Council



Annual Progress Report on Actions taken under the Implementation Plan for the Calendar Year 2022 EU – Denmark

Annual Progress Report on Actions taken under the Implementation Plan for the Calendar Year 2022

The Annual Progress Reports allow NASCO to evaluate progress on actions taken by Parties / jurisdictions to implement its internationally agreed Resolutions, Agreements and Guidelines and, consequently, the achievement of their objectives and actions taken in accordance with the Convention. The following information should be provided through the Annual Progress Reports:

- any changes to the management regime for salmon and consequent changes to the Implementation Plan;
- actions that have been taken under the Implementation Plan in the previous year;
- significant changes to the status of stocks, and a report on catches; and
- actions taken in accordance with the provisions of the Convention.

In completing this Annual Progress Report please refer to the Guidelines for the Preparation and Evaluation of NASCO Implementation Plans and for Reporting on Progress, <u>CNL(18)49</u>.

These reports will be reviewed by the Council. Please complete this form and return it to the Secretariat **no later than 1 April 2023**.

Party:	European Union
Jurisdiction / Region:	Denmark

1: Changes to the Implementation Plan

1.1 Describe any proposed revisions to the Implementation Plan (Where changes are proposed, the revised Implementation Plans should be submitted to the Secretariat by 1 November).

No Change of plan ready yet

1.2 Describe any major new initiatives or achievements for salmon conservation and management that you wish to highlight.

Nothing major

2: Stock status and catches.

2.1 Provide a description of any new factors that may affect the abundance of salmon stocks significantly and, if there has been any significant change in stock status since the development of the Implementation Plan, provide a brief (200 word max) summary of these changes.

Some concerns have been raised regarding beavers, in particular beaver dams, in Storå; smoltproduction is reduced due to lack of access to spawning areas for adults. The municipalities have the possibility to regulate the dams, if necessary.

2.2 Provide the following information on catches: (nominal catch equals reported quantity of salmon caught and retained in tonnes 'round fresh weight' (i.e. weight of whole, ungutted, unfrozen fish) or 'round fresh weight equivalent').

(a) provisional nominal	In-river	Estuarine	Coastal	Total
catch (which may be	8.6	0	0	8.6
subject to revision) for	0.0	С С	Ŭ	
2022 (tonnes)				
(b) confirmed nominal	10.4	0	0	10.4
catch of salmon for				
2021 (tonnes)				
(c) estimated	NA	NA	NA	NA
unreported catch for				
2022 (tonnes)	,			
(d) number and	4294 released			
percentage of salmon	1591 harvested			
caught and released in	73% C&R			
recreational fisheries in				
2022				

3: Implementation Plan Actions.

3.1 Provide an update on progress on actions relating to the Management of Salmon Fisheries (section 2.9 of the Implementation Plan).

Note: the reports under 'Progress on action to date' should provide a brief overview of each action. Please report in relation to the reporting year only or the most relevant recent year. For all actions, provide clear and concise quantitative information to demonstrate progress. In circumstances where quantitative information cannot be provided for a particular action because of its nature, a clear rationale must be given for not providing quantitative information and other information should be provided to enable progress with that action to be evaluated. While referring to additional material (e.g. via links to websites) may assist those seeking more detailed information, this will not be evaluated by the Review Group.

evuin	alea by the Kevlew Group.	
Action	Description of action	As Denmark has not identified any serious threats cf. 2.8, we
F1:	(as submitted in the IP)	have not provided any detailed description of how to address
		threats.
	Expected outcome	
	(as submitted in the IP)	
	Approach for	
	monitoring effectiveness	
	& enforcement	
	(as submitted in the IP)	
	Progress on action to	
	date	
	(Provide a brief overview	
	with a quantitative	
	measure, or other justified	
	evaluation, of progress. If	

