecretaryMISS MARGARET NICOLSONPA to SecretaryMRS THERESA GAWTHORNEPA

FOOTNOTE: With effect from 1st January 1995 Finland and Sweden became members of the European Union. These Parties have denounced the Convention and their membership of the Organization will cease with effect from 31 December 1995.

REPORT OF THE ICES ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON FISHERY MANAGEMENT

CNL(95)15

171

REPORT TO THE NORTH ATLANTIC SALMON CONSERVATION ORGANIZATION COUNCIL

Source of information: Report of the Working Group on North Atlantic Salmon, April 1995 (ICES Doc. C.M.1995/Assess:14)

Sections 1-6 of this report are set out in the order of the questions from NASCO to ICES (Appendix 1).

1. EVENTS OF THE 1994 FISHERIES AND THE STATUS OF STOCKS BY COMMISSION AREAS

1.1 Overview of catches in the North Atlantic

1.1.1 Nominal catches of salmon in the North Atlantic

Nominal catches of salmon by country in the North Atlantic for 1960-1994 are given in Table 1.1.1 and catches by NASCO Commission areas for 1989-94 are shown below (in tonnes):

Area	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994
NEAC	4419	3758	2951	3379	3348	3486
NAC	1143	915	713	524	375	354
WGC	338	275	476	242	0	0
Total	5900	4948	4140	4136	3723	3840

Figures for 1994 (3840 t) are provisional and incomplete, but the total is slightly above the 1993 total, which was the lowest recorded since 1960 (Figure 1.1.1). There is some indication that the numbers of fish farm escapees may have declined in 1994, but ranched fish still make up a large proportion of the catch in Iceland. It is clear that some of the decline in catches in recent years can be accounted for by management plans which have reduced fishing effort in several countries.

1.1.2 Unreported catches of salmon in the North Atlantic

The total unreported catch within the NASCO Commission areas in 1994 was estimated to be 1276 t, a decrease of 22% compared with 1993 and 33% below the 1989-1993 five-year mean of 1891 t. Estimates for the Commission Areas are given below (in tonnes):

Area	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994
NEAC NAC WGC	2103 174 N/A	1779 111 N/A	1555 127 N/A	1825 137 N/A	1471 161 12	1157 107 12
International waters	N/A	180- 359	25- 100	25- 100	25- 100	25- 100

1.1.3 Production of farmed and ranched salmon in the North Atlantic

The production of farmed salmon in the North Atlantic Area in 1994 was 326,785 t. This was the highest production in the history of the farming industry and represented a 22% increase (59,410 t) compared to 1993 (Figure 1.1.2).

Ranching was defined as the production of salmon through smolt releases with the intention of harvesting the total population that return to freshwater (harvesting may include collecting fish for broodstock). The harvest of ranched fish in 1994 was 325 t which was considerably lower than in 1993 (519 t). The great majority (95%) of this production has been in Iceland.

1.2 Fisheries and stocks in the North-East Atlantic Commission (NEAC) Area

1.2.1 Fishing in the Faroes Area

Gear and effort: In accordance with the agreement between the Faroese Salmon Fishermen's Association and the North Atlantic Salmon Fund, commercial fishing for salmon in Faroes territorial waters was suspended for the years 1994 to 1996. A research fishery for salmon continued to operate in the Faroes area in the 1993/94 season, and one research vessel fished a total of 30 sets during 4 trips. The long-line gear used was the same as in previous seasons.

Catch: The total catch in the research fishery in the 1993/94 season was 7 t, and the catch in the 1994 calendar year was 6 t, excluding fish that were tagged and released. The proportion of fish less than 60 cm (that would normally have been discarded) was 14.4%, which is near the upper end of the range observed since the 1982/83 season.

Catch per unit effort: The CPUE of 43 salmon per 1000 hooks for the 1993/94 season is the third lowest value since the 1981/82 season and was only half the CPUE (84) in the 1992/93 season (Figure 1.2.1).

Biological composition of the catch: In the 1993/94 season 17% of the fish were of reared origin. This compares with much higher figures in the 1989/90 to 1992/93 seasons (27-44%). Figure 1.2.2 shows the CPUE for past seasons divided into wild fish and farm escapees and suggests that the increase in CPUE in the previous four seasons and the decrease in 1994 was due in part to the numbers of farmed fish in the catch.

Origin of the catch: External tags (ext.) and CWTs were recovered from countries regularly represented in the tag recovery programmes in the past, namely: Ireland (10 CWT), Norway (6 CWT and 30 ext.), Sweden (3 ext.), UK (England and Wales) (1 CWT), Iceland (1 CWT) and Spain (1 CWT). As in the past, the highest recapture rates were from releases in Norway and Sweden; recapture rates from other areas are low.

In the 1992/93 to 1994/95 fishing seasons, a total of about 5,300 salmon caught on long-line have been tagged and released in the open sea north of the Faroes. After two fishing seasons (i.e., 1993 and 1994) 66 tagged fish have been reported recaptured in 10 countries as shown below.

	Recaptures		
Country	Total to date	%	
Norway	37	56.1	
Scotland	9	13.6	
Ireland	5	7.6	
Russia	5	7.6	
Sweden	4	6.1	
Denmark	2	3.0	
England	1	1.5	
Iceland	1	1.5	
Spain	1	1.5	
Canada	1	1.5	
Total	66	100	

These preliminary results confirm earlier information that the majority of salmon in the Faroese area originate from Norway. Between 17% and 33% of the tagged fish were assumed to be of farmed origin, and the recapture rate for these fish has been lower than for wild fish.

Exploitation rates at Faroes: The exploitation rate on all monitored stocks in the Faroes fishery in 1993/94 was very low. The highest level (3%) was recorded on 2SW hatchery fish from the R. Imsa, Norway.

1.2.2 Homewater fisheries in the NEAC Area

Gear and effort: There has been a continuation in the general trend to reduce commercial fishing effort in the NEAC Area, reflecting conservation measures in the respective countries as well as the reduced value of commercially caught salmon. Reduction in commercial fishing effort in 1994 was reported for salmon fisheries in France, Ireland, Russia, Sweden, UK (England and Wales) and UK (N. Ireland). Minor changes were reported for Finland, Norway and UK (Scotland), but there was an extension of the sport fishing period in Iceland.

Catch: Catches in 1994 were reported to be close to or better than the mean of the last five years in France, Ireland, UK (England and Wales), UK (N. Ireland) and UK (Scotland). Norway and Russia reported catches similar to the previous year, but Iceland, Finland (Teno R.) and Sweden had considerably lower catches than in 1993.

CPUE: Catch per unit effort in general followed the same pattern. In Ireland and parts of UK, catches of 1SW salmon were very good at the beginning of the season but declined suddenly before the end of the season.

Composition of catch: There was an increase in the proportion of 1SW salmon in catches in Ireland, Norway and Russia compared to the previous year. Finland (Teno R.) and Sweden reported similar grilse ratios but France and, in particular, Iceland reported considerable reductions in grilse abundance. No significant trends were reported for MSW salmon.

