Council



Annual Progress Report on Actions taken under the Implementation Plan for the Calendar Year 2023 EU – Sweden

CNL(24)36

Annual Progress Report on Actions taken under the Implementation Plan for the Calendar Year 2023

The Annual Progress Reports allow NASCO to evaluate progress on actions taken by Parties / jurisdictions to implement its internationally agreed Resolutions, Agreements and Guidelines and, consequently, the achievement of their objectives and actions taken in accordance with the Convention. The following information should be provided through the Annual Progress Reports:

- any changes to the management regime for salmon and consequent changes to the Implementation Plan;
- actions that have been taken under the Implementation Plan in the previous year;
- significant changes to the status of stocks, and a report on catches; and
- actions taken in accordance with the provisions of the Convention.

In completing this Annual Progress Report please refer to the Guidelines for the Preparation and Evaluation of NASCO Implementation Plans and for Reporting on Progress, CNL(18)49.

These reports will be reviewed by the Council. Please complete this form and return it to the Secretariat no later than 1 April 2024.

| Party: | European Union |
|------------------------|----------------|
| Jurisdiction / Region: | Sweden |

- 1: Changes to the Implementation Plan
- 1.1 Describe any proposed revisions to the Implementation Plan (Where changes are proposed, the revised Implementation Plans should be submitted to the Secretariat by 1 November).
- 1.2 Describe any major new initiatives or achievements for salmon conservation and management that you wish to highlight.
- 2: Stock status and catches.
- 2.1 Provide a description of any new factors that may affect the abundance of salmon stocks significantly and, if there has been any significant change in stock status since the development of the Implementation Plan, provide a brief (200 word max) summary of these changes.

Stock Status: Stocks are considered to have "good reproductive capacity" if the 5 year mean parr abundance and 95% CI >10 parr/100 m2 (Tamario & Degerman 2017). Rivers with a mean parr

abundance >10 parr/100 m2, but 95% CI <10 parr/100 m2 are considered as at "risk of reduced reproductive capacity". Rivers with a mean parr abundance and 95% CI <10 parr/100 m2 are considered at "reduced reproductive capacity". From 2019-2023, 4 (17%) out of 24 assessed stocks were found to have good productive capacity, 6 (25%) had risk of reduced reproductive capacity and 14 (58%) had reduced reproductive capacity. In previous years, based on a 5-year mean, the number of assessed stocks achieving good reproductive capacity were: 2019; 6 out of 23, 2020; 6 out of 23, 2021; 3 out of 23, and 2022; 4 out of 22.

No catch was recorded from coastal commercial fishing in 2023 (9th year in a row).

2.2 Provide the following information on catches: (nominal catch equals reported quantity of salmon caught and retained in tonnes 'round fresh weight' (i.e. weight of whole, ungutted, unfrozen fish) or 'round fresh weight equivalent').

| ungrozen justej er ret | unifocen fish or round fresh weight equivalent fi | | | |
|--------------------------|---|-----------|---------|-------|
| (a) provisional nominal | In-river | Estuarine | Coastal | Total |
| catch (which may be | 10.5 | 0 | 0 | 10.5 |
| subject to revision) for | | | | |
| 2023 (tonnes) | | | | |
| (b) confirmed nominal | [8.3] | 0 | 0 | 8.3 |
| catch of salmon for | | | | |
| 2022 (tonnes) | | | | |
| (c) estimated | [1.1] | 0 | 0 | [1.1] |
| unreported catch for | | | | |
| 2023 (tonnes) | | | | |
| (d) number and | 956 salmon were caught and released, which accounts to 29% of total catches | | | |
| percentage of salmon | (wild and reared origin). For wild salmon only, 691 salmon were caught and | | | |
| caught and released in | released (45% of the wild salmon catches). | | | |

3: Implementation Plan Actions.

recreational fisheries in

2023

3.1 Provide an update on progress on actions relating to the Management of Salmon Fisheries (section 2.9 of the Implementation Plan).