	sub-actions are completed	
	during the reporting year,	
	this should be made clear.	
	Other material (e.g.	
	website links) will not be	
	evaluated)	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Current status of action	Choose an item.
	(Please note: 'Completed'	
	means that the overall	
	action is complete for the	
	lifetime of the third	
	reporting cycle. If it is an	
	ongoing action that is	
	reported on annually, it	
	should be marked as	
	'Ongoing')	r
	If 'Completed', has the	
	action achieved its	
	objective?	
Action	Description of action	
F2:	-	
F 2:	(as submitted in the IP)	
	Expected outcome	
	(as submitted in the IP)	
	Approach for	
	monitoring effectiveness	
	& enforcement	
	(as submitted in the IP)	
	Progress on action to	
	-	
	date	
	(Provide a brief overview	
	with a quantitative	
	measure, or other justified	
	evaluation, of progress. If	
	sub-actions are completed	
	during the reporting year,	
	this should be made clear.	
	Other material (e.g.	
	website links) will not be	
	evaluated)	
	Current status of action	Choose an item.
	(Please note: 'Completed'	
	means that the overall	
	action is complete for the	
	lifetime of the third	
	reporting cycle. If it is an	
	ongoing action that is	
	reported on annually, it	
	should be marked as	
	'Ongoing')	
		-

	If 'Complete	ed', has the
	action achiev	ved its
	objective?	
(se	ction 3.5 of the	te on progress on actions relating to Habitat Protection and Restoration Implementation Plan).
	•	nder 'Progress on action to date' should provide a brief overview of each action. Please
-		the reporting year only or the most relevant recent year. For all actions, provide clear
		titative information to demonstrate progress. In circumstances where quantitative
		be provided for a particular action because of its nature, a clear rationale must be given pantitative information and other information should be provided to enable progress with
		uluated. While referring to additional material (e.g. via links to websites) may assist those
		ed information, this will not be evaluated by the Review Group.
Action	Description	Removal of barriers. For the salmon, especially two remaining
H1:	of action	Hydropower stations constitute a major migration obstacle, but
111.	(as submitted	also several small barriers are found in tributaries.
	in the IP)	
	Expected	Access to more spawning and rearing habitat, less smolt-loss
	outcome	for downstream migration. More returning salmon.
	(as submitted	Č Č
	in the IP)	
	Approach	Not necessary, we have enough documentation of the benefits
	for	of removing barriers.
	monitoring	
	effectiveness	
	&	
	enforcement	
	(as submitted	
	in the IP)	
	Progress on	
	action to	The barrier removal is a national strategy in all rivers, related to the
	date	requirements in the WFD. Every year barriers are removed, but the scale of
	(Provide a	removals and the authority responsible varies so much that it is not possible to
	brief overview with a	give an overview with a timeline. In the salmon rivers we still have a
	quantitative	prioritized list of dams that should be removed, including two old hydropower
	measure, or	stations, but the list gets shorter every year. In 2022 not much happened,
	other justified	several planned removal projects were postponed, but with the intention of
	evaluation, of	still being carried out. Barriers were removed in Skjern River, Sneum River
	progress. If	and upper Ribe River in 2022.
	sub-actions	
	are completed	
	during the	
	reporting	
	year, this should be	
	made clear.	
	Other	
	material (e.g.	
	website links)	

	will not be	
	evaluated)	
	Current	Ongoing
	status of	
	action	
	(Please note:	
	'Completed'	
	means that	
	the overall	
	action is	
	complete for	
	the lifetime of	
	the third	
	reporting	
	cycle. If it is	
	an ongoing	
	action that is	
	reported on	
	annually, it	
	should be	
	marked as	
	'Ongoing')	
	If	
	'Completed',	
	has the	
	action	
	achieved its	
	objective?	
Action	Description	Predator regulation. Increasingly harsh management measures
H2:	of action	to regulate cormorant population. More egg oiling, shooting
	(as submitted	and lethal regulation. Shooting of seals in salmon rivers.
	in the IP)	
	Expected	Keeping cormorant predation of salmon smolts at or below the
	outcome	current level of app 50%. Preventing seals from entering
	(as submitted	salmon rivers and kill upstream migrants before they spawn.
	in the IP)	
	Approach	Very difficult. Regular surveys of smolt survival in lower river
	for	and estuary provides information on the loss. Different
	-	management measures are tested. One project on studying the
	monitoring	effect of seal shooting in one river is initiated.
	effectiveness	chect of sear shooting in one river is initiated.
	&	
	enforcement	
	(as submitted	
	in the IP)	
	Progress on	The seal shooting/culling in Karup Å, was effective. After app. 10 individuals
	action to	were killed, seals are only rarely observed in the river now.
	date	
	(Provide a	For cormorants, national cormorant patrolls are being organized by volunteers.
	brief overview	They make efforts to keep cormorants away from lower rivers during smolt
	with a	migration by scaring them away.
		migration by scaring them away.

