

IP(19)15rev2

NASCO Implementation Plan for the period 2019-2024

EU – Ireland (*Revised version submitted November 2021*)

IP(19)15rev2

NASCO Implementation Plan for the period 2019 – 2024

The main purpose of this Implementation Plan is to demonstrate what actions are being taken by the Parties / jurisdictions to implement NASCO's Resolutions, Agreements and Guidelines.

In completing this Implementation Plan please refer to the **Guidelines for the Preparation and Evaluation of NASCO Implementation Plans and for Reporting on Progress**, CNL(18)49.

Questions in the Implementation Plan are drawn from the following documents:

- NASCO Guidelines for Management of Salmon Fisheries, CNL(09)43 (referred to as the 'Fisheries Guidelines');
- *Report of the Working Group on Stock Classification, CNL(16)11;*
- Minimum Standard for Catch Statistics, CNL(93)51 (referred to as the 'Minimum Standard');
- *Revised matrix for the application of the six tenets for effective management of an Atlantic salmon fishery, WGCST(16)16¹;*
- NASCO Plan of Action for the Application of the Precautionary Approach to the Protection and Restoration of Atlantic Salmon Habitat, CNL(01)51;
- NASCO Guidelines for Protection, Restoration and Enhancement of Atlantic Salmon Habitat, CNL(10)51 (referred to as the 'Habitat Guidelines');
- *Williamsburg Resolution, CNL(06)48;*
- Guidance on Best Management Practices to address impacts of sea lice and escaped farmed salmon on wild salmon stocks (SLG(09)5) (referred to as the 'BMP Guidance');
- Guidelines for Incorporating Social and Economic Factors in Decisions under the Precautionary Approach (CNL(04)57); and
- Road Map' to enhance information exchange and co-operation on monitoring, research and measures to prevent the spread of G. salaris and eradicate it if introduced', NEA(18)08.

Party:	European Union
Jurisdiction / Region:	Ireland

¹ This document can be obtained from the NASCO Secretariat; email hq@nasco.int

1. Introduction

1.1 What are the objectives for the management of wild salmon? (*Max 200 words*)

Give the core national objectives guiding the legislation for your jurisdiction

It is the Irish Government's strongly held view that our salmon stock is a national asset, which must be conserved and protected, as well as being exploited as a resource, by us all on a sustainable and shared basis. The Irish Government acknowledges the status of salmon as set out in Directive 92/43/EEC (Annex II & V) and the requirement to protect and conserve this species.

Government policy is to conserve the inland fisheries resource through effective corporate governance of the agencies operating under the aegis of the Department of Communications, Climate Action & Environment (DCCAE) and to facilitate exploitation of the resource on an equitable and sustainable basis.

The Government's strategic objectives are :

- to ensure the effective conservation, primarily through Inland Fisheries Ireland and the Loughs Agency, of inland fish habitats and stocks.
- to encourage the sustainable development, through appropriate investment and support within resource constraints, of the commercial and recreational fishing resource
- for all stocks to meet and exceed biologically based Conservation Limits with only the surplus above the Conservation Limits being available for harvest; and
- to deliver effective legislative and regulatory framework and value for money management for the inland fisheries sector.

1.2 What reference points (e.g. conservation limits, management targets or other measures of abundance) are used to assess the status of stocks? (Max 200 words) (Reference: Sections 2.4 and 2.5 of the Fisheries Guidelines)

The principal development of the statistical techniques and subsequent model use to establish CLs (Conservation Limits) for all Irish rivers occurred within the context of the EU-funded concerted action SALMODEL (a co-ordinated approach to the development of a scientific basis for management of wild salmon in the North-East Atlantic). Details of the model specification are given in Prevost *et al.*, (2003) and their application to Irish rivers in Ó Maoiléidigh *et al.*, (2004) and (McGinnity *et al.*, 2012). These data, combined with individual river data on salmon weight, proportion of 1SW & MSW fish, and national data on male:female ratios and fecundity allow individual CLs to be generated for each salmon river.

Scientific advice is provided annually on 144 rivers with regard to attainment of river-specific CLs. Estimates from fish counter or raised rod catches allow an estimate of stock size to be calculated which is assessed against individual river CLs. Management then allocate rivers into three categories, open for harvest, open for catch & release-only angling and closed based on the scientific advice. No harvest of salmon is permitted in rivers or commercial fisheries in river estuaries not meeting CL.

1.3 What is the current status of stocks under the new classification system outlined in CNL(16)11?

Stock Classification Score	Salmon Classification Category	No. rivers
0	Not at Risk	11
1	Low Risk	16

2	Moderate Risk	32
3	High Risk	85
N/A	Artificially Sustained	
N/A	Lost	
N/A	Unknown	
Additional comments:		

1.4 How is stock diversity (e.g. genetics, age composition, run-timing, etc.) taken into account in the management of salmon stocks? (*Max 200 words*)

All Irish salmon stocks are managed on a catchment by catchment basis and assessed for 1SW and 2SW components. Specific advice is provided for 16 2SW stocks which contribute significantly to important known spring fisheries which need to be managed separately. This helps to preserve the genetics of the early run fish.

Annual and daily bag limits restrict the overall numbers of fish which can be taken in a given period to avoid overfishing on specific run components of the stock. Prior to the 12th of May annually a maximum of one spring salmon per day and a maximum of three spring salmon in total up to 12th May can be retained by anglers as a further conservation measure. Only one salmon per day can be retained per day by anglers in September as a conservation measure. Additional seasonal restrictions (open date in spring generally varies by catchment) only allow exploitation during the "open" season, the closure date for recreational salmon fisheries is 30th September. Commercial salmon fisheries are not permitted to operate before May 12th as a conservation measure on the spring fish stock component.

Extensive genetic analysis and genotyping of salmon stocks in Ireland has been completed and has led to unique genetic identification of all Irish salmon stocks, except for three rivers (R. Nore, Suir & Barrow), which are closely related in genetic terms. This genetic analysis has led to differentiation of stocks in any remaining mixed-stock fisheries. Where genetics of stocks in smaller rivers adjacent to larger rivers are similar using current Genetic Stock Identification techniques, stocks are considered as single stock for management purposes.

1.5 To provide a baseline for future comparison, what is the current and potential quantity of salmon habitat? (Max 200 words)

(Reference: Section 3.1 of the Habitat Guidelines)

The current quantity of accessible salmon habitat is 11,743 hectares. Four major hydroelectric facilities impede upstream movement of salmon and the total wetted area of salmon habitat when the area upstream of these four stations is included is 16,720 hectares. While these hydro-stations do have fish passage facilities, the rivers are not considered to hold selfsustaining salmon populations.

1.6 What is the current extent of freshwater and marine salmonid aquaculture?		
Number of marine farms	22 (2020)	
Marine production (tonnes)	13,400 tonnes (2020)	
Number of freshwater facilities	31 (15 salmon farms; 6 rainbow trout farms, 10 restocking/ranching)	
Freshwater production (tonnes)	600 tonnes (2020)	
Append one or more maps showing the location of aquaculture facilities and aquaculture free zones in rivers and the sea.		



Figure 1. Location of Ireland's marine salmon farms in the North-West, West and South-West.

1.7 Please describe the process used to consult NGOs and other stakeholders and industries in the development of this Implementation Plan. (*Max 200 words*)

The technical elements Ireland's Implementation plan were drafted and circulated to NGO's, government departments and stakeholders for feedback. Consultation feedback has been considered for inclusion in the final released plan.

2. Management of Salmon Fisheries:

In this section please review the management approach to each of the fisheries in your jurisdiction (i.e. commercial, recreational and other fisheries) in line with the relevant NASCO Resolutions, Agreements and Guidelines. For Parties / jurisdictions that prosecute mixed-stock fisheries, there should at least one action related to their management.

2.1 What are the objectives for the management of the fisheries for wild salmon? (Max. 200 words)

The objectives of fisheries management is for all stocks to meet and exceed biologically based Conservation Limits with only the surplus above the Conservation Limits being available for harvest.

Government policy is to conserve the inland fisheries resource through effective corporate governance of the agencies operating under the aegis of the Department and to facilitate exploitation of the resource on an equitable and sustainable basis.

The Governments strategic objectives are to:

• Ensure the effective conservation, primarily through Inland Fisheries Ireland and the Loughs Agency, of inland fish habitats and stocks.

• Deliver effective legislative and regulatory framework and value for money management for the inland fisheries sector.

2.2 What is the decision-making process for the management of salmon fisheries, including predetermined decisions taken under different stock conditions (e.g. the stock levels at which regulations are triggered)? (Max. 200 words) (This can be answered by providing a flow diagram if this is available.) (Reference: Sections 2.1 and 2.7 of the Fisheries Guidelines)

The scientific and management process for provision of catch advice is set out below. Once estimates of average spawners, average catch, and river-specific CL have been derived for the most recent five-year period, a forecast of returns is made for the following year. Harvest options are provided along with the associated probability of meeting the CL at various catch options. Following the procedure used by ICES, the harvest option that provides a 0.75 probability level (or 75% chance) of meeting the CL for a given stock is recommended by scientists. Where there is no harvest option which will provide a 75% chance of meeting the CL, then a harvest (commercial or rod) is not recommended.