Note: the reports under 'Progress on action to date' should provide a brief overview of each action. Please report in relation to the reporting year only or the most relevant recent year. For all actions, provide clear and concise quantitative information to demonstrate progress. In circumstances where quantitative information cannot be provided for a particular action because of its nature, a clear rationale must be given for not providing quantitative information and other information should be provided to enable progress with that action to be evaluated. While referring to additional material (e.g. via links to websites) may assist those seeking more detailed information, this will not be evaluated by the Review Group.

| Action F1: | Description of action (as submitted in the IP) | New fishing rules: Implementing fishing rules that decrease exploitation of weak stocks by introducing maximum length for landed fish (prohibiting catch of large salmon) or if needed more restrictive fishing rules. |
|------------|--|--|
| | Expected outcome (as submitted in the IP) | Less stocks with reduced reproductive capacity and increased smolt production. |
| | Approach for monitoring effectiveness & enforcement (as submitted in the IP) | Existing monitoring with fishery statistics (number of wild salmon landed), electrofishing data and migration data from fish counters. |

| | Progress on action to date (Provide a brief overview with a quantitative measure, or other justified evaluation, of progress. If sub-actions are completed during the reporting year, this should be made clear. Other material (e.g. website links) will not be evaluated) | Fishing in salmon rivers is strictly regulated in national legislation. Salmon fishing can also be regulated by fishing right owners who can decide on more (but not less) restrictive rules than the national legislation enforce. The fishing rights owners have, due to national scientific assessment and management recommendations, forbidden salmon fishing or enforced strict fishing regulations in rivers with weak salmon populations. In most weak salmon rivers, here is zero or only a few catches of wild salmon. The exceptions are the rivers Ätran, Göta älv and Lagan. The salmon stock in river Ätran have reach CL and the stocks in the rivers Göta älv and Lagan are from compensatory releases of smolt due to hydropower stations. |
|------------|---|---|
| | Current status of action (Please note: 'Completed' means that the overall action is complete for the lifetime of the third reporting cycle. If it is an ongoing action that is reported on annually, it should be marked as 'Ongoing') | Ongoing |
| | If 'Completed', has the action achieved its objective? | |
| Action F2: | Description of action (as submitted in the IP) | Fin-clipping smolts: Continued fin-clipping (adipose fin) of <u>all</u> reared and stocked salmon and brown trout smolt. |
| | Expected outcome (as submitted in the IP) | Enabling anglers to distinguish between wild and reared salmon in field, which facilitates action F4. |
| | Approach for monitoring effectiveness | Catch statistics, where presence of adipose fin is registered. Also, the County Board's regionally responsible fisheries |
| | & enforcement (as submitted in the IP) | officer checks smolt quality and fin-clipping before release of reared smolt. |
| | Progress on action to date (Provide a brief overview with a quantitative measure, or other justified evaluation, of progress. If sub-actions are completed during the reporting year, this should be made clear. Other material (e.g. website links) will not be evaluated) Current status of action | Fin-clipping of the adipose fin is carried out on all reared smolts that are released. The fin-clipping, and the status of smolts, are checked by fisheries officers at the County Boards. Ongoing |

| | (Please note: 'Completed' means that the overall action is complete for the lifetime of the third reporting cycle. If it is an ongoing action that is reported on annually, it should be marked as 'Ongoing') If 'Completed', has the action achieved its objective? | |
|------------|---|--|
| Action F3: | Description of action (as submitted in the IP) Expected outcome | Coastal MSF: Avoiding mixed-stock fisheries on the coast. Catches of salmon in coastal waters will stay negligible (compare with section 2.4 cheve) |
| | (as submitted in the IP) Approach for monitoring effectiveness & enforcement (as submitted in the IP) | Information to people responsible for river fisheries of what is required for sufficient data catch statistics. Legal actions are not permitted according to Swedish fishery legislation, but if stock status/or the presence of mixed-stock fisheries cannot be assessed the river stock as a whole could be considered as of weak status. Field survey to quantify coastal fishery. Comparisons can be made with previous questionnaires by SCB (Statistics Sweden) |
| | Progress on action to date (Provide a brief overview with a quantitative measure, or other justified evaluation, of progress. If sub-actions are completed during the reporting year, this should be made clear. Other material (e.g. website links) will not be evaluated) | and the former field survey in 2000 (Thörnqvist 2000). Since 2015 there have been no reported harvested of salmon in the commercial coastal fishery. No commercial licenses for salmon trap nets were issued in 2023. Thus, the former commercial mixed-stock fishing on the coast is phased out. There are some salmon caught by non-commercial gillnetting, especially in mixed-stock fishery outside River Lagan (with ranched salmon). The county board of Halland initiated a survey to estimate catches of salmon and brown trout in the coastal recreational fishery in 2022, as these catches were previously unknown. The extent of this gillnetting will be investigated further by the County Board of Halland in the coming years. The action is considered completed. |
| | Current status of action (Please note: 'Completed' means that the overall action is complete for the lifetime of the third reporting cycle. If it is an ongoing action that is reported on annually, it should be marked as 'Ongoing') | Completed |