	quantitative	
	measure, or	
	other justified	
	evaluation, of	
	progress. If	
	sub-actions	
	are completed	
	during the	
	reporting	
	year, this	
	should be	
	made clear.	
	Other	
	material (e.g.	
	website links)	
	will not be	
	evaluated)	
	Current	Ongoing
	status of	[0.120112]
	action	
	(Please note:	
	'Completed' means that	
	the overall	
	action is	
	<i>complete for</i>	
	the lifetime of	
	the third	
	reporting	
	cycle. If it is	
	an ongoing	
	action that is	
	reported on	
	annually, it	
	should be	
	marked as	
	'Ongoing')	T
	If	
	'Completed',	
	has the	
	action	
	achieved its	
	objective?	
Action	Description	River restoration.
H3:	of action	
	(as submitted	
	in the IP)	
	Expected	More and better habitat available for salmon spawning and
	outcome	rearing – giving more wild smolts and then more returning
	(as submitted	adults.
	in the IP)	

Approach for	Many restoration projects have been carried out and many more are ongoing, ranging from introducing spawning substrate to removal of major dams and
monitoring	remeandering/restoration of long river stretches. Studies have been carried out and will likely continue to assess the efficiency of the restoration measures.
effectiveness &	incly continue to assess the efficiency of the restoration measures.
enforcement	
(as submitted	
in the IP)	
Progress on action to date (<i>Provide a</i>	Around 50 restoration projects are carried out every year in the salmon rivers, including extended buffer zones, addition of spawning substrate, mitigation at culverts, re-opening of piped stream segments, sediment trapping (sand) to reduce harmful sediment transport. In 2022, the activity in river restoration was
brief overview with a	low due problems stemming from the COVID-19 pandemic and the Russian invasion of Ukraine. Simultaneously, there has been a growing fatigue among
quantitative measure, or other justified evaluation, of	volunteers caused by decreasing fish populations due to predation. This decrease in fish population happens despite the better conditions provided by restoration projects and regulation of barricades.
progress. If	
sub-actions	
are completed	
during the	
reporting	
year, this should be	
made clear.	
Other	
material (e.g.	
website links)	
will not be	
evaluated)	
Current	Ongoing
status of	
action	
(Please note:	
'Completed'	
means that	
the overall	
action is	
complete for	
the lifetime of	
the third reporting	
cycle. If it is	
an ongoing	
action that is	
reported on	
annually, it	
should be	
marked as	
'Ongoing')	

	If	
	'Completed', has the	
	action	
	achieved its	
	objective?	
Action	Description	Sediment management, sediment traps and securing of buffer
H4:	of action	zones along streams and rivers to trap sediment before reaching the streams.
	(as submitted	
	in the IP)	
	Expected	Improved habitat quality and quantity, securing good spawning
	outcome	habitat.
	(as submitted	
	in the IP)	
	Approach	Studies have been carried out and will likely continue to assess
	for	the efficiency of the sediment management measures.
	monitoring	
	effectiveness	
	&	
	enforcement	
	(as submitted	
	in the IP)	
	Progress on	In relation to restoration projects, sediment traps are often installed and
	action to	maintained upstream in spawning and rearing areas for salmon. The
	date	Government has decided to buy up significant areas of low-lying farmland to
	(Provide a	stop draining which will have a major influence on the sediment-problems.
	brief overview	These plans are under implementation. The intensive use of close-to-river land
	with a	for intensive agriculture, along with changed precipitation patterns, have
	quantitative	increased the sediment problem and the need for sediment traps.
	measure, or	
	other justified	
	evaluation, of	
	progress. If	
	sub-actions	
	are completed	
	during the	
	reporting	
	year, this should be	
	made clear.	
	<i>Made clear.</i> Other	
	material (e.g.	
	website links)	
	will not be	
	evaluated)	
	Current	Ongoing
	status of	I
	action	
	(Please note:	
	'Completed'	