Origin of catch: Ranched fish continue to comprise the majority of the Icelandic catch and some straying occurs into rivers. There has been a reduction in the frequency of fish farm escapees in Norwegian coastal waters and rivers. Fish farm escapees are also observed at variable levels in coastal and in-river fisheries in Scotland and in low proportions in Ireland and UK (N. Ireland).

Exploitation rates: Considerable reductions of exploitation rates in commercial nets were reported for Russia but exploitation rates in other countries appeared similar to previous years.

1.2.3 Status of stocks in the NEAC Area

There are data from monitored rivers since the early 1980s. The general trends in these rivers suggest that there has been no significant change in smolt production in the NEAC area over that period. Adult runs in western European rivers appear to be remaining stable or increasing, probably due to reduced exploitation in recent years.

A general downward trend in marine survival was noted for wild and hatchery, 1SW and 2SW stocks over the past 11 years, but this trend is not evident in the most recent 6 years. In contrast, survival to freshwater for 1SW wild fish tended to increase over both time periods, which would suggest that reductions in homewater exploitation in recent years have resulted in improved survival to the rivers, despite poor marine survival in this period.

Provisional spawning targets were provided for 6 rivers in the NEAC area. Of the four rivers for which 10 year time series of target attainment data were provided, two had achieved their egg deposition targets in at least 80% of years and two had failed to meet their targets in at least 80% of years. The remaining two rivers had failed to exceed egg deposition targets in the years for which data were provided (one and three years respectively).

1.2.4 Data deficiencies and research needs for the NEAC Area

ACFM supports the continuation of the research fishing programme in the Faroes area and recognises that the results from the project will improve the possibility of developing reliable assessment models in the North-East Atlantic.

Historical scale data from the Faroes fishery should be analysed to assess geographical and temporal variation in smolt age composition of wild salmon which may reflect differences in the stock composition of catches. The results should be compared with historical data on tag recoveries in the Faroese fishery area, to determine whether stock composition estimates by both approaches concur.

The composition by country of origin of national salmon catches in the NEAC area should be determined from best available data for the fours years 1991-94 combined, as a basis for future comparison.

Work should be carried out to refine the estimates of pre-fishery abundance for the North-East Atlantic stocks and to analyse the variability of the estimates. Where possible, separate data sets should be provided for different parts of each country and fishing effort data should be examined to improve estimates of changes in exploitation rates.

Spawning targets based on best available information should be established for all rivers in the NEAC area as soon as possible.

1.3 Fisheries and stocks in the North American Commission (NAC) Area

1.3.1 Fisheries in the NAC area

Canada

Gear and effort: The moratorium on the commercial fishery in Newfoundland continued in 1994. Quotas were reduced in the remaining commercial fisheries in Labrador and Quebec. Seasonal bag limits in the recreational fishery in both Newfoundland and Labrador were reduced and the seasonal bag limit within Newfoundland was further subdivided into two seasons, before and after July 31. Rivers in several fishing areas were closed to angling for part of, or the entire, season as a result of low stock abundance or low water and high water temperatures. There was no change in gear used in Canada.

Catch: The total salmon landings for Canada in 1994 were 351 t, which was the lowest recorded landing since 1960 (Table 1.1.1). The landings of small and large salmon were 41% and 54% of the previous 5 year averages respectively. The decline in commercial catches from 1593 t in 1987 to 141 t in 1994 is a result of the closure of fisheries in Salmon Fishing Areas (SFA) 3-14A in 1992, a reduction in quotas and the general decline in population size. The 1994 recreational catch was the third lowest since 1974, just over 71,000 fish. Recreational catches of small salmon were generally above ten year averages in Labrador, Quebec and north-east Newfoundland and lower in almost all other areas. Recreational catches of large salmon were above the previous ten year averages in Labrador, Quebec (Q1-Q3) and western Newfoundland (SFA 12-14) but were among the lowest recorded in all the other areas of eastern Canada.

Composition and origin of catch: No tagged salmon of USA origin were caught in Canada in 1994. Fish farm escapees were detected primarily in rivers in the Bay of Fundy (SFA 23) where the majority of the aquaculture industry is located.

USA

Gear and effort: The only fishing directed at Atlantic sea-run salmon is by angling in the State of Maine; there were no changes in gear used in 1994. This fishery was further reduced in 1994 by restricting the season bag limit to one small (<64 cm) salmon per year per angler. There was a 31% decrease in licence sales (from 2,656 to 1,821) from the previous year.

Catch: The recreational harvest was the lowest recorded, 13 fish; an additional 249 fish were caught and released mainly in the Penobscot River. Exploitation rates for 1SW salmon in Maine were less than 1%.

France (Islands of St. Pierre and Miquelon)

The catch of salmon for the islands of St. Pierre and Miquelon in 1994 was 2.7 t by 26 professional fishermen, an increase of 50% over that reported for 1993. An additional 1-2 t was harvested by recreational gill-net fishermen.

1.3.2 Status of stocks in the NAC Area

Returns of small and large salmon to rivers of eastern North America in 1994 were among the lowest observed in the last five years. In the more southern areas, returns to some rivers were among the lowest in the last eleven years, while returns in a few were the best in this period.

Despite increased stocking of hatchery-reared salmon in USA during recent years, the numbers of salmon returning to most USA rivers continued to decline in 1994. Returns of MSW salmon were 37% below those documented in 1993 and 62% below the ten year mean.

Egg depositions exceeded or equalled the specific river targets in only 19 of 66 rivers assessed in 1994 in Canada and USA. Large deficiencies in egg depositions were noted in the Bay of Fundy, the Atlantic coast of Nova Scotia and throughout the USA (Table 1.3.1). When estimates of 2SW spawners only are compared to target levels, the status of stocks is of greatest concern in the USA, Scotia-Fundy area (SFAs 19-23) and Labrador (SFA 1-2). Marine survival of smolts of both hatchery and wild origin continued to decline in many monitored rivers, even though improved survival had been expected in recent years as a result of reduced marine fisheries.

1.3.3 Data deficiencies and research needs in the NAC area

ACFM recommended that further efforts be made to refine the spawning target estimates. Improvements are needed in the estimation of suitable habitat, the appropriateness of the habitat-specific egg targets, and in the determination of the desired sea-age composition of spawners.

The results of monitoring of smolt production and survival from numerous rivers has been useful to ACFM in the determination of appropriate spawner targets. There are, however, some areas for which smolt production estimates are not available (e.g. Labrador) and, for areas where there are estimates, they are usually for small rivers or hatchery stocks. It would be useful to expand the enumeration of smolts to other areas and larger rivers.

The relationship between air temperature at the time of smolt migration from the Conne River and their subsequent survival was presented to ACFM. Further research into mechanisms accounting for the relationship between environmental and biological characteristics would be useful.