An objective of the catch advice is to ensure that harvest fisheries only take place on river stocks meeting and exceeding their CL. The means to achieve this objective is to only allow harvest fisheries which can specifically target single stocks which are meeting their CLs. The scientific advice is provided to IFI for their consideration by an independent Technical Expert Group on Salmon (TEGOS). Management recommendations on the open, catch and release or closed status of salmon rivers, available surplus, appropriate management regime, open season, fishing methods etc. are proposed by IFI. Following consultation with the Department, the annual salmon management legislation is drafted and placed before the public for consultation. Following a 30 day public consultation period the Minister publishes the legislation for all fisheries before January 1st.

2.3 (a) Are any fisheries permitted to operate on salmon stocks that are below their reference point (e.g. Conservation Limits)? If so, (b) how many such fisheries are there and (c) what approach is taken to managing them that still promotes stock rebuilding? (Max 200 words) (Reference: Section 2.7 of the Fisheries Guidelines)

- (a) No harvest fisheries are permitted on salmon stocks below conservation limit. Catch & release-only (C&R-only) rod fisheries are permitted in rivers below CL which meet ≥50% of CL or where catchment-wide electrofishing (CWEF) surveys indicate a mean number of salmon fry ≥ 15 / five-minute fishing.
- (b) The exact number of fisheries where C&R-only angling is permitted varies annually and is informed by the annual scientific stock advice process and subsequent management decisions (i.e. rivers below CL but meeting ≥50% of CL or where mean CWEF salmon fry ≥ 15). There were 40 fisheries out of 144 (year 2019) designated as C&R-only rod fisheries. Since 2017, there have been between 27 and 42 fisheries operating as C&R-only.
- (c) No harvest of salmon is permitted on salmon rivers open for C&R-only angling. Only rivers estimated to be meeting ≥50% of CL or with a mean CWEF ≥ 15 fry are open for C&R-only angling, angling methods are restricted to single or double barbless hooks and no worm fishing is permitted. C&R-only fisheries are permitted to provide necessary catch data for subsequent annual stock assessments which determine the conservation status of rivers. Restrictions on harvest aim to facilitate the natural recovery of stocks below CL.
- 2.4 (a) Are there any mixed-stock salmon fisheries? If so (b) how are these defined,
 (c) what was the mean catch in these fisheries in the last five years and (d) how are they managed to ensure that all the contributing stocks are meeting their conservation objectives? (Max. 300 words in total)
 (Deferences Section 2.9 of the Fisheries Cuidelines)

(Reference: Section 2.8 of the Fisheries Guidelines)

(a) There are currently two managed mixed-stock fisheries in Ireland, Killary Harbour and Castlemaine Harbour (as of 2020).

(b) In the case of the Killary Harbour (Ballinakill District) fishery, there are two contributing stocks (Delphi and Erriff) both of which are meeting and exceeding their CLs in 2019. The TEGOS provide advice on the Killary common embayment based on the CL being met on both rivers simultaneously.

In 2010, the Minister of State at the Department of Communications, Energy & Natural Resources requested advice on how a commercial salmon fishery could be operated on stocks in Castlemaine Harbour in a sustainable manner, maximising the opportunities for commercial fishing whilst ensuring that stocks are not overexploited. In this context, a pilot fishery was operated in Castlemaine Harbour in 2010 to determine the composition of the various stocks in the fishery. The results indicated that at least 94% of the catch in the fishery comprised salmon stocks from three rivers entering Castlemaine Harbour (Laune, Caragh and Maine). All three rivers have been above CL since 2011 and a mixed-stock fishery has operated since that time. Advice is provided annually on this common embayment fishery based on all three rivers simultaneously achieving their CLs.

(c) Killary Harbour mean catch 2014-2018: 243 salmon (0.7t). Castlemaine Harbour mean catch 2014-2018: 690 salmon (1.9t).

(d) The objective of the catch advice is to ensure that harvest fisheries operate only in estuaries where contributing stocks meet and exceed CLs. Where a potential mixed-stock commercial fishery exists, the estimate of available salmon surplus is first calculated on each contributing stock to the potential mixed-stock fishery. An analysis in undertaken to ensure that the conservation limit will be met simultaneously in each river if a mixed-stock fishery is to operate. This effectively reduces the combined available surplus for all rivers in a mixed-stock fishery. This process ensures that if a mixed-stock fishery operates, there is a high probability that the CL will be met in all contributing rivers simultaneously.

2.5 How are socio-economic factors taken into account in making decisions on management of salmon fisheries? (Max. 200 words) (Reference: Section 2.9 of the Fisheries Guidelines)

In evaluating management options, conservation of the salmon resource does take precedence over socio-economic factors and only fisheries meeting CLs and with a harvestable surplus are allowed retain salmon. The allocation of any surplus to stakeholders (i.e. anglers and commercial net fishermen) is based on consultation between IFI and the stakeholders concerned. These proportions are usually based on historical catch information.

IFI will support the NASCO evaluation of the value of salmon as part of the State of the Salmon report planned as part of the International Year of the Salmon deliverables.

A socio-economic programme is planned with the Economic & Social Research Institute which will inform decisions regarding management of salmon fisheries.

2.6 What is the current level of unreported catch and what measures are being taken to reduce this? (Max. 200 words)

(Reference: Section 2.2 of the Fisheries Guidelines and the Minimum Standard)

Under the current legislation supporting the Carcass Tagging and Logbook Scheme, all fishermen must record details of landings (commercial, angling including catch and release). For the purposes of reporting illegal unreported catch to NASCO, a national figure of 10% is used based on observations from fishery inspectors. There is no systematic appraisal of unreported catch.

Following the closure of the Irish mixed-stock fishery at sea in 2006, there is more focus on improving data from inshore fisheries and recreational fisheries. Logbook returns for commercial fishermen are 100% while returns are available for approximately 60% of anglers. A correction factor is used to raise the reported rod angling catch to account for unreturned angling logbooks. This correction factor raises reported rod catches by approx.. 20%. All anglers who do not return logbooks are written to as a means of improving logbook returns and a proportion are taken to court annually and fined for non-return of logbooks.

Since the closure of the mixed-stock fishery the few remaining commercial fisheries are based in fisheries above their CL. These are in the main inshore close to or in the estuarine portion of identified rivers. IFI maintains a very close watch on these fisheries and allocates individual carcass tags on a restricted basis based on the utilisation of the previous issued allocation. All salmon harvested by whatever means must have a carcass tag attached.

2.7 Has an assessment under the Six Tenets for Effective Management of an Atlantic Salmon Fishery been conducted? If so, (a) has the assessment been made available to the Secretariat and (b) what actions are planned to improve the monitoring and control of the fishery? (c) If the six tenets have not been applied, what is the timescale for doing so? (Max. 200 words)

(*Reference: Six Tenets for Effective Management of an Atlantic Salmon Fishery, WGCST(16)16*)

Yes,

(a) Ireland's assessment under the Six Tenets was made available to NASCO in January 2017.

(b)

Control and enforcement measures are in place under powers granted in *Sections 57* and *Section 69* of the *Inland Fisheries Act 2010*. Around 130 IFI Fisheries Officers monitor compliance with the regulations 'on the ground' throughout the state. A diverse range of methods are used for anti-poaching patrols including boats, kayaks, Personal Water Craft (PWCs), all-terrain vehicles, bicycles, vehicles and foot patrols. In addition to the use of traditional patrol methods, the availability of advanced surveillance equipment including night vision scopes, infra-red heat sensing scopes and enhanced optical surveillance have proven instrumental in the apprehension of a number of illegal operators. In 2017, 187,426 fishery staff man hours were spent on protecting Ireland's Fishing Resource. Protection patrols were carried out on lakes, rivers, estuaries and at sea, with a total of 35,630 inspections of licence holders. This protection was largely related to salmon but fishery patrols were also targeted at other fish species. In total, 264 nets were seized measuring 14,055 metres, 128 Fixed Charge Notices were issued for fishery offences and 82 prosecutions were initiated.

Sanctions for non-compliance with the regulations are specified under *Section 57* and *69* of the *Inland Fisheries Act 2010*.

To improve the monitoring and control of the fishery, IFI have committed to replacing the offshore Rigid Inflatable Boat (RIB) fleet which will be fully operational in 2019. This fleet will be capable of patrolling the entire coastline of the Republic of Ireland from shore to the 12 mile limit. In all 12 new boats will be commissioned by April 2019.