| | 1040 1 12 1 11 | V 7 |
|---------|------------------------------|--|
| | If 'Completed', has the | Yes. |
| | action achieved its | |
| | objective? | |
| Action | Description of action | Riverine MSF: |
| F4: | (as submitted in the IP) | Avoiding mixed-stock fisheries in rivers with stocking of reared |
| | | salmon in the main river stem and production of wild salmon in |
| | | tributaries. |
| | Expected outcome | Recovery of wild salmon stocks in tributaries to the rivers Göta |
| | (as submitted in the IP) | älv, Nissan and Lagan. |
| | | · · |
| | Approach for | Electrofishing. Catch statistics. |
| | monitoring effectiveness | |
| | & enforcement | |
| | (as submitted in the IP) | |
| | Progress on action to | There were still catches of reared and wild salmon in river |
| | date | Göta älv, Nissan and Lagan in 2023. |
| | (Provide a brief overview | |
| | with a quantitative | |
| | measure, or other justified | |
| | evaluation, of progress. If | |
| | sub-actions are completed | |
| | during the reporting year, | |
| | | |
| | this should be made clear. | |
| | Other material (e.g. | |
| | website links) will not be | |
| | evaluated) | |
| | Current status of action | Ongoing |
| | (Please note: 'Completed' | |
| | means that the overall | |
| | action is complete for the | |
| | lifetime of the third | |
| | reporting cycle. If it is an | |
| | ongoing action that is | |
| | reported on annually, it | |
| | should be marked as | |
| | 'Ongoing') | |
| | If 'Completed', has the | |
| | action achieved its | |
| | objective? | |
| Agtion | | Conotio diversity |
| Action | Description of action | Genetic diversity: Successively, improve knowledge of genetic diversity and status |
| F5: | (as submitted in the IP) | |
| | F 4 1 4 | of all stocks in the main rivers, and larger tributaries. |
| | Expected outcome | Improved genetic baseline and genetic diversity data will give a |
| | (as submitted in the IP) | new tool for management, where genetic diversity can be |
| | | included in management (see section 1.1). |
| | Approach for | Genetic samples collected with electrofishing. |
| | monitoring effectiveness | Increased data (analysed individual) in baseline. |
| | & enforcement | |
| | (as submitted in the IP) | |
| | Progress on action to | No further genetic samples were collected in 2023. The genetic |
| | date | baseline is considered completed. |
| | | A 1 |

| (Describe a brief accoming | Ī |
|--|---------------|
| (Provide a brief overview | |
| with a quantitative | |
| measure, or other justified | |
| evaluation, of progress. If | |
| sub-actions are completed | |
| during the reporting year, | |
| this should be made clear. | |
| Other material (e.g. | |
| website links) will not be | |
| evaluated) | |
| Current status of action Completed | |
| (Please note: 'Completed' | |
| means that the overall | |
| action is complete for the | |
| lifetime of the third | |
| reporting cycle. If it is an | |
| ongoing action that is | |
| reported on annually, it | |
| should be marked as | |
| 'Ongoing') | |
| If 'Completed', has the yes | |
| action achieved its | |
| objective? | |
| | a in the |
| | ig in the |
| | A 1 41 |
| Expected outcome Quality assured monitoring of stock development | . Also, the |
| (as submitted in the IP) diversity of the whole fish fauna is monitored. | |
| Approach for Data collection by means of electrofishing, PIT | • |
| monitoring effectiveness counters, smolt & spawner traps combined w | |
| & enforcement statistics. The fish counter is situated at a hydrope | |
| (as submitted in the IP) Environmental court will 2024 take decision on per | |
| hydropower plant at that decision can affect the pos | ssibility for |
| ongoing fish counting in the river. | |
| Progress on action to Electrofishing, PIT-tagging, smolt & spawner country | |
| date conducted in the designated (index) river Högvadsår | together |
| (Provide a brief overview with fishery statistics collection in 2023. | 204 |
| with a quantitative In 2023, the smolt run was 2 590 smolts and of these | |
| measure, or other justified PIT-tagged. The spawning run was 755 salmon (491 | 1SW and |
| evaluation, of progress. If 264 MSW). | |
| sub-actions are completed 14 1SW salmon were caught in Högvadsån in 2023. | |
| during the reporting year, | |
| this should be made clear. | |
| Other material (e.g. | |
| | |
| website links) will not be | |
| evaluated) | |
| | |
| evaluated) | |
| evaluated) Current status of action Ongoing | |
| evaluated) Current status of action (Please note: 'Completed' | |
| evaluated) Current status of action (Please note: 'Completed' means that the overall Ongoing | |