1.4 Fisheries and stocks in the West Greenland Commission (WGC) Area

1.4.1 Fishery in WGC area

Gear and effort: In accordance with the agreement between the Organisation of Hunters and Fishermen in Greenland and the North Atlantic Salmon Fund, all commercial fishing for salmon in Greenland territorial waters was suspended for the years 1993 and 1994.

Catch: The agreement allows for a small subsistence harvest of 12 t each year, representing some 4000 fish. No information is available on the size of the 1994 catch or its composition.

Exploitation rates: The time series of the extant exploitation rates on the North American 2SW stock complex is presented in Figure 1.4.1. Exploitation varied between 20 and 50% until the 1992 fishing season, but, with the dramatic reduction of fishing pressure in both Canada and Greenland in 1993, exploitation on the stock complex has declined to less than 5%.

1.4.2 Status of stocks in the WGC area

The salmon caught in the West Greenland area are non-maturing 1SW salmon or older, all of which would return to homewaters in Europe or North America as MSW fish if they survived. The most abundant European stocks in West Greenland are thought to originate from the UK and Ireland. The MSW component of most of these stocks has declined in recent years (see Section 1.2). Similar declines in abundance have been noted in many North American stocks that contribute to the West Greenland fishery (see Section 1.3). Thus the overall status of the stocks and stock components contributing to the West Greenland fishery remains poor.

1.4.3 Data deficiencies and research needs in the WGC area

The mean weights, sea ages, and proportion of the fish originating from North America and Europe are essential parameters used by ACFM to provide catch advice for the West Greenland fishery. As these parameters are known to vary over time and the latest sampling was conducted in 1992, ACFM recommends that a research survey be carried out.

2. EVALUATION OF EFFECTS OF MANAGEMENT MEASURES

2.1 <u>Quota management measures and closures implemented in Canadian salmon</u> <u>fisheries</u>

The closure of the commercial fisheries in SFAs 15-23 and Q1-Q3 in 1984 resulted in a noticeable increase in returns of small and large salmon to the rivers. The effect of this reduced marine exploitation and the reduced in-river mortality, which resulted from the mandatory hook and release of large salmon in the recreational fishery in many areas of eastern Canada, has been increased egg depositions in many rivers and increased juvenile abundance. However, in some areas, such as the Bay of Fundy, the increased escapement has not been sustained; returns to these rivers are now lower than they were prior to 1984.

The commercial fishery moratorium which has been in operation in Newfoundland since 1992 has mainly benefited the escapement into rivers of Newfoundland and Labrador, except in SFAs 11 to 13 where stocks are either early running and/or the exploitation has already been reduced by the delayed opening of the commercial seasons in 1978 and 1984. Generally, the proportion of large salmon in the returns to the rivers during the moratorium years was higher than in the period 1986 to 1991. While returns of large salmon showed an overall improvement in the last three years, higher returns had been observed at several monitoring facilities in years prior to the moratorium. Had the moratorium not been in effect, severe over-exploitation of many Atlantic salmon stocks would have occurred in 1994.

The effect of the management measures taken in coastal waters of insular Newfoundland after 1991 was evaluated by estimating the numbers of salmon that returned to rivers as a result of the management measures. These estimates are summarised below:

			Increase in returns		
Year	Angling	Total	Small	Large	
	catch	returns	salmon	salmon	
	(,000)	(,000)	(,000)	(,000)	
1992	37	140-280	62-123	12-24	
1993	43	149-300	71-142	5-11	
1994	31	112-223	62-104	6-11	

In general, there was a significant increase in counts of small and large salmon at fishways and counting facilities in Newfoundland in the years since the moratorium compared to the period just prior to it (1986-91), but this was not evident for Southern rivers. While returns of large salmon showed an overall improvement in 1992-94 compared to the 1986-91 mean, for several Northern, Eastern and Southern counting facilities, there were pre-moratorium years when returns were higher. Numbers of large salmon released by anglers in SFAs 12, 13, and 14A during the moratorium years showed a marked increase over the means overall but they were still comparable to catches in the late 1970s and early 1980s. For most Northern and Eastern counting facilities, the proportion of large salmon in all three years of the moratorium were higher than the 1984-1989 and 1986-91 means. This was also the case for three out of five Southern counting facilities.

Smolt to adult survival rates for the Western Arm Brook, Newfoundland, increased from 1.5 to 3.0% in pre-moratorium years to 3.6 to 7.0% in post-moratorium years.

The effects of the management changes in Labrador (SFAs 1, 2, & 14B) may be seen in the increased proportion of large salmon in counts at Sandhill River (SFA 2) from an average of 7% in 1970-73 to 26% in 1994. The proportion of the total production returning to freshwater increased from 64% to 90% for small salmon and from 8% to 75% for large salmon. Since the quotas in Labrador were not attained, this measure did not result in any increase in returns to rivers. However, the reduction in licensed effort in 1992 should have reduced commercial exploitation on Labrador salmon stocks.

The closure of the fishery in zones Q7 and Q8 in 1994 may have resulted in 29 to 43 small salmon and 713 to 905 large salmon not being caught assuming that the exploitation rates in 1994 would have been the same as in 1990-92 and there had been no management change.

There has been a marked increase in the proportion of 2SW salmon surviving to spawn for a second time on the Miramichi River. The survival increased from 0-7% prior to 1984 to 5-15% when hook and release regulations were introduced into the recreational fisheries and when coastal commercial fisheries in the Maritimes were closed. It increased to more than 30% when exploitation in Newfoundland and Labrador was reduced as a result of the quota restrictions of 1990 and 1991 and as a result of the commercial salmon moratorium of 1992.

Although the Newfoundland and Labrador commercial salmon fisheries used to harvest small and large salmon originating in Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, Québec, and USA, the benefits in returns to these provinces cannot be quantified.

The moratoria on the commercial cod fishery in Canada in 1992-94 will have reduced the by-catch of salmon.

2.2 <u>Suspension of commercial fishing activity at Faroes</u>

Assuming that monitored stocks have been relatively stable over the past five years, the suspension of commercial fishing should have reduced exploitation at Faroes to less than 10% of levels in the previous three seasons. In practice, the mean levels of exploitation on 2SW fish from R. Imsa (Norway) (hatchery and wild fish) and R. Lagan (Sweden) (hatchery fish) decreased from 18% in the 1988/89 to 1990/91 seasons to 5% in the 1991/92 to 1993/94 seasons. In most years the level of exploitation on 1SW fish from Scandinavia and 1SW and 2SW fish from UK and Ireland have been very low and the effects of the buy-out are therefore difficult to detect.

The estimated reduction in returns to all homewaters that might have been expected if the full Faroese quota had been taken in the 1991/92 to 1993/94 seasons (550 t each season) were as follows:

	Estimated reduction in returns if quota had been taken		
Age/Origin	1993	1994	
Wild 1SW Wild 2SW Wild 2SW+	9,000 48,000 39,000	19,000 77,000 40,000	

The analysis also suggests that the fishery would have caught an extra 126,000 fish of farm origin if the full quota had been taken in each season.