(d) N/A	(d) N/A			
2.8 Identify the threats to wild salmon and challenges for management associated				
with their exploitation in fisheries, including bycatch of salmon in fisheries				
	targeting other species.			
Threat /	_	both at sea and within rivers, remains a concern and an		
challenge F1	impediment to st			
Threat /	1 0	of rod catches is of concern as catch data are used as the		
challenge F2	1 1	of population (i.e. returns) information for catch advice		
		ajority of rivers. Over-reporting of catch will lead to a higher		
	-	eturns in forecasts and therefore an over-optimistic outcome		
	in terms of attain	ment of CLs and mask the true extent of stock recovery.		
	TT 1			
		of catches will lead to lost catch harvest opportunities or a		
	-	utcome with regard to attainment of CLs and will also mask		
T1 /	the true extent of			
Threat /	Awareness of the	Awareness of the critical status of salmon stocks in Ireland		
challenge F3	CMADT actions are alreaded during the marked of the literation			
		s are planned during the period covered by this 019 – 2024) to address each of the threats and challenges		
-		to implement NASCO's Resolutions, Agreements and		
	Guidelines and demonstrate progress towards achievement of its goals and objectives for the management of salmon fisheries?			
v		Protection against illegal fishing is a high priority in Ireland		
	action:	and the state invests a considerable amount of resources on		
		these activities (Fishery Inspectors, Navy, Garda etc).		
		The new RIB fleet and closely working with the Aer Corps		
		and Navy will assist significantly in eradicating any		
		offshore netting - however should significant returns of		

salmon materialise the probability of some unscrupulous fishermen making efforts to catch fish illegally remains. This is further countered by the careful monitoring of restaurants, smokeries and hotels for the occurrence of wild fish that have not come from a legal source.
The following recent investment by IFI will greatly assist in achieving SMART actions regarding curtailment of illegal fishing:
New offshore RIB fleet; new technologies including use of drones; Covert cameras; high power telescopes; thermal imaging etc Greater concentration on training of staff and facilities to get RIBs closer to launch points. IFI measure many metrics including man hours in fisheries protection; number of patrols; number of nets seized; length of net; number of individuals apprehended; number of fines issued; number of prosecutions undertaken etc. IFI ability to achieve all this has been strengthened by the investment in the new technologies and boats over the last two years.
IFI produce an annual "Protection Plan" which is strongly focused on salmon protection; the plan for 2019 has increased focus on salmon protection as a support for the "International Year of the Salmon". IFI also have a very mobile reactionary staff who can respond to threats or reported incidences of illegal activity and a 24 hour hotline operates that can alert staff at any stage to illegal threats.
IFI is looking for additional funding in 2019 to expand the drone patrolling programme and get added high resolution thermal cameras to aid identifying targets in undergrowth close to rivers. IFI, subject to funding will secure additional technological equipment in 2019 to further support fisheries protection operations.
Specific, measurable and timely actions on fishery protection in 2019 are as follows;
 6,584 man hours on fishery protection sea patrols 24,517 man hours on fishery protection coastal/estuary patrols 58,613 man hours on fishery protection river patrols 783 boat patrols on fishery protection 19,561 vehicle patrols on fishery protection 135 kayak patrols and 38 drone patrols 881 inspections of commercial salmon licence holders 14657 inspections of recreational angler licence holders
This level of activity in fishery protection is expected in each year of the five years over the 2019-2024 period.

	D1 1.1 1	0 11
	Planned timescale (include	On-going annually.
	milestones where	
	appropriate):	
		Increased protection of the salmon resource and a reduction
	Expected	in illegal fishing activities leading to stabilisation and/or
	outcome:	increases of salmon stocks nationally.
	Approach for	Number of incidence of illegal fishing at sea and in rivers,
	monitoring	number of illegal nets seized, number of prosecutions
	effectiveness &	issued. Improvement in the status of rivers.
	enforcement:	
	Funding secured	Yes
	for both action and	
	monitoring	
	programme?	
Action	Description of	IFI is actively promoting the returns of accurate catch
F2:	action:	information from anglers and commercial fishers through
		the national carcass tagging and logbook scheme. This scheme facilitates the collection of catch data for
		subsequent scientific stock assessment purposes and
		informs associated management decisions on the fisheries
		status of individual fisheries such as the setting of TACs for
		fisheries where harvest is permitted. IFI intends to deliver
		electronic licences and logbooks by the end of 2021
		specifically to encourage a greater uptake of licences and
		improve reporting rates from angling catches.
	Planned timescale	Delivery of electronic licences and logbooks by the end of
	(include	2021. Logbook returns from commercial fishers to be
	milestones where	maintained at 100% and returns of angling logbook to be
	appropriate): Expected	increased to at least 75% by the end of the IP period. On-line system in place, facilitating greater returns of
	outcome:	logbooks and increase in uptake of licences
	Approach for	Reports issue in relation to the % of logbook returned and
	monitoring	these reports are evaluated. The return rate of logbooks
	effectiveness &	from commercial fishers is 100% in recent years and it is
	enforcement:	envisaged that this will continue. Return rates of logbooks
		from anglers is c. 60% in recent years and it is intended
		that the reporting rate will increase. A proportion of non-
		return of licences are pursued through reminders and
		potentially through the legal system. Use of the system
		will allow for quicker analysis of data and identification of
		any issues arising leading to better and more timely
		management decisions regarding the protection and
	Funding secured	conservation of salmon. Yes
	for both action and	100
	monitoring	
	programme?	
	programme:	

Action	Description of	IFI's International Year of the Salmon Promotional Plan is
F3:	action:	in place and will be delivered in 2019 and will leave legacies into the future. IFI have an education and outreach programme which will raise awareness of the critical state of salmon stocks.
	Planned timescale (include milestones where appropriate):	Ongoing. All promotional output for IYOS is intended to have some sustainability. The Education and Outreach programme is a long term programme as is the Something Fishy programme which is delivered annually to 5 th and 6 th class students.
	Expected outcome:	Raised awareness of the critical state of salmon stocks nationally.
	Approach for monitoring effectiveness & enforcement:	Measures are in place in the International Year of the Salmon Promotional Plan to assess effectiveness of the plan. IFI are constantly monitoring the effectiveness of the education and outreach programme.
	Funding secured for both action and monitoring programme?	Yes
		Choose an item.
Action F4	Description of action:	Permit the operation of mixed-stock commercial fisheries only in estuaries where the stocks of contributing rivers simultaneously exceed the conservation limit (CL) set. As of 2020, only two such fisheries are in operation (Killary Harbour and Castlemaine Harbour) with a third, Tullaghan Bay not operating since 2013. Closely monitor catches in- season as required to minimise over-exploitation and illegal fishing and ensure the return of 100% of commercial fisheries logbooks from such fisheries.
	Planned timescale (include milestones where appropriate):	Annually
	Expected outcome:	Sustainable exploitation in a very limited number of mixed-stock estuarine fisheries where the CL of constituent river stocks is simultaneously exceeded. Cessation of exploitation where stocks fail to meet CL to facilitate natural stock recovery.
	Approach for monitoring effectiveness & enforcement:	Scientific stock assessments will be conducted annually by the Technical Expert Group on Salmon, the output of which is reported to the North-South Standing Scientific Committee for Inland Fisheries. This body then provides advice to the fisheries management authority (IFI) on the sustainable operation of such fisheries which are formalised <i>via</i> annual regulations. IFI fisheries protection staff will conduct in-season monitoring of catches as required and ensure the 100% reporting of logbooks. All

	relevant information will be reported annually in TEGOS and IFI reports.
Funding secured	Yes
for both action and monitoring	
programme?	

Copy and paste lines to add further actions which should be labelled F5, F6, etc.

3. Protection and Restoration of Salmon Habitat:

In this section please review the management approach to the protection and restoration of habitat in your jurisdiction in line with the relevant NASCO Resolutions, Agreements and Guidelines.

3.1 How are risks to productive capacity identified and options for restoring degraded or lost salmon habitat prioritised, taking into account the principle of 'no net loss' and the need for inventories to provide baseline data? (Max. 200 words) (Reference: Section 3 of the Habitat Guidelines)

The risks to productive capacity are identified and options for restoring degraded or lost salmon habitat are prioritised by a range of programmes set out below:

Risk Identification:

- Salmonid River Surveys
- National salmon counters programme
- Catchment-wide Electro-fishing (juvenile salmon)
- Analysis of Aerial Photography Database
- Monitoring for EU Habitats Directive Fish Species (incl. salmon)
- Water Framework Directive (WFD) fish Monitoring
- Climate Change Mitigation Monitoring (focus on salmonids)

Each of the programmes outlined above help identify the risks to productive capacity and prioritise options for restoring degraded or lost salmon habitat. Inventories on the risk to productive salmon habitat and baseline data are compiled through the programmes set out above. IFI have access to the data collected on a river-specific basis and remedial works are undertaken having reviewed information from the range of available sources in the programmes set out above.

Restoration options:

- Salmon and Sea trout Conservation Stamp Funding Programme (restoration programmes)
- River Shannon nature like pass for a major hydro-station
- National Programme for maintenance and Rehabilitation of Drained Rivers
- Rehabilitation work undertaken by Fishery Owners / Angling Clubs
- Mitigation for Infrastructural Programmes Nationally

Each of the programmes outlined offer options o support the restoration of degraded or lost salmon habitat.

3.2 How are socio-economic factors taken into account in making decisions on salmon habitat management? (*Max. 200 words*)

(Reference: Section 3.9 of the Habitat Guidelines)

Regardless of the socio-economic implications of any given project, there is a clear policy in place to protect salmon and its habitat in Ireland. The function of IFI are to conserve, protect, manage

and develop the inland fisheries resource (including salmon) and general Government policy is to conserve the inland fisheries resource in its own right and to facilitate exploitation of the resource on an equitable and sustainable basis. These objectives mean that the salmon resource must be given adequate protection when the socio-economic implications of any project are being considered.