means that
the overall
action is
complete for
the lifetime of
the third
reporting
cycle. If it is
an ongoing
action that is
reported on
annually, it
should be
marked as
'Ongoing')
If
'Completed',
has the
action
achieved its
objective?

3.3 Provide an update on progress on actions relating to Aquaculture, Introductions and Transfers and Transgenics (section 4.11 of the Implementation Plan).

Note: the reports under 'Progress on action to date' should provide a **brief overview** of each action. Please report in relation to the reporting year only or the most relevant recent year. For all actions, provide **clear and concise** quantitative information to demonstrate progress. In circumstances where quantitative information cannot be provided for a particular action because of its nature, a clear rationale must be given for not providing quantitative information and other information should be provided to enable progress with that action to be evaluated. While referring to additional material (e.g. via links to websites) may assist those seeking more detailed information, this will not be evaluated by the Review Group.

evaiu	ated by the Review Group.	
Action	Description of action	Avoid establishment of marine salmon farms.
A1:	(as submitted in the IP)	
	Expected outcome	NA
	(as submitted in the IP)	
	Approach for	NA
	monitoring effectiveness	
	& enforcement	
	(as submitted in the IP)	
	Progress on action to	Still no marine salmon farms in Denmark.
	date	·
	(Provide a brief overview	
	with a quantitative	
	measure, or other justified	
	evaluation, of progress. If	
	sub-actions are completed	
	during the reporting year,	
	this should be made clear.	
	Other material (e.g.	
	website links) will not be	
	evaluated)	
	Current status of action	Ongoing
	(Please note: 'Completed'	
	means that the overall	
	action is complete for the	
	lifetime of the third	
	reporting cycle. If it is an	
	ongoing action that is	
	reported on annually, it	
	should be marked as	
	'Ongoing')	1
	If 'Completed', has the	
	action achieved its	
	objective?	
Action	Description of action	
A2:	(as submitted in the IP)	
	Expected outcome	
	(as submitted in the IP)	
	Approach for	
	monitoring effectiveness	
	& enforcement	
A2:	Expected outcome (as submitted in the IP) Approach for monitoring effectiveness	

(as submitted in the IP)	
Progress on action to	
date	
(Provide a brief overview	
with a quantitative	
measure, or other justified	
evaluation, of progress. If	
sub-actions are completed	
during the reporting year,	
this should be made clear.	
Other material (e.g.	
website links) will not be	
evaluated)	
Current status of action	Choose an item.
(Please note: 'Completed'	
means that the overall	
action is complete for the	
lifetime of the third	
reporting cycle. If it is an	
ongoing action that is	
reported on annually, it	
should be marked as	
'Ongoing')	-
If 'Completed', has the	I
action achieved its	
objective?	
J	

4: Additional information required under the Convention

4.1 Details of any laws, regulations and programmes that have been adopted or repealed since the last notification.

4.2 Details of any new commitments concerning the adoption or maintenance in force for specified periods of time of conservation, restoration, and other management measures.

- 4.3 Details of any new actions to prohibit fishing for salmon beyond 12 nautical miles.
- 4.4 Details of any new actions to invite the attention of States not party to the Convention to matters relating to the activities of its vessels which could adversely affect salmon stocks subject to the Convention.
- 4.5 Details of any actions taken to implement regulatory measures under Article 13 of the Convention including imposition of adequate penalties for violations.

North American Commission Members only:

4.6 Details of any new measures to minimise bycatches of salmon originating in the rivers of the other member.

4.7 Details of any alteration to fishing patterns that result in the initiation of fishing or increase in catches of salmon originating in the rivers of another Party except with the consent of the latter.