The expected increase in total returns to all homewaters and to stocks in Scandinavia, Finland and Russia in 1993 and 1994 resulting from the reduction in the Faroese catches in the 1991/92 to 1993/94 seasons compared with the period 1988/89 to 1990/91 were as follows:

Incre		Increase	Estimated ind	crease in
Age in to		in total	stocks in Scar	ndinavia,
retur		returns	Finland and	Russia
1993	1SW	4,000	2,400-3,200	<1%
	MSW	67,000	40,200-53,600	5%-12%
1994	1SW	3,000	1,800-2,400	<1%
	MSW	49,000	29,400-39,200	4%-11%

In addition 126,000 fish of farm origin are estimated to have been taken in the three years, but it is not known how many of these would have returned to homewaters.

The increase in the catches of wild fish is within the annual variation of catches in these countries and does not represent a statistically significant increase. There were no significant changes in the catches for Ireland, Scotland (large salmon) and Russia (2SW salmon) in 1992-1994 compared with those in 1987-1991.

2.3 Suspension of commercial fishing activity at West Greenland

The expected increase in returns of 2SW salmon to homewaters in North America and Europe in 1994 and 1995 as a result of the 213 t West Greenland quota not being taken in 1993 and the 157 t quota in 1994 were as follows:

	Expected In numbers ret homewaters	crease in urning to
Continent	1994	1995
North America Europe	35,000 30,000	26,000 22,000

The expected increases in 1994 represent about 20 to 40% of the estimated total 2SW returns in North America and about 3 to 7% of the returns to southern European countries (UK, Ireland and France).

3. MANAGEMENT ADVICE FOR THE WEST GREENLAND AND NORTH AMERICAN COMMISSION AREAS

3.1 <u>Provide catch options, with an assessment of risks, related to the management</u> <u>objective of achieving target spawning escapement</u>

Background

To provide advice on management of the stock at West Greenland the size of the stock was assessed by estimating pre-fishery abundance using the run reconstruction model developed for this purpose. This could only be done in the year following the fishery when the fish returned to North America as 2SW fish.

A relationship was found between pre-fishery abundance and an index of the area suitable for salmon over the winter period based on sea surface temperature. The temperature data are available annually before the fishery so that it became possible to predict pre-fishery abundance.

ACFM is concerned that the underlying biological or environmental rationale for the relationship on which the prediction is based is not understood.

Pre-fishery abundance forecast

The databases for the North American run-reconstruction model were updated. The mid-point of the pre-fishery abundance estimate for 1993 was 150,470, which is the lowest value in the 20 year time series (Figure 3.1.1). The results show a continuing downward trend in pre-fishery abundance for North American MSW stocks.

A number of new approaches for improving the predictions of pre-fishery abundance were considered. Although evidence was provided that suggested that grilse abundance or grilse size might be related to MSW stock abundance, no unbiased measures of these parameters were available for North American stocks for the full time series because of the various fishery closures and reductions in effort.

A summed thermal habitat index for January, February, and March (winter) proved to be better correlated with the abundance data than the March habitat data alone and it was therefore used in the assessment. This index had the advantage of broadening the basis for the predictive relationship and may be less subject to small variations in the monthly habitat data.

An unbiased prediction of pre-fishery abundance and its residuals is presented in Table 3.1.1 and Figure 3.1.2. The predicted values are shown to fit the observed data quite well except during periods of low abundance in 1978 and in the late 1980s and 90s (Figure 3.1.3). The probability that the 1995 forecast was less than a particular level was estimated and is shown in the table below:

Probability %	1995 Forecast
25	154.000
30	175,000
35	193,000
40	211,000
45	229,000
50	244,000
55	262,000
60	280,000
65	298,000
70	316,000
75	337,000

The forecast estimate of pre-fishery abundance for 1995 using this model is about 244,000 at the 50% probability level. ACFM is concerned that all of the predicted pre-fishery abundance estimates since 1988 have been well above the observed values (see negative residuals in Figure 3.1.2) with an average difference of 101,000 fish. If this trend continues the actual pre-fishery abundance could be considerably lower than the 244,000 abundance forecast for 1995.

Development of catch options for 1995

The procedure for calculating the quota for the West Greenland fishery is summarised in Appendix 2. In addition to the estimate of pre-fishery abundance, this computation requires an estimate of the stock composition by continent [PropNA], mean weights of North American and European 1SW salmon [WT1SWNA and WT1SWE, respectively], and a correction factor for the expected sea age composition of the total landings [ACF]. The 1993 forecasts of these parameters were used because there are no biological samples for 1993 or 1994 with which to update the parameters.

Parameter	Forecast	Minus 1SE	Plus 1SE
PropNA	0.540	0.477	0.603
WT1SWNA	2.525	2.406	2.643
WT1SWE	2.660	2.510	2.810
ACF	1.121	1.070	1.172

It should be emphasised that these parameters have changed in the past and thus that they should be updated with new data periodically to ensure the greatest possible accuracy in the quota calculation.

In Table 3.1.2, the Greenland quota is computed for a range of probable abundance values and varying proportions of salmon available at West Greenland (Fna).

It should be noted that the 50% probability level only ensures that there is a 50% chance that the spawning escapement in North America will exceed the target level

for all rivers combined. Even if this target is achieved (estimated to be a 50% probability) it is likely that some stocks will fail to meet their individual target spawner requirements, while others will exceed their target levels. This may result from random variation between years or from systematic differences in the patterns of exploitation on fish from different rivers or regions. In the latter case, adoption of the 50% probability level may result in some stocks failing to meet their targets over an extended period. This would be likely to result in a long-term decline in those stocks.

It is evident from the indicators of stock status and the extremely low quota levels computed under both previously-used and proposed risk levels, that the North American stock complex is in a tenuous condition. Record low stock levels have been observed despite almost complete closures of mixed and single stock fisheries, a continuing trend of below target spawning escapement for 2SW salmon, and some of the lowest marine survival rates for monitored stocks.

ACFM stresses also that there are a number of difficulties in relying on the prediction model.

- The parameters associated with the West Greenland fishery used in the model have not been estimated since 1992.
- ACFM is concerned that the model has consistently predicted higher pre-fishery abundances than have been observed in the past 6 years. All of the residuals from the prediction have been negative since 1988 as discussed above.

Catch advice for the WGC and NAC areas

The pre-fishery abundance of 1SW salmon and the number of 2SW returns to North American rivers is shown in Figure 3.1.4. Pre-fishery abundance is now very close to the observed numbers of 2SW returns. Any fishery on this stock component could therefore have a detrimental effect on the chances of recovery. To protect all the stocks contributing to the fishery ACFM recommends that mixed stock fisheries should be closed, in 1995 in the West Greenland Commission Area and in 1996 in the North American Commission Area.

ACFM also notes that salmon stocks in SFAs 1, 2, 19-23 and in the USA appear to be at a very low level and considers that fishing mortality on these stocks from additional sources should be kept as low as possible.