When a proposed development is within or adjacent to a Special Area of Conservation (SAC), an initial Natura Impact Screening (NIS) is required. Based on the outcome of this assessment, the requirement for a full NIS may be required. Any developments outside the Natura 2000 Network require an environmental impact assessment. However, the socio-economic requirements of flood risk management in Ireland takes priority and where this occurs, mitigation is required such as modification of fish passage to ease upstream migration. A recent example is the Bandon flood relief scheme where major channel modification in response to recent large-scale flooding was undertaken and habitat rehabilitation plans and new fish passes were included in the programme.

3.3 What management measures are planned to protect wild Atlantic salmon and its habitats from (a) climate change and (b) invasive aquatic species? (Max. 200 words each)

(Reference: Section 3.2 of the Habitat Guidelines)

(a) Climate Change

IFI have initiated an evidence-based assessment programme to determine the impact of climate change on the Irish fisheries sector in both freshwater and estuarine environments, with the aim being to inform and build capacity for fisheries conservation and protection measures (mitigation strategies). Specific fisheries policy development is one of the deliverables of the programme. The Irish Government approved Ireland's first statutory National Adaptation Framework (NAF)

in December 2017.

The following lead management measure to address the issue of IAS in Ireland which is pertinent to protecting wild Atlantic salmon and its habitats is set out in Ireland's River Basin Management Plan (RBMP) 2018-2021, notably that:

• EU Regulation (1143/2014) on "the prevention and management of the introduction and spread of invasive alien species" will be implemented. Clear governance arrangements for managing aquatic IAS in Ireland, including the assignment of responsibilities and development of agreed co-ordination mechanisms, will be put in place.

In addition, IFI have already been at the forefront in planning and implementing management measures to specifically protect native species and habitats from the threat posed by aquatic invasive species. Central to this is an ongoing applied research and weed management programme specifically to address the extensive infestation of invasive Curly-leaved waterweed in Lough Corrib. This lake is Ireland's second largest, it is designated a Special Area of Conservation under the EU Habitats Directive. The Corrib system is a prime Atlantic salmon fishery with annual returns averaging c. 17,000 salmon in recent years.

3.4 Identify the main threats to wild salmon and challenges for management in relation to estuarine and freshwater habitat.

Threat /	Ireland's River Basin Management Plan (RBMP) 2018-2021	
challenge H1	(https://www.housing.gov.ie/sites/default/files/publications/files/rbmp_report	
	<u>_english_web_version_final_0.pdf</u>) identifies the significant pressures	
	impacting on water bodies at a national level and identifies corresponding	

	actions that will be taken to address these. The key pressures considered to pose threats / challenges to Atlantic salmon are set out below.	
	Water Quality	
	Agricultural production and domestic waste-water treatment systems are key sources of rural diffuse and point-source pollution. Agriculture has been identified as a significant pressure in 780 (53%) of the 1,460 water bodies identified as $At Risk$ of not meeting their environmental objective. Domestic waste-water treatment systems were also identified as a further significant pressure in a rural context, with 166 (11%) water bodies identified as $At Risk$ from this pressure.	
Threat /	Hydromorphological Threats	
challenge H2	Threats to the natural Hydromorphological character of rivers is a significant pressure in 345 (24%) water bodies identified as <i>At Risk</i> as identified in Ireland's River Basin Management Plan 2018-2021. IFI has identified the need to improve the assessment of barriers along rivers (e.g. weirs and dams) that may be impacting on a range of migratory fish species	
Threat /		
1 moat /	Climate Change	
challenge H3	Climate Change Climate change has been identified by IFI as one of the greatest threats facing the fish populations and structure in the medium to long-term. Ireland's climate is changing at a scale and rate of change consistent with regional and global trends. This change is predicted to continue and to increase over the coming decades with an expected rise in mean annual temperatures of up to 2.5°C by 2055. Impacts from climate change are likely to be more severe where stream and lake habitats are degraded or fragmented and less severe where habitats are robust and interconnected. Any changes to water quality or quantity will be aggravated by climate change and any existing water quality problems (e.g. we might expect an increase in catastrophic events such as fish kills). Impacts of climate change on fish can also be caused by increasing invasive species population size and distribution, changes in competition and predation rates and increases in disease risk and occurrence of parasites.	
challenge H3	Climate change has been identified by IFI as one of the greatest threats facing the fish populations and structure in the medium to long-term. Ireland's climate is changing at a scale and rate of change consistent with regional and global trends. This change is predicted to continue and to increase over the coming decades with an expected rise in mean annual temperatures of up to 2.5°C by 2055. Impacts from climate change are likely to be more severe where stream and lake habitats are degraded or fragmented and less severe where habitats are robust and interconnected. Any changes to water quality or quantity will be aggravated by climate change and any existing water quality problems (e.g. we might expect an increase in catastrophic events such as fish kills). Impacts of climate change on fish can also be caused by increasing invasive species population size and distribution, changes in competition and predation rates and increases in disease risk and occurrence of parasites.	
	Climate change has been identified by IFI as one of the greatest threats facing the fish populations and structure in the medium to long-term. Ireland's climate is changing at a scale and rate of change consistent with regional and global trends. This change is predicted to continue and to increase over the coming decades with an expected rise in mean annual temperatures of up to 2.5°C by 2055. Impacts from climate change are likely to be more severe where stream and lake habitats are degraded or fragmented and less severe where habitats are robust and interconnected. Any changes to water quality or quantity will be aggravated by climate change and any existing water quality problems (e.g. we might expect an increase in catastrophic events such as fish kills). Impacts of climate change on fish can also be caused by increasing invasive species population size and distribution, changes in competition and predation rates	

Copy and paste lines to add further threats/challenges which should be labelled H5, H6, etc.

In id G ot	What SMART actions are planned during the period covered by this Implementation Plan (2019 – 2024) to address each of the threats and challenges identified in section 3.4 to implement NASCO's Resolutions, Agreements and Guidelines and demonstrate progress towards achievement of its goals and objectives for the Protection, Restoration and Enhancement of Atlantic Salmon Habitat?	
Action H1:	Description of action:	Ireland's River Basin Management Plan (RBMP) 2018- 2021 sets out, on a national level, corresponding actions that will be taken to address identified pressures.
		Action 1. Agricultural Pollution The integrated Governmental approach to the enforcement of the Nitrates Action Programme (2018–2021) will be implemented with the aim of protecting and improving water quality. There will be increased targeting of inspections by local authorities based on water quality results and the outputs of the RBMP characterisation process. It is envisaged that a Nitrates Action Programme plan for the period 2022-2024 will follow the current plan.
		Action 2 Domestic Waste Water Pollution The National Inspection Plan for Domestic Waste Water Treatment Systems (2018–2021) will continue with over 4,000 inspections carried out by local authorities over this period. It is envisaged that a further plan from 2022-2024 will follow. Over the period 2017–2021, Irish Water will invest approximately €1.7 billion in waste-water projects, programmes and asset maintenance. This investment will include €880 million for 255 major waste-water treatment projects, €350 million for capital investment in collection systems in 41 areas and €465 million for capital maintenance and national upgrade programmes. Further investment is envisaged post-2021.
	Planned timescale (include milestones where appropriate):	Irelands River Basin District Management Plan 2018-2021 lists measures that have commenced or will commence during this period. Some measures will be implemented by 2024 and others by 2027 and beyond.
	Expected outcome:	Significant improvement in water quality nationally. The River Basin Management Plan for Ireland 2018-2021 sets out detailed expected outcomes concerning achievement of improved water quality, including upgrade of urban waste water treatment plants and increased investment in Ireland's waste water infrastructure. These include 726 water bodies to achieve general water quality improvements and 152 water bodies to experience improved water quality status.

	Approach for monitoring effectiveness & enforcement:	The EPA monitor and publish periodic reports on water quality status nationally and progress on achieving the objectives of the Water Framework Directive. This is the principal indicator to measure the efficacy of the Nitrates Action Programme and domestic wastewater actions and associated water quality initiatives. Irish Water periodically publish details of investment plans, capital maintenance and national upgrade programmes in their annual reports and related publications. The Local Authorities, Waters Programme (LAWPRO) are responsible for undertaking and enforcing WFD Programmes of Measures and are carrying out a significant number of investigative assessments in identified areas for action. IFI undertake annual fish monitoring in designated water bodies for WFD reporting. These results are reported annually.
	Funding secured for both action and monitoring programme?	Expected
Action H2:	Description of action:	 Hydromorphological threats. Action 1. Barriers The IFI Barriers programme (2019 to 2021) will identify, assess and document barriers to fish migration on a national basis. Barriers will be ranked according to the risk they pose to fish migration. The inventory will form the basis of a prioritised restoration programme to be implemented between 2022 and 2027. Action 2. Rehabilitation of Drained Rivers Under the 1945 Arterial Drainage Act, the Office of Public Works is obliged to carry out maintenance work on the network of arterially-drained channels. Annually, the OPW undertakes maintenance on approximately 2,000 km of channels in its network, following the environmental drainage maintenance procedures to minimise environmental impact. The guidance provides potential for significant retention of riparian habitat and also for alteration of instream hydromorphology in appropriate locations. Progress on this action will be reported.
	Planned timescale (include milestones where appropriate): Expected	Action 1: 2019 to 2021. Supported by detailed project plan and milestones.Action 2: Annual plan and targets generated.Improvement in salmon habitat quality and fish passage.
	outcome: Approach for monitoring	Action 1: The IFI Barriers programme will report annually on numbers of Barriers to fish passage identified and

Action H3:	effectiveness & enforcement: Funding secured for both action and monitoring programme? Description of action:	assessed. Action 2: The OPW will report annually on the KMs of drained channels maintained using the environmental drainage maintenance procedures. Yes IFI have initiated an evidence-based assessment programme to determine the impact of climate change on the Irish fisheries. This programme will establish index catchments for fisheries-related climate change research and associated fisheries policies will be developed.
	Planned timescale (include milestones where appropriate):	IFI's evidence-based programme will be long-term, but initially 2019 to 2023.
	Expected outcome:	IFI – Series of vulnerability risk assessment maps for key fish species including salmon and informed targeted measures.Mitigation measures to protect vulnerable fish species such as Atlantic salmon.
	Approach for monitoring effectiveness & enforcement:	IFI – A work programme has been developed with a series of deliverables and will be monitored through a steering group within IFI.Details project plans and deliverables will be reviewed annually.
	Funding secured for both action and monitoring programme?	Expected
A		Choose an item.
Action H4:	Description of action:	 Invasive Species Action 1. The EU Regulation (1143/2014) on "the prevention and management of the introduction and spread of invasive alien species" will be implemented. Action 2. Development and evaluation of survey techniques to assess the extent of infestation of Curly-leaved waterweed in Lough Corrib and monitor the efficacy of control measures undertaken there.

Planned timescale	Action 1: 2019-2021; Action 2: 2019-2021	
(include		
milestones where		
appropriate):		
Expected outcome:	Action 1: Development of a more coherent and co-ordinated national approach to IAS management that will facilitate better communication and collaboration between relevant authorities. Action 2: Survey techniques will be developed and	
	evaluated to assess the extent of infestation of Curly-leaved waterweed in Lough Corrib and monitor the efficacy of control measures undertaken there.	
Approach for	Action 1: The Department of Culture, Heritage, and the	
monitoring	Gaeltacht in consultation with other relevant Departments	
effectiveness &	(notably the Department of Communications, Climate	
enforcement:	Action and Environment) will oversee the implementation of this action.	
	Action 2: As the lead participant, IFI will manage the implementation of this programme and report annually on the progress made.	
Funding secured	Expected	
for both action		
and monitoring		
programme?		
4. Management of Aquaculture, Introductions and Transfers, and Transgenics:		
Council has requested that for Parties / jurisdictions with salmon farms, there should		
be a greater focus on actions to minimise impacts of salmon farming on wild salmonid		
stocks. Each Party / jurisdiction with salmon farming should therefore include at least		
one action relating to sea lice management and at least one action relating to		
containment, providing quantitative data in Annual Progress Reports to demonstrate		

• 100% of farms to have effective sea lice management such that there is no increase in sea lice loads or lice-induced mortality of wild salmonids attributable to the farms;

progress towards the international goals agreed by NASCO and the International

• 100% farmed fish to be retained in all production facilities.

Salmon Farmers Association (ISFA):

In this section please provide information on all types of aquaculture, introductions and transfers, and transgenics (including freshwater hatcheries, smolt-rearing etc.

4.1 (a) Is the current policy concerning the protection of wild salmonids consistent with the international goals on sea lice and containment agreed by NASCO and ISFA? (b) If the current policy is not consistent with these international goals, when will current policy be adapted to ensure consistency with the international goals and what management measures are planned to ensure achievement of these goals and in what timescale? (Max. 200 words for each) (Reference: BMP Guidance)
(a) Current policies are consistent with the international goals on sea lice and containment agreed by NASCO and ISFA. It is the goal of Ireland that all sea lice

inspections of marine Atlantic salmon farms are below the mandatory trigger levels and that all salmonid farms comply with the DAFM Protocol for Structural Design such that no escapes occur. Further details as per the BMP Guidance document SLG(09)5 are outlined in Appendices 1 and 2

(b) Not applicable

4.2 (a) What quantifiable progress can be demonstrated towards the achievement of the international goals for 100% of farms to have effective sea lice management such that there is no increase in sea lice loads, or lice-induced mortality of wild salmonids attributable to sea lice? (b) How is this progress monitored, including monitoring of wild fish? (c) If progress cannot be demonstrated, what additional measures are proposed and in what timescale? (Max. 200 words each)

(Reference: BMP Guidance)

The measures by which these goals may be achieved, and against which the Review Group will be measuring the effectiveness of the Implementation Plan, are set out in the BMP Guidance SLG(09)5 (Best management practice; reporting and tracking; factors facilitating implementation) as agreed by NASCO and ISFA.

a) The National Sea Lice Monitoring and Control Programme has been operational in Ireland for 30 years. Following the introduction of a revised management strategy, in 2008, to underpin the Sea Lice Monitoring and Control Protocols there was a steady and sustained improvement in sea lice control. The strategy was aimed at implementing a more strategic approach to lice control at a bay level and targeting efforts on the spring period where there is a potential for impacts on wild salmon smolts embarking on their outward migration. To illustrate trends at a National level, a 'May-mean' graph has been produced annually to show trends in infestation (Fig. 2). Full details on a farm by farm Institute. basis reported annually by the Marine are



Figure 2. National May Mean adult female egg bearing salmon lice, 1991 - 2020.

(b) Progress towards the goal, of having 100% of inspections below the mandatory trigger level, can be demonstrated by analysing the percentage of inspections which show that salmon lice levels are below the trigger level. Figure 3 below shows the gradual improvement in the percentage of inspections below trigger levels since 2006.



2013	None	
2014	None	
•	Bantry Bay	unconfirmed number*(ca. 1-3 kg) storm/mooring failure.
•	bagging. This gave r weather conditions in it was not possible to	ent the cage deformed due to mooring failure resulting in the net rise to extensive mortalities of the fish. However due to very poor t was not possible to accurately count the mortalities and therefore o quantify the number of escaped fish, if any. Extensive follow-up ered no evidence of feral fish in the bay or adjacent rivers.
2015		
• 2016	None	
2010 • 2017	None	
• 2018	Mulroy Bay	20,000 salmon (ca. 189g) net damage
2019	Lough Allua (FW)	2,500 rainbow trout (ca. <20 g) vandalism
•	None	
2020	None	

(b) Escaped farmed fish are examined in commercial catches. From 1980 to 2006 catches were examined on a routine basis from fish dealers' premises, commercial and recreational landings. Up to 2006, the catch examined comprised principally of drift-net catches from the major salmon fishing areas. With the closure of the mixed-stock fisheries at sea in 2007, the scanning now takes place within the estuaries and rivers (catches, traps and broodstock collections). The number of escapees recorded is an underestimate as the catch examined is limited to summertime commercial fisheries. Therefore, the analysis gives no indication of the number of escapees which may enter rivers. Generally, the rate of escapees in Irish catches is usually less than 0.5%. Systematic monitoring for escapees is also carried out in the Burrishoole and Erriff Rivers. Escapees have been less than 0.5% of the total wild run of salmon in the most recent 5 years. However, more information on the incidence of escapees in river spawning stocks is obtained from the National Coded Wire Tagging and Tag Recovery Programme. In 2016, only two escapees were reported in catches being scanned for tagged fish and none were reported for broodstocks examined in 6 rivers. In 2017, 26 escapees were reported in a sample of 7,380 fish in catches being scanned for tagged fish and none were reported for broodstocks examined in 6 rivers. In summer 2017, 66 farmed escaped salmon were caught by anglers in five rivers in the mid-West. There was no report of an escape in any salmon farm and therefore the number of fish that escaped in this event is unknown.

(c) The proposed additional measures are outlined in Action A2 below.

4.4 What adaptive management and / or scientific research is underway that could facilitate better achievement of NASCO's international goals for sea lice and containment such that the environmental impact on wild salmonids can be minimised? (Max 200 words) (Reference: BMP Guidance and Article 11 of the Williamsburg Resolution)

Sea Lice

In recent years, research projects funded through the European Maritime & Fisheries Fund (EMFF) have been utilised by both Research Performing Organisations (RPOs) and the industry to investigate new methods for controlling sea lice. Projects include optimal rearing and welfare of cleaner fish, the use of nanofiltration to produce freshwater for sea lice and amoebic gill disease treatments (McDermott *et al.*, 2021) including methods to deploy the water in net pens and investigations into the use of short term closed containment for the first three months post seawater transfer of Atlantic salmon smolts. These projects are administered by BIM, Ireland's Seafood Development Agency. The SalmsonSmolt project, run by the Marine Institute is investigating the potential of freshwater recirculation technologies for the production of larger salmon smolts. This has the potential of reducing the length of the grow out time at sea with potential benefits for reducing sea lice impacts on wild fish.