Risk assessment

ACFM reviewed a stage-based projection model for North American 2SW salmon stocks and considered how the stochastic projections from this model might be used to provide advice on the assignment of risk associated with various management policies in the West Greenland Commission. The model characterises the probability of a population falling to a particular level over a given period and was used to evaluate the effects on the probability of adjusting the 1SW pre-fishery abundance.

This type of approach may provide a basis for risk assessment in the future.

3.2 <u>Review the target spawning level in USA rivers in the light of the present</u> <u>condition of the rivers and the stocks</u>

Determination of the optimal spawning numbers of 2SW salmon in USA rivers is based upon the egg deposition targets derived for Canadian rivers (240 eggs. $100m^{-2}$) and historical observations of the populations, particularly during periods prior to the initiation of mixed-stock fisheries.

Composite estimates for 2SW spawning targets were developed for salmon rivers in the USA based upon the area of accessible juvenile salmon habitat and biological characteristics of the USA salmon stocks and maiden 2SW salmon. Summary estimates by geographical regions in New England are provided in Table 3.2.1. Estimates for existing juvenile salmon habitat are based upon available information, while estimates of potential salmon habitat assume that spawners would have access to measured habitat at some time in the future. Estimates of habitat for most of the rivers in the State of Maine are thought to be low because much of the existing information is based upon old or incomplete information: for example, most rivers have fewer dams today; water quality has been markedly improved; and modern technology allows more complete assessment of available habitat.

Spawning targets for all areas under salmon restoration programs in the USA appear reasonable. For those areas of Maine not currently receiving adequate spawning escapement, the potential deficit in 1996 represents 18-26% of the USA 2SW spawner requirements and 3-4% of the total requirement for North America. Although there is a short-term deficit for these rivers, these targets are considered to be achievable in the future. If excess 2SW spawners were achieved in the rivers currently being enhanced, then restoration programs could be initiated and expanded in other Maine rivers where there are spawner deficits.

4. MANAGEMENT ADVICE FOR THE NORTH-EAST ATLANTIC COMMISSION AREA

4.1 <u>Provide estimates of spawning targets for optimal production</u>

Definition of stock targets

ACFM agreed that spawning targets are best derived from stock and recruitment data. Regardless of the type of model which provides the best fit to the data, the point of maximum gain (MG) and the replacement point (RP) (Figure 4.1.1) can be regarded as reference points which define the lower and upper bounds of target spawning requirement. Somewhere between these limits will lie an optimum which will minimise the risk of recruitment over-fishing while maximising the gain. The lower recruitment reference point (MG) has been adopted by ACFM as an objective standard spawning target. However, this should not be regarded as the target level applicable to management as it takes no account of the risk of the stock falling below target. It therefore equates to the minimum biologically acceptable level (MBAL) for a stock. In order to avoid falling below this point because of variability in recruitment and exploitation rates, a target should be set at some level above the maximum gain (MG) level. The exact location of the target is an issue which should be considered locally by biologists and managers. For rivers where no clear stock-recruitment relationship can be fitted or where no stock-recruitment data are available, it may still be possible to derive a spawning target following the principles defined by ICES (Report of the Workshop on Salmon Spawning Stock Targets in the North-East Atlantic, C.M.1994/M:6). Where insufficient stock-recruitment data are available, target spawning levels must be derived using data from other rivers in the same geographic area or with similar environmental characteristics.

Development of spawning targets in the NEAC area

Some advances in the development of spawning targets in the NEAC area have been made and these have also been used to provide advice on the status of stocks for the first time. However, in order for spawning targets to be used to provide catch advice they will have to be prepared for all stocks in the NEAC area (or all those affected by a particular fishery, if appropriate stock complexes can be defined). ACFM recommends that all countries should establish preliminary spawning targets for all their rivers as soon as possible.

4.2 <u>Develop methods which could be used in providing advice on catch quotas in</u> relation to stock abundance and, if possible, provide catch advice

Methods for providing advice on catch options in relation to stock abundance in the NEAC Area are likely to depend upon adopting a similar approach to that used for the provision of catch advice for the West Greenland fishery since 1993.

ACFM has pointed out the risks to individual stocks in this approach and noted that the implications have not been fully explored for the management of European stocks, where the patterns of movements of fish between areas and the interaction between fisheries may be more complex than in the North American and West Greenland Commission areas.

ACFM prepared a preliminary analysis of estimates of the pre-fishery abundance of maturing and non-maturing 1SW salmon in the NEAC area. These were based on the catch in numbers of 1SW and MSW salmon in each country, which were raised to take account of natural mortality and minimum and maximum estimates of non-reported catches, and exploitation rates on the two age classes. It was recognised that this would have to be done for a number of stock complexes.

Southern European stock complex	Northern European stock complex				
Ireland	Iceland				
France	Finland				
UK (England & Wales)	Norway				
UK (Northern Ireland)	Russia				
UK (Scotland)	Sweden				

Figures 4.2.1-4.2.2 show these preliminary estimates for two European stock complexes as defined below:

Although there was variation in the estimates of pre-fishery abundance, there was an apparent decline in non-maturing 1SW salmon in both stock complexes.

In the absence of a fully-developed time series of pre-fishery abundance data it was not possible to test any predictive models for total stocks.

Catch advice for the NEAC area

In view of the apparent decline in the pre-fishery abundance estimates and in the absence of a predictive model, ACFM recommends that levels of exploitation on non-maturing 1SW salmon in both southern and northern European stock complexes in mixed stock fisheries should not be allowed to increase until more detailed assessments are available which show that this will not have an adverse effect on recruitment.

5. **RESEARCH DEVELOPMENTS WHICH MIGHT ASSIST NASCO**

5.1 The impacts of fish farm escapees and sea-ranched fish on the wild stocks

In its 1994 advice ACFM reported in detail on the likely impacts of fish farm escapees and sea-ranched fish on wild stocks under the following headings: the percentage appearing in the fisheries at Faroes, West Greenland and in homewaters (including rivers), and the genetic, disease, parasite, ecological and environmental impacts of these fish.

No new information was available to ACFM.

5.2 <u>Criteria for identifying recruitment overfishing of Atlantic salmon</u>

This topic was dealt with in the advice given in 1994 and ACFM had no new information.

5.3 <u>Predictive models of annual migration and distribution of Atlantic salmon stock</u> <u>complexes</u>

In its advice in 1994 ACFM described initial trials with an Atlantic salmon migration model intended to explore the part that different known factors play in migration. ACFM had no new information to report.

5.4 <u>Biological and environmental variables affecting salmon abundance</u>

5.4.1 Differences in marine survival between stocks

Icelandic studies of the possibilities of using selective breeding to increase performance and profitability of salmon ranching show that there is significant variation in return rates between salmon stocks and even more variation between families within stocks. This suggests that the profitability of ranching could be improved by increasing return rates and body weight at return by selective breeding. These results also have implications for the management of wild salmon because they suggest that there could be genetically-based differences in survival rates between stocks.