The LiceTrack project proposes to develop a sea lice integrative model developing and refining hydrodynamic modelling, incorporating environmental variables, sea lice production on salmon farms and other data requirements to support the sustainable development of aquaculture and conservation of wild salmon stocks. Existing modelling tools have been developed in Norway and Scotland. These models simulate dispersal of larval sea lice based on farm production, hydrodynamics, water temperature and salinity, and have been used to identify the role of specific salmon farming sites as recipients or sources of sea lice. In order to make directly comparable estimations of lice dispersal, and hence larval concentrations and infection pressure, the models need to be standardised. The work carried out in each country can also benefit from the exchange of ideas to ensure optimal solutions are arrived at. For this reason, a network has been formed within the project that will meet with the objective of developing a standard model that can be plugged into any hydrodynamic model of local currents to generate sea lice dispersal patterns. This project will contribute to developing best management practice for sea lice and their negative impacts, both on farmed and wild Atlantic salmon.

Containment

A previous EU funded project, *Prevent Escape*, a pan-European review of farm escape events showed that where both mandatory reporting, and sound regulation & licensing of aquaculture structures are implemented the incidence of escapes is reduced. The same study showed that the level of escapes in Ireland is low in comparison to the other countries assessed (Jackson *et al.*, 2015).

The adoption of recirculation technologies for the production of Atlantic salmon smolts would significantly reduce the risk of escape events occurring in the freshwater environment. Stocking larger smolts at sea would reduce the marine phase of production and hence the risk of marine escapes. However, these technologies need to be demonstrated and proven to work in order to stimulate industry investment.

4.5 What is the approach for determining the location of aquaculture facilities in (a) freshwater and (b) marine environments to minimise the risks to wild salmonid stocks? (Max. 200 words for each)

(a) Approaches for freshwater and marine sites are similar see below.

(b) The licensing and regulation of aquaculture, both finfish and shellfish, in Ireland is the statutory responsibility of the Department of Agriculture, Food & the Marine (DAFM). The core Act covering Aquaculture licensing including choice of appropriate sites is the Fisheries (Amendment) Act, 1997 (as amended) and the Aquaculture (Licence Application) Regulations

1998 (as amended).

Section 61 of the Fisheries (Amendment) Act, 1997 specifies the following criteria to be taken into account by the licensing authority in making licensing decisions

- the suitability of the place or waters at or in which the aquaculture is or is proposed to be carried on for the activity in question,
- other beneficial uses, existing or potential, of the place or waters concerned,
- the particular statutory status, if any, (including the provisions of any development plan, within the meaning of the Local Government (Planning and Development) Act, 1963 as amended), of the place or waters,
- the likely effects of the proposed aquaculture, revocation or amendment on the economy of the area in which the aquaculture is or is proposed to be carried on;
- the likely ecological effects of the aquaculture or proposed aquaculture on wild fisheries, natural habitats and flora and fauna, and
- the effect or likely effect on the environment generally in the vicinity of the place or water on or in which that aquaculture is or is proposed to be carried on (i) on the foreshore, or (ii) at any other place, if there is or would be no discharge of trade or sewage effluent within the meaning of, and requiring a licence under section 4 of the Local Government (Water Pollution) Act, 1977; and
- the effect or likely effect on the man-made environment of heritage value in the vicinity of the place or waters.

The EU Birds and Natural Habitats Directives have been transposed into Irish law under the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011. The regulations require that a screening for Appropriate Assessment must be carried out for an application for an aquaculture licence occurring on or adjacent to a designated Natura 2000 site. Where any proposed aquaculture is likely to have a significant effect on a Natura 2000 site (i.e. any area designated (or candidate) as a Special Area of Conservation (under the EU Habitats Directive) or a Special Protection Area (under the EU Birds Directive)) a Natura Impact Statement (as defined in the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011, must be submitted to DAFM as part of the application.

Regulation 4 and 5 of the Aquaculture (Licence Application) Regulations 1998 (as amended), provide that it is mandatory to submit an Environmental Impact Assessment Report (EIAR) with applications for seawater salmonid breeding installations as set out below;

• A marine based intensive fish farm (other than for trial or research purposes where the output would not exceed 50 tonnes)

- All fish breeding installations consisting of cage rearing in lakes.
- All fish breeding installations upstream of drinking water intakes,

• Other fresh-water breeding installations which would exceed 1 million smolts and with less than 1 cubic meter per second per million smolts low flow diluting water.

In all other cases (non-mandatory) the applicant will be advised to submit an Environmental Impact Statement if the Minister decides the project is likely to have a significant effect on the environment. Full details can be found in SI 240 of 2018 Aquaculture (Licence Application) (Amendment) Regulations 2018.

The aquaculture licencing process also respects the Aarhus Convention on Access to Information on the Environment, Public Participation in Decision Making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters.

4.6 What progress has been made to implement NASCO's guidance on introductions, transfers and stocking? (Max. 200 words) (Reference: Articles 5 and 6 and Amon 4 of the Williamshung Resolution)

(Reference: Articles 5 and 6 and Annex 4 of the Williamsburg Resolution)

Ireland has contributed to the formulation of and adheres to NASCO's policy in Restocking (Appendix 1, Williamsburg Agreement).

ARTICLE 5.

Codes of Containment including operating protocols are specified in an Aquaculture Licence and there are specific protocols outlined for containment and legislation in event of large scale escape events. All equipment must comply with international standards as specified in the licence and Department engineers must inspect and confirm compliance with regard to structures and moorings.

Salmon ranching takes place in seven rivers in Ireland. Three rivers (Shannon, Erne, Lee) undertake ranching using local stocks. Ranching is practised in two rivers (Erriff, Burrishoole) for research purposes and ranched stock are removed at traps in the lower section of both rivers. Ranching is undertaken in the Corrib using local stock to maintain a ranching strain. Ranching to the rod is undertaken in Delphi using local stocks.

Apart from salmon ranching, unfed salmon fry and parr derived from local stocks are stocked into three rivers harnessed for hydro-power for stock rehabilitation. No introductions or transfer of salmon for enhancement purposes takes place.

All hatcheries and aquaculture facilities engaged in the culture or farming of salmonids must be covered by an Aquaculture licence as a requirement of the Fisheries (Amendment) Act, 1997. All facilities where fish are held, reared or on-grown must hold a Fish Health Authorisation granted under S.I. 261 of 2008.

ARTICLE 6.

Non-indigenous salmon smolts are released in one river for research purposes (potential impact of sea lice) and all returning adults are removed in a total upstream trap at the head of tide.

Introductions of reproductively viable non-indigenous anadromous salmonids or their gametes is not permitted in Ireland.

Annex 4: Apart from the stocking of hydro rivers and the ranching programmes outlined above, there is little or no salmon stocking taking place in Ireland.

- **4.7** Is there (a) a requirement to evaluate thoroughly risks and benefits before undertaking any stocking programme and (b) a presumption against stocking for purely socio-political / economic reasons? (Max. 200 words each) (Reference: Guidelines for incorporating social and economic factors in decisions under the Precautionary Approach and Annex 4 of the Williamsburg Resolution)
 - (a) Yes, NASCO's Guidelines on stocking are used as a basis for decision making
 - (b) Yes, Ireland's policy with regard to salmon enhancement is based on attainment of conservation limits on individual rivers. River below conservation limit are not subject

to any harvesting of salmon to facilitate recovery and habitat rehabilitation programmes are promoted to enhance stocks instead of stocking.

4.8 What is the policy / strategy on use of transgenic salmon? (*Max. 200 words*) (*Reference: Article 7 and Annex 5 of the Williamsburg Resolution*)

Transgenic salmonids are not used and have never been used for aquaculture or restocking in Ireland and there are no plans or policy to do so as this would contravene current scientific advice and policy.

4.9 For Members of the North-East Atlantic Commission only: What measures are in place, or are planned, to implement the eleven recommendations contained in the 'Road Map' to enhance information exchange and co-operation on monitoring, research and measures to prevent the spread of *Gyrodactylus salaris* and eradicate it if introduced, including the development and testing of contingency plans? (Max. 200 words)

(Reference 'Road Map' to enhance information exchange and co-operation on monitoring, research and measures to prevent the spread of G. salaris and eradicate it if introduced, NEA(18)08)

Ireland presented a Briefing paper on *Gyrodactylus salaris* at the Working Group on *G. salaris* in the North-East Atlantic Commission Area in 2017.

Since 2005, wild salmon fry & parr from selected river systems in Ireland are examined annually for the presence of *G. salaris*. To date, over 40 rivers have been sampled. A detailed contingency plan for dealing with any outbreak of *G. salaris* in Ireland has been prepared. In addition to the contingency plan, IFI and MI have co-produced and widely circulated awareness literature to highlight the issue of *Gyrodactylus* among stakeholders and advise on biosecurity measures that can be taken to minimise the risk of introduction of the parasite to Ireland (e.g. *A Guide to Protecting Freshwater Fish Stocks in Ireland from the Parasite Gyrodactylus salaris* https://goo.gl/NRgVY0). In addition, both state agencies host information in this regard on their respective websites.

4.10 Identify the main threats to wild salmon and challenges for management in relation				
to aquaculture, in	to aquaculture, introductions and transfers, and transgenics.			
Threat / Challenge A1	Salmon lice infestations from marine salmon aquaculture.			
Threat / challenge A2	Escapes of farmed fish have the potential to impact the genetic integrity of wild stocks leading to loss of natural production disruption of spawning activities of wild fish and genetic introgression.			
Threat / challenge A3	Increases in incidence of diseases in salmon aquaculture and transfer to wild fish. Amoebic Gill Disease (AGD) caused by infection with the protozoan parasite <i>Neoparamoeba.perurans</i> has caused mortality in farmed salmon in recent years. Cardiomyopathy Syndrome (CMS), a severe viral cardiac disease, has also been recorded in Irish salmon farms in recent years. The threat of these diseases to wild salmon is unknown at present.			
Threat / challenge A4				

Copy and paste lines to add further threats/challenges which should be labelled A5, A6, etc.

4.11 What SMART action	s are planned	during the p	period covered by	this
------------------------	---------------	--------------	-------------------	------

ider Gui	Implementation Plan (2019 – 2024) to address each of the threats and challenge identified in section 4.10 to implement NASCO's Resolutions, Agreements and Guidelines and demonstrate progress towards achievement of its goals and objectives for aquaculture, introductions and transfers, and transgenics?			
Action	Description of			
A1:	action:	Salmon lice infestation: aspire to 100% of inspections below mandatory trigger levels. The Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food "Strategy for Improved Pest Control in Irish salmon farms, 2008", aims to enhance the control of salmon lice infestations on Irish salmon farms by the creation of a "real time" management regime. This regime is intended to vigorously deal with failures to control sea lice infestations on a case-by-case basis. The strategy and the accompanying <i>Monitoring Protocol No. 3 Sea Lice</i> <i>Monitoring & Control</i> will be revised resulting in consistent and vigorous control of salmon lice infestations on marine farms.		
	Planned timescale (include milestones where appropriate):	2022 – 95% inspections below mandatory trigger levels 2024 – 98% inspections below mandatory trigger levels		
	Expected outcome:	Reduced sea lice levels on farmed salmon		
	Approach for monitoring effectiveness & enforcement:	All farms are inspected monthly (bi-monthly from March to May) and a monthly report circulated. Breaches of the trigger levels are notified to the farm and the regulator. Consecutive breaches are dealt with through a <i>Management Cell</i> which may result in sanctions should the farm fail to control the lice levels.		
	Funding secured for both action and monitoring programme?	Yes		
Action A2:	Description of action:	In April 2016, DAFM brought in a <i>Protocol for Structural</i> <i>Design of Marine Finfish Farms</i> to standardise an improved structural design process for marine finfish farm installations in Ireland to apply to all new or renewal licence applications. A new <i>Protocol</i> for reporting and investigating farmed escape incidences is planned. This <i>Protocol</i> will apply to all		
	Planned timescale (include milestones where appropriate):	facilities, both marine and freshwater. Compliance with the <i>Protocol for Structural Design of</i> <i>Marine Finfish Farms</i> . 2023 – publish a new protocol for reporting and investigating farmed escapes.		

	Expected outcome:	Increased awareness of the impact of escapes and improved reporting in line with new protocol.
	Approach for monitoring effectiveness & enforcement:	Compliance with the <i>Protocol for Structural Design of</i> <i>Marine Finfish Farms</i> is monitored by the Marine Engineering Division of DAFM.
	Funding secured for both action and monitoring programme?	Yes
Action A3:	Description of action:	Regulation (EU) 2016/429 ("Animal Health Law") is the statutory framework within which aquatic diseases are regulated in Europe. Under Implementing Regulation (EU) 2021/620 Ireland is declared free from all listed salmonid diseases. This includes ISA, VHS, IHN. Ireland undertakes an active monitoring programme for these diseases in farmed salmon. Ireland has also applied additional national measures for BKD and <i>G. salaris</i> and is declared free from these diseases in Regulation (EU) 2021/260. An active monitoring programme for the presence of <i>G. salaris</i> in wild salmonids is undertaken annually. In addition to the regulatory controls to prevent the introduction of these diseases, a Code of Practice has been agreed between industry and government in relation to general fish health management. A Fish Health Handbook has been devised which provides guidance in relation to the control and management of non-listed diseases on salmonid farms. The proactive disease control and stock management principles outlined in the Handbook have been applied by industry since 2012. In recent years, since the principles of the Handbook have been implemented, the incidence of diseases such as Pancreas Disease and IPN have declined. However, gill related disorders continue to be a significant issue on salmon farms. These disorders are believed to be impacted to some degree by water temperatures and significant phyto and zooplankton blooms. Amoebic Gill Disease (AGD) caused by infection with the protozoan parasite <i>Neoparamoeba.perurans</i> has been associated with
		mortality in farmed salmon in recent years, due in large part to the lack of availability of freshwater treatments. Significant resources are however being invested in developing infrastructure to ensure that treatments can be carried out, which will significantly decrease infection pressure. Amoeba has been occasionally recorded on wild salmon but do not appear to have caused any negative impact. The

	condition is best treated with freshwater baths so any adult salmon returning to freshwater will be appropriately treated, should they have been infected. Temperatures above 10°C are thought to trigger the disease, but Scottish outbreaks have occurred at temperatures from 7.5°C. This raises the possibility of wild salmon smolts being infected in the vicinity of salmon farms in spring, although there is no evidence to show that this has occurred to date.
Planned time (include milestones with appropriate):	Ongoing
Expected outcome:	 Maintenance of disease free status for major diseases of salmonids listed in Regulation 2016/429 and for which Ireland is declared disease free under national Measures (BKD and <i>G. salaris</i>). Reduced incidence of disease outbreaks in aquaculture facilities
Approach for monitoring effectiveness enforcement:	legislation regarding control of disease and adherence to the
Funding secu for both actio monitoring programme?	
	Choose an item.

Copy and paste lines to add further actions which should be labelled A5, A6, etc

Copy and	paste lines to add further actions which should be labelled A5, A6, etc
Reference	Gargan, P.G., Tully, O., & Poole. R (2003). The Relationship Between Sea Lice
S	Infestation, Sea Lice Production And Sea Trout Survival In Ireland, 1992-2001. In:
	Salmon on the Edge (ed. D. Mills), pp. 119-135. Blackwell Science, Oxford, UK.
	Gargan, P.G., Forde, G., Hazon, N., Russell, D.J.F. & Todd, C.D. (2012). Evidence
	for sea lice-induced marine mortality of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.) in western
	Ireland from experimental releases of ranched smolts treated with emamectin
	benzoate. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 69: 343-353.
	Gargan, P.G., Karlsbakk, E., Coyne, J., Davies, C. A. and Roche, W. (2016). Sea
	lice (Lepeophtheirus salmonis and Caligus elongatus) infestation levels on sea trout
	(Salmo trutta L.) around the Irish Sea, an area without salmon aquaculture. ICES Journal of Marine Science, doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsw044
	ICEC (2005) Denote of the Westing Course on Nexth Atlantic Column Nexth
	ICES (2005). Report of the Working Group on North Atlantic Salmon. Nuuk,
	Greenland 5 March–14 April. ICES CM 2005/ACFM:17, 290 pp.
	Jackson, D., Drumm, A., McEvoy, S., Jensen, O., Mendiola, D., Gabina, G, Borg,
	J.A., Papageorgiou, N., Karakassis, Y., Black, K.D. 2015. A pan-European
	valuation of the extent, causes and cost of escape events from sea cage fish farming.
	Aquaculture 436: 21-26.

McDermott, T., D'Arcy, J., Kelly, S., Downes, J.K., Griggin, B., Kerr, R.F., O'Keeffe, D., O'Ceallachain, M., Lenighan, L., Scholz, F., Ruane, N.M. 2021. Novel use of nanofiltered hyposaline water to control sea lice and amoebic gill disease, on a commercial Atlantic salmon farm. Aquaculture Reports 20: 100703.

McGinnity, P., De Eyto, E., Gilbey, J., Gargan, P., Roche, W., Stafford, T., McGarrigle, M., *et al.* (2012). A predictive model for estimating river habitat area using GIS-derived catchment and river variables. *Fisheries Management and Ecology*, 19: 69–77.

Ó Maoiléidigh, N. McGinnity, P., Prevost, E., Potter, E.C.E., Gargan, P., Crozier, W.W., Mills, P., *et al.* (2004). Application of pre-fishery abundance modelling and Bayesian hierarchical stock and recruitment analysis to the provision of precautionary catch advice for Irish salmon (*Salmo salar L.*) fisheries. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 61: 1370–1378.

Prévost, E., Parent, E., Crozier, W., Davidson, I., Dumas, J., Gudbergsson, G., Hindar, K., McGinnity, P., MacLean, J., and Sættem, L. M. (2003). Setting biological reference points for Atlantic salmon stocks: transfer of information from data-rich to sparse-data situations by Bayesian hierarchical modelling. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 60: 1177–1194.

River Basin Management Plan for Ireland 2018-2021 (2018). Department of Housing, Planning and Local Government, Dublin, Ireland. 159 pp. https://www.housing.gov.ie/sites/default/files/publications/files/rbmp_full_report web.pdf

Appendix 1: Implementation plans for progressing NASCOs international goals for	
effective sea lice management such that there is no increase in sea lice loads or	
lice-induced mortality of wild stocks attributable to sea lice.	