5.4.2 Post-smolt growth and maturation

Return rates for 1SW and 2SW salmon are significantly higher in the Penobscot than the Connecticut stock. In addition, the fraction of the smolt year class or cohort that matured as 1SW fish was also higher for the Penobscot stock. Image processing techniques were used to study scale characteristics and suggest that systematic differences in growth, survival, and maturation between these two reared stocks may be related to their post-smolt migrations. This in turn suggests that post-smolt growth may play a significant role in deciding the age-at-maturity and survival patterns of Atlantic salmon.

5.4.3 Forage base of Atlantic salmon in North America and Europe

There is a statistical relationship between the distribution of sea surface temperature and the abundance of non-maturing 1SW North American salmon, although the underlying biological causes remain unknown. The transition to marine feeding is recognised as important to post-smolt survival and may contribute to the overall survival of a smolt cohort and thus contribute to the variability in production of the 1SW and 2SW age components of salmon stocks. An investigation of the most important prey items may therefore provide a valuable tool to help in understanding how the sea surface temperature affects salmon stocks.

6. COMPILATION OF TAG RELEASE AND FINCLIP DATA FOR 1994

Data on releases of tagged and finclipped fish in 1994 were compiled as a separate report. In excess of 1.64 million CWTs and 0.46 million external tags were applied to Atlantic salmon released in 1994. In addition, 2.33 million salmon were marked with finclips alone. Thus, more than 4.24 million marked fish were released, 4.05 million of which were hatchery reared. This compares with a total of 3.62 million marked fish released in 1993 and 4.49 million in 1992.

Year	Canada	Den.	Faroes	Finland	France	East	Nest Vest	Iceland	Ireland	Norway	Russia	Spain S	t.P&M	Weden	UK M	UK	UK	NSA	Other	Total	'n	reported catch	s
	8						30			2		Ð		(1991 L)	(4,7)				9	Catch	NASCO Areas	International waters (9)	Total Catch
1960	1636	8	100	743	1659	1100	33		4	283	139	1443	-	.	7237	.		
1961	1583	•	•	•	•	•	121	121	707	1533	96 06 1	នទ	•	27	232	132	1185	. → .		6464 2523	•		•
1963	1/19						1 994	4	1458	1786	/10	ว %		9 £	325	908 908	1725			8604			
1961	2069	•		•	•	•	1539	135	1617	2147	590	3 ¥	•	8	305	377	1901		•	10759			
1965	2116			•	•	•	861	133	1457	2000	590	42		40	320	281	1593	1	•	9434	•		ı
1966	2369	•	•	•	•	ı	1370	106	1238	1791	570	42	•	36	387	287	1595	1	•	9792			•
1967	2863	•				•	1601	146	1463	1980	883	43	,	22	420	449	2117	1	,	11991	•		•
1968	2111	•	S	•	•	•	1127	162	1413	1514	827	38		20	282	312	1578	1	•	9793			•
1969	2202	,	2	•	•	•	2210	133	1730	1383	360	54	•	2	377	267	1955	••••	403	11594	•		•
1970	2323	•	12	•	•	·	2146	195	1787	1171	4 8	45		8	527	297	1392	 1 .	893	11286	•		•
1701	1992	•	· c	· ;	' 7	۹,	2689	202	1639	1207	417	9 9		18	426	234	1421	r=4 =	922	10735			
1073	6C/ 1	•	ۍ ډ	7 5	\$ £	•	C112		1030	50C1	46 7 5	3 5		2 5	150	180	1711		4/1	02261			
1974	2539		8 8	26	1 🖸		1917	225	2128	1633	202	\$ 2		3 8	383	184	1708	38	533	11957			
1975	2485	•	58	76	ี ม		2030	5 <u>6</u>	2216	1537	811	5		8	447	2	1621	1.7	373	12236	•		•
1976	2506	•	40	8	6	₽	1175	225	1561	1530	772	21	2.5	20	208	113	1019	0.8	475	9557	,		
1977	2545	•	40	59	19	9	1420	230	1372	1488	497	19	,	10	345	110	1160	2.4	289	9514	•		•
1978	1545	•	37	37	20	80	984	291	1230	1050	476	32	•	01	349	148	1323	4.1	192	7682	,		•
6/61	1287	•	119	5 28	23	√.	1395	22	1097	1831	455	53	,	12	261	8. į	1076	2.5 7	138	8118	•		,
1980	2680	•	536 2001	¥ 3	88	⊽ 7	<u>z 1</u>	249	947	1830	§ 5	74 y	•	11	<u>96</u>	21 2	1134	ů,	193 E	12101	•		•
1982	1798		865	12	88	7 ⊽	1071	147	663	1348	354	Q ≘		9 X	286	132	1092	0 6 4	313	5647 8644			
1983	1424	•	678 678	. 85	16	7 ⊅	310	198	1656	1550	201	33	ę	ន	429	187	1221	1.3	437	87555			•
1984	1112	•	628	46	ห	4	297	159	829	1623	593	18	ŝ	40	345	78	1013	2.2	466	69923			•
1985	1133	•	566	49	22	7	864	217	1595	1561	629	13	ŝ	45	361	98	913	2.1	101	9108			•
1986	1559	•	530	37	28	19	960	310	1730	1598	608	77	2.5	\$4	430	109	1221	1.9	•	9274	•		9274
1987	1784	•	576	49	22	7.	996	222	1239	1385	564	18	2	4	302	8	922	12	•	8160	2788		10948
1988	1311	•	243	8	32	4	893	38	1874	1076	419	18	61	6 :	395	114	882	0.9	١	7136	3248		10984
1989	1139	• \$	364	22	7	4.	337	278	1079	905 202	359		6 6	ର ନ	296 226	142	895 291	1.7	·	5900	1122	010 001	8177
0661	116	<u>;</u>	CI 6	88	3 :	√ •	417	47 97 97 97 97 97	080	750	215	2 2	ν.	;; ;;	800	\$ 3	570	4 0 7 7	•	4945	0691	005-081	00.00
1662	225	;; E	c c	5 5	2 2	4 V	237	55	4 P	867 8	991	19	- []	8 6	186	8 e	70 9	0.0		4 4 3 8	1962	25-100	77 oc
1993	373	6	5	2	16	• •	1	656	543	923	1 1 1	4	1.8	56	270	: ::	547	0.6		3723	1644	25-100	5367
1994(10)	351	9	9	49	18	•	•	448	819	937	138	15	2.7	4	319	6	596	0	•	3840	1276	25-100	5116
Means																							
1989-1993	731	•	<u>16</u>	8	16	ŝ	330	500	648	<u>8</u> 06	239	12	6	41	258	93	626	-	•	4570	1891		6461
1984-1993	1056	. •	336	55	21	80	589	380	1051	1174	404	16	7	43	312	8	813	1	•	6304	•	•	•
Ŧ	Includes est	timates c	of some lo	cal sales, t	ud, prior	to 1984	, by-catcl	п		\$	Wcig	hts estim	ated from	1994 me	an weight	. Early year	rs may be ur	denstim	ites.				
7	Includes ca	tches ma	ide in the	West Gree	mland are	a by No	rway, Fai	roes, Denn	nark	1	Not ii	ncluding	angling c	atch (mai	uly 1SW)								
3	Until 1994,	include.	s only tho	se catches	sold throu	ugh deal	Sta			••	Inclue	des catch	les in Nor	wegian Se	a by vess	kels from D	enmark, Swe	den, Ge	many, N	lorway and	Finland.		
4	Catch on R	iver Foy	le allocate	ad 50% Inc	land and	50% N.	Ireland			6	Estim	ates refe	r to seaso	n ending	in given y	/ear.		" \					
ŝ	Before 196	6, sca tr	out and se	a charr inc	Juded (59	b of tota	â			10	Inclue	des provi	isional and	d incomple	cte data.								