		I stocks attributable to sea lice.
International	100% of farms to	Irish progress towards International Goals
Goals	have effective sea	
	lice management	1. One of the most important management strategies
	such that there is	of the Irish Pest Management Strategy (2008) is
	no increase in sea	carrying out a synchronized Autumn/Winter treatment
	lice loads or lice-	to reduce sea lice burdens to as close to zero as
	induced mortality	practicable on all farmed fish which are to be
	of wild salmonids	overwintered.
	attributable to the	
	farms.	2. This strategy has continued over the period since the initiation of Single Bay Management, and the spring treatment trigger level has been reduced from a starting point of 2 ovigerous L. salmonis per fish to the current levels of 0.5 ovigerous L. salmonis per fish. Outside the spring period a level of 2 ovigerous L. salmonis per fish acts as a trigger for treatment. Where the number of mobile sea lice is high, treatments are triggered in the absence of egg bearing females.
		3. The objective is that all sea lice inspections results are below the spring (March –May period) lice treatment trigger of 0.5 ovigerous lice and outside this period below the 2.0 ovigerous lice treatment trigger. A number of salmon farms have a spring lice threshold of 0.3 ovigerous lice stipulated in their licence conditions.
Best Management	Area management, risk-based,	A number of controls are in place to ensure the effective and efficient management of sea lice. There
Practices	integrated pest	is a national sea lice monitoring programme which
(BMPs)	management (IPM)	involves the inspection and sampling of each year
	programmes that	class of fish at all fish farm sites 14 times per annum -
	meet jurisdictional	twice per month during March, April and May and
	targets for lice	monthly for the remainder of the year except
	loads at the most	December-January. One inspection is carried out
	vulnerable life-	during this period. This programme is applied at all
	history stage of	marine finfish farms
	wild salmonids.	
		Data on lice levels at salmon farms are made available
		to all stakeholders each month and all data are
		published in full each year by the Marine Institute.
		In 2008 this monitoring protocol was updated and
		strengthened by DAFF by the launching of a new Pest
		Management Strategy.
		http://www.agriculture.gov.ie/media/migration/fisher
		ies/aquacultureforeshoremanagement/SeaLiceControl
		Strategy%20230210.pdf

Single year-class stocking Fallowing Risk-based site selection	This strategy introduced a new management cell approach to dealing with incidences where target levels of lice control were not being met. On two occasions in 2012, and once in 2016 and once in 2018, breaches of protocol regarding lice levels and inability to control lice entering the spring period lead to accelerated harvesting of farmed salmon prior to wild smolt runs by Ministerial order. Currently practiced As part of Licencing
Trigger levels appropriate to effective sea lice control	Trigger levels are applied to all farms equally.
Strategic timing, methods and levels of treatment to achieve the international goal and avoid lice resistance to treatment	Farms are advised to take action to reduce their sea lice levels if they are found to be above the trigger levels. The development of a range of non-medicinal methods in effect means that farms are constantly 'treating' to keep their sea lice levels near zero.
A comprehensive and regulated fish health programme that includes	See above – comprehensive monitoring in place
routine sampling, monitoring and disease control	
routine sampling, monitoring and	All salmon farms participate in the Single Bay Management process and adhere to the conditions of the Monitoring Protocol on Sea Lice.
routine sampling, monitoring and disease control Lice control management programmes appropriate to the number of fish in the management	Management process and adhere to the conditions of

	and sampling protocols effective in characterising the lice loads in the farms and wild salmonid populations. Lice loads on wild salmonids compared to areas with no salmon farms	estuary of rivers entering aquaculture bays. There is currently no assessment of lice infestation of out migrating wild salmon smolts at sea. The sampling programme on sea trout is used as an indication of the potential lice infestation pressure on wild salmon smolts in the same area. Sampling was carried out on lice levels on sea trout in salmon farming areas and away from salmon farms over the 1992 to 2001 period (Gargan et al, 2003) and remote from salmon farms over the 2010-2012 period (Gargan et al. 2016). Sampling has continued on lice infestation levels on sea trout in aquaculture bays.
	Lice-induced mortality of wild salmonids (e.g. as monitored using sentinel fish, fish- lift trawling, using batches of treated smolts) Monitoring to	Untreated and treated hatchery reared salmon have been released in Ireland to investigate lice induced mortality of wild salmonids for the past 15 years. (Gargan et al. 2012).
	check the efficacy of lice treatments	When a farm is in breach of the trigger levels, a follow-up inspection is performed to determine the effectiveness of any action taken to reduce the lice levels.
Factors Facilitating Implementati on	Development of a monitoring programme appropriate for the number of farmed salmon in the management area and sampling protocols effective in characterising the lice loads in the farms	Monitoring programme not related to the number of farmed salmon in a management area. Sampling programmes are effective at characterizing the lice loads on farms.
	Access to a broad suite of therapeutants, immunostimulants and management tools	Currently only two prescription-only medicines, are authorised for use in Ireland for treating sea lice infestations. However, the development of a range of non-medicinal treatments in recent years has resulted in a reduced reliance on medicinal treatments.
	Collation and assessment of site selection and relocation criteria	These issues would be dealt with on a case by case basis and under the terms of the original licence.

Regulatory regimes which facilitate availability of alternative sites, as necessary, to support achievement of the goal	These issues would be dealt with on a case by case basis. Currently alternative sites would need to go through the full licencing process.
Training at all levels in support of the goal and to increase awareness of the environmental consequences of sea lice	IFI train staff to monitor lice levels on sea trout in aquaculture areas. Training programmes are provided by the Seafood Development Agency (BIM), by the private veterinary practitioners and in some instances, in- house training by the larger companies.
Monitoring of lice levels: in areas with and without farms; before, during and after a farm production cycle; and in plankton samples	No specific programme of monitoring for areas outside the immediate aquaculture areas. Research is required to examine lice levels at various stages of farm production both inside and outside of sea cages. An EU funded programme began in 2018, LiceTrack, coordinated by NASCO, in two bays in the West to collect sea lice from water samples through lice pumping and using environmental DNA to determine lice abundance close to and at distance from farms and it is intended to expand this programme to other areas.

Implementation plans for progressing the achievement of the international goals for ensuring 100% containment in marine aquaculture facilities.		
International Goals	100% farmed fish to be retained in all production facilities	Irish progress towards International Goals
Best Management Practices (BMPs)	Codes of Containment including operating protocols	These issues are specified in the Licence and there are specific protocols outlined for containment and legislation in event of large scale escape events.
	Technical standards for equipment	All equipment must comply with international standards as specified in licencing information
	Verification of compliance	Department engineers must agree compliance with regard to structures
	Risk-based site selection	Must be indicated within the EIS for a licence
	Mandatory reporting of escape events and investigation of causes of loss	This is a requirement under the licence
	Adaptive management in response to monitoring results to meet the goal	See text below- Legislation is in place to allow authorities to intercept escapees following events.

Reporting & Tracking	Number of incidents of escape events and standardised descriptions of the factors giving rise to escape events Number and life-stage of escaped salmon (overall number; % of farmed production) Number of escaped salmon in both rivers and fisheries (overall number; % of farmed production) and relationship to reported incidents	This is a mandatory requirement under the licence This is a mandatory requirement under the licence With regard to BMP Ireland does not have a systematic monitoring programme for escapees into freshwater although several index rivers are monitored to provide information (Burrishoole, Erriff, Corrib).
Factors Facilitating Implementation	Monitoring of rivers for escaped salmon	As above
	Site appropriate technology	Specified under licencing agreements and EIS
	Advanced permitting to facilitate recapture and exchange of information on effectiveness of recapture efforts	Under Irish legislation, the farm operator can make an emergency application to the Department of Agriculture for a special licence under Section 14 of the Fisheries Act 1959 to deploy nets to recapture the escaped fish. Under the Fisheries Amendment Act 1997, Section 77(1), Inland Fisheries Ireland, both within the meaning of the Act of 1980, may take such action as it considers necessary to recapture stock which has escaped from a facility operated under a licence. Under 77(2), the Minister (DCENR), may authorise a licensee or other person or body to take such action as is specified in the authorisation to recapture stock which has escaped from a facility operated under a licence. (3) An authorisation referred to in <i>subsection (2)</i> may be granted subject to such conditions, if any, as the Minister or the designated officer, as the case may be, considers necessary or expedient.
	Technology development (e.g. cage design, counting methods for farmed salmon, methods to	New technologies for cage design and counting are introduced by industry as they become available. Methods to track the origin of

track origin of escaped salmon and their progeny)	escaped salmon are not in place as farmed stock are not marked. It is possible genetically to distinguish escaped salmon in rivers but it is not possible to track these fish back to the farm of origin as yet as most farms use the same genetic Norwegian based stock.
Training at all levels in support of the goal and to increase awareness of the environmental consequences of escaped salmon	No systematic training in place
Assessments of the relative risks to the wild stocks from escaped salmon from freshwater compared to marine facilities and from large but infrequent escape events compared to small but frequent escape events.	No assessment of the risk of escapes from freshwater undertaken, however, there is no evidence of large scale escape events in freshwater so currently not considered a major threat. One genetic project is ongoing in the Northwest to assess the consequences of long term small escapes of farmed fish in a freshwater system.