Table 1.1.1 Nominal catch of SALMON by country (in tonnes round fresh weight), 1960-1994. (1994 provisional figures)

				Year	of spaws	ning of s	mail and	i large s	almon		
		1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994
Bay of Fundy /	Atlantic Co	oast of N	ova Scot	tia (% o	f rivers a	ussessed))	÷	<u> </u>		<u></u>
Number of rive	rs assessed	2	2	2	2	4	4	4	5	5	13
Depositions as % of target	>=100%	100%	50%	50%	50%	75%	50%	50%	20%	20%	10%
	<50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	25%	0%	40%	60%	90%
Rivers flowing	into the Gu	lf of St. 1	Lawrend	:e (% of	rivers a	ssessed)		i de	<u></u>	.	
Number of rive	rs assessed	27	27	27	27	26	26	26	29	32	36
Depositions as % of target	>=100%	26%	37%	56%	70%	54%	42%	54%	55%	38%	36%
-	<50%	26%	4%	11%	.7%	4%	8% .	8%	17%	19%	19%
South and Nort	heast Newf	oundlan	d and La	ıbrador	(% of ri	vers ass	essed)		<u> </u>	I	
Number of rive	rs assessed	4	5	6	10	11	11	11	11	12	14
Depositions as % of target	>=100%	50%	60%	67%	40%	27%	27%	9%	36%	42%	36%
	<50%	25%	20%	33%	40%	55%	55%	73%	45%	33%	43%

 Table 1.3.1
 Egg depositions relative to target during 1984 to 1994 for the assessed rivers in eastern Canada.

•

			Prefishery	abundance
			from Ja	n+Feb+Mar
Year		Prefishery		
		Abundance	Unbiased	Unbiased
		Mid-point	Predicted	Residual
	1974	689188	562294	126894
	1975	795276	505968	289308
	1976	706814	533307	173507
	1977	566179	609952	-43772
	1978	320904	761988	-441084
	1979	705962	664701	41261
	1980	619221	610182	9039
	1981	591253	555599	35654
	1982	490695	482746	7949
	1983	270166	308158	-37992
	1984	291667	201769	89898
	1985	467162	240950	226212
	1986	499987	469771	30217
	1987	460708	412789	47919
	1988	367376	474788	-107412
	1989	300048	466486	-166438
	1990	256106	357093	-100986
	1991	277135	300145	-23010
	1992	177570	301257	-123687
	1993	150470	236110	-85640
	1994			
	1995			

Table 3.1. 1 Observed and predicted prefishery abundance and residuals (difference between predicted and observed values).

5 X *

y Prob. Proportion at West Greenland (Fna) level 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9

Table 3.1.2	Quota options (in tonnes) for 1995 at West Greenland based on regression forecasts
	of fishery abundance. Proportion at West Greenland refers to the fraction of harvestable
	surplus allocated to the West Greenland fishery. The probability level refers to the
	pre-fishery abundance levels derived from the probability density function

 Sp. res =
 208,170

 Prop NA =
 0.54

 WT1SWNA =
 2.525

 WT1SWE =
 2.66

 ACF =
 1.121

		Units of sain	100 babit	at (100m ²)		Required Spawners (2SW		
State	River	Existing Habitat Units	(%)	Potential Habitat Units	• •	(%)	Existing	Potential
Connecticut	Connecticut	145.900	33.3	261.400		35.2	9,727	17.427
Rhode Island	Paucatuck	5.370	1.2	5,370		0.7	367	367
New Hampshire	Merrimack	38.980	8.9	57.065		7.7	2,599	3.804
Maine	Aroostook	60,775	13.9	60,775		8.2	(%) 35.2 9.727 0.7 367 7.7 2.599 8.2 4.052 0.1 56 0.7 333 3.9 1.951 0 6 0.7 333 3.9 1.951 0 6 0.3 161 0 6 0.3 143 0.9 446 0 6 0.1 72 0.3 401 0.1 39 1.1 557 0 11 13.8 6.338 0 11 13.8 6.338 0 11 0 0 0.1 39 0.1 39 0.1 39 0.1 39 0.1 39 0.1 39 0.1 28 0.1	+ 052
	Presule	8 3 <i>5</i>	0.2	835		0.1		56
	Meduxnekeag	5,000	1.1	5.000		0.7	333	333
	St. Croix	29,260	6.7	29.260	*	3.9	1 951	1 951
	Boyden Str.	85	0	85	*	0	6	6
	Pennamaguaun	85	0	85		0 0	6	6
	Dennys	2,415	0.6	2.415		03	161	161
	Hobart Str.	85	0	85	*	0.5	6	101
	Orange	20	0	20		. 0	1	
	East Machias	2.145	0.5	2 145		03	1.13	143
	Machias	6.685	1.5	6 685	*	0.5	145	1.16
	Chandler	85	0	85		0.5	0	
	Indian	85	0	85	*	0	6	6
	Pleasant	1.085	0.2	1.085	*	01	77	77
	Narraguagus	6.015	14	6.015		0.1	.101	101
	Tunk Str.	585	0.1	585	*	0.0	30	104
	Union	8.360	19	8 360	*	11	557	557
	Orland River	165	0	165	×	1.1	11	11
	Penobscot	102 575	23 ±	102 575	*	13.9	£ 979	11 2 979
	Passaga'wa'kg	165	0	165	*	15.5	0,030	0.338
	Little	0	0	105	*	0	11	11
	Ducktran	585	01	585	*	01	0 70	10
	St. George	250	0.1	250	*	0.1	39	39
	Medomak	200	0.1	200	*	0	17	17
	Pemaguid River	85	0	85	*	0	0	0
	Sheepscot River	2 845	07	2 815		0.1	100	0
	Kennebec River(4)	1.005	0.7	114 300	*	15 1	190	7 620
	Androscoggin River(3,175	0.7	17 900	*	1J.4 6 5	70	7,020
	Roval River	420	0 1	120	*	0.5	41 <u>~</u> 79	J.17J 70
	Presumpscot River	85	0	85		0.1	20 6	20
	Saco River	12.540	2.9	25 080		3 1	0 278	1 672
	Mousam River	0	0	000,000 N	*	0.4	0.0	1.072
	Kennebunk River	85	Õ	85	*	0	6	0 4
	Salmon Fails River	0	0 0	0	*	Ő	0	0
	Total Maine	247,585	56.5	418,145	*	56.3	16.506	27,876
USA	Grand Total	437,835	100	741,980	*	100	29 198	19 171

Table 3.2.1 Estimated Atlantic salmon spawner requirements (2SW) for USA rivers¹.

t

Based upon: 240 eggs/unit: 7,200 eggs/female: 50-50 sex ratio indicates not all habitat has been inventoried and some inventories are outdated/incomplete. *





Figure 1.1.2 Production of farmed salmon (tonnes round fresh weight) in the North Atlantic, 1980–1994.







Fishing seasons

Figure 1.2.2 Catch per 1000 hooks (CPUE) in the Faroese fishery inside the EEZ since the 1982/83 fishing season. The catch is broken into wild and farmed fish. The seasons 1981/1982, 1983/1984 and 1984/1985 are not analysed yet.



.

. 1976 . 1988 . 1992 Year



Extant Exploitation, %

Figure 3.1.1 Pre-fishery abundance estimates of North American salmon, 1974-1993. Box plots show 5, 25, 50, 75, and 95% ranges of 200 stochastic realizations.




Figure 3.1.2 Residual analysis of the pre-fishery abundance regression estimate. A: Residual time series; B: Observed abundance versus residual.

Residuals (000s)



Figure 3.1.3 Comparison of the actual, predicted and unbiased predicted pre-fishery abundance values.





Analysis of stock-recruitment data for River Bush UK(N.Ireland).



Ricker model:	Recruitment = alpha*Spawners*exp(-beta*Spawners)

Results assuming lognormal error structure		
alpha	0.024	
beta	0.364	• E-06

Reference Points	Eggs (million)
Max. Gain (millions)	2.310
90% Max. Gain (millions)	3.385
Max. Recruitment	2.760
Replacement (millions)	7.335

Figure 4.2.1

Maximum and minimum of recruitment of maturing (solid lines) and non-maturing (dotted lines) 1SW salmon in Southern European stock complex.



Figure 4.2.2

Maximum and minimum estimates of recruitment of maturing (solid lines) and non-maturing (dotted lines) 1SW salmon in Northern European stock complex.



APPENDIX 1

DECISION OF THE COUNCIL TO REQUEST SCIENTIFIC ADVICE FROM ICES

- 1. With respect to Atlantic salmon in each Commission area, where relevant:
 - a) describe the events of the 1994 fisheries with respect to catches (including unreported catches), gear, effort, composition and origin of the catch (including fish farm escapees and sea-ranched fish) and rates of exploitation;
 - b) describe the status of the stocks (including the contribution to these stocks of fish farm escapees and sea-ranched fish) occurring in the Commission area, and where possible evaluate spawning escapement against targets;
 - c) specify data deficiencies and research needs.
- 2. Evaluate the effects of the following management measures on the stocks and fisheries occurring in the respective Commission areas:
 - a) quota management and closures implemented after 1991 in the Canadian commercial salmon fisheries;
 - b) the suspension of commercial fishing activity at Faroes;
 - c) the suspension of commercial fishing activity at West Greenland.
- 3. With respect to the fishery in the West Greenland Commission area:
 - a) provide catch options with an assessment of risks relative to the management objective of achieving target spawning escapement;
 - b) review the target spawning level in US rivers in the light of the present condition of the rivers and the stocks.
- 4. With respect to fisheries and stocks in the North-East Atlantic Commission area:
 - a) provide estimates of spawning targets for optimal production;
 - b) develop methods which could be used in providing advice on catch quotas in relation to stock abundance and, if possible, provide catch options.
- 5. Report on significant research developments which might assist NASCO with the management of salmon stocks, with special reference to:
 - a) the impacts of fish farm escapees and sea-ranched fish on the wild stocks;
 - b) criteria for identifying recruitment overfishing of Atlantic salmon;
 - c) predictive models of annual migration and distribution of Atlantic salmon stock complexes;
 - d) biological (such as maturation, predation, forage base) and environmental (such as oceanographic, productivity) variables which provide interpretation of trends in salmon abundance.
- 6. With respect to Atlantic salmon in the NASCO area, provide a compilation of microtag, finclip and external tag releases by ICES Member Countries in 1994.

APPENDIX 2

COMPUTATION OF CATCH ADVICE FOR WEST GREENLAND

The North American Spawning Target (SpT) for 2SW salmon has been set at 193,741 fish.

This number must be divided by the survival rate for the fish from the time of the West Greenland fishery to their return of the fish to home waters (11 months) to give the Spawning Target Reserve (SpR). Thus

Eq. 1 SpR = SpT*(exp(11*M) (where M = 0.01)

The Maximum Allowable Harvest (MAH) may be defined as the number of non-maturing 1SW fish that are available for harvest. This number is calculated by subtracting the Spawning Target Reserve from the pre-fishery abundance (PFA).

Eq. 2
$$MAH = PFA - SpR$$

To provide catch advice for West Greenland it is then necessary to decide on the proportion of the MAH to be allocated to Greenland (f_{NA}) . The allowable harvest of North American non-maturing 1SW salmon at West Greenland (NA1SW) may then be defined as

Eq. 3
$$NA1SW = f_{NA} * MAH$$

The estimated number of European salmon that will be caught at West Greenland (E1SW) will depend upon the harvest of North American fish and the proportion of the fish in the West Greenland fishery that originate from North America [PropNA]. Because there are no samples for 1993, simple exponential smoothing of the observed 1978-1992 values of PropNA is used to generate a forecast for 1994. Thus

Eq. 4
$$E1SW = (NA1SW / PropNA) - NA1SW$$

To convert the numbers of North American and European 1SW salmon into total catch at West Greenland in metric tonnes it is necessary to incorporate the mean weights of salmon for North America [WT1SWNA] and Europe [WT1SWE] and an adjustment for the age composition of the catch [ACF]. The quota (in tonnes) at Greenland is then estimated as

Eq. 5 Quota = (NA1SW * WT1SWNA + E1SW * WT1SWE) * ACF/1000

where

WT1SWNA =	mean weight (kg) of North American salmon at Greenland, the 1994 value was forecasted as described below
WT1SWE =	mean weight (kg) of European salmon at Greenland, the 1994 value was forecasted as described below
ACF =	age correction factor for multi-sea winter salmon at Greenland based on the total weight of salmon caught divided by the weight of 1SW salmon.

Mean weights by continent [WT1SWNA, WT1SWE] and the age correction factor [ACF] for 1994 were forecasted from the 1978-1992 observations. The exponentially smoothed values were based on estimation of an optimal smoothing coefficient and are given in Section 3.3.