| | ongoing action that is reported on annually, it should be marked as 'Ongoing') If 'Completed', has the action achieved its objective? | |
|------------|--|---|
| Action F7: | Description of action (as submitted in the IP) | Stock status: Annually asses each river stock's reproductive capacity. Stocks with a salmon habitat less than 2 hectares will be assessed only if data (electrofishing, automatic fish counters) is available from other programmes (outside salmon monitoring). |
| | Expected outcome (as submitted in the IP) | Attainment of essential data for better local and national management. |
| | Approach for | Salmon smolt traps, fish counters and electrofishing. |
| | monitoring effectiveness & enforcement (as submitted in the IP) | |
| | Progress on action to date (Provide a brief overview with a quantitative measure, or other justified | The salmon smolt and spawner traps were in operation in Högvadsån (Ätran) in 2023 and the total spawner and smolt runs could be calculated for Högvadsån. Stock status (based on electrofishing data) could be calculated for 24 out of 24 salmon rivers in 2023. |
| | evaluation, of progress. If sub-actions are completed during the reporting year, this should be made clear. Other material (e.g. website links) will not be evaluated) | |
| | Current status of action (Please note: 'Completed' means that the overall action is complete for the lifetime of the third reporting cycle. If it is an ongoing action that is reported on annually, it should be marked as 'Ongoing') | Ongoing |
| | If 'Completed', has the action achieved its objective? | |
| Action F8: | Description of action (as submitted in the IP) | Exploitation in rivers: Monitor exploitation in two rivers |
| | Expected outcome (as submitted in the IP) Approach for monitoring effectiveness | Attainment of data used for the ICES WGNAS salmon stock complex assessment. Data collection by means of PIT-tags, fish counters and salmon traps combined with fishery statistics (see also Action F9). |
| | & enforcement | |

| | (as submitted in the IP) | |
|--------|--|--|
| | (as submitted in the IP) Progress on action to date (Provide a brief overview with a quantitative measure, or other justified evaluation, of progress. If sub-actions are completed during the reporting year, this should be made clear. Other material (e.g. website links) will not be evaluated) Current status of action (Please note: 'Completed' means that the overall action is complete for the lifetime of the third reporting cycle. If it is an ongoing action that is | Data collection by means of PIT-tags, fish counters and salmon traps combined with fishery statistics was conducted in 2023. Results will be presented to ICES WGNAS in 2024. No commercial fishing has occurred on the coast since 2015. All exploitation occurs in rivers, from sport fishing or brood stock harvesting. Both with good reporting. However, there are only two rivers with wild salmon where a fish trap with PIT-tag scanning (Högvadsån) and a fish counter (Ätran) enable a precise estimate of exploitation. Exploitation Högvadsån: 2%. Exploitation Ätran: 18%. However, the fish counter in Ätran was not working properly throughout the whole year, hence the exploitation estimate of Ätran should be treated with caution. Ongoing |
| | ongoing action that is reported on annually, it should be marked as 'Ongoing') If 'Completed', has the action achieved its objective? | |
| Action | Description of action | Improve catch statistics; |
| F9: | (as submitted in the IP) | -in rivers, with regard to catch and release and fin-clipping. |
| | | -on the coast, through a survey estimate of salmon and brown trout catch in the recreational fishery. |
| | Expected outcome (as submitted in the IP) | Improved catch statistics and better knowledge of what today is reported as "unreported catches". Resulting in better catch advice. |
| | Approach for monitoring effectiveness & enforcement (as submitted in the IP) | Information to people responsible for river fisheries of what is required for sufficient data catch statistics. Legal actions are not permitted according to Swedish fishery legislation, but if stock status/or the presence of mixed-stock fisheries cannot be assessed the river stock as a whole could be considered as of weak status. |
| | | Field survey to quantify coastal fishery. Comparisons can be made with previous questionnaires by SCB (Statistics Sweden) and the former field survey in 2000 (Thörnqvist 2000). |
| | Progress on action to date (Provide a brief overview with a quantitative measure, or other justified evaluation, of progress. If | Since 2018 the catch statistics has improved both by better statistics from local river organisations and from national surveys carried out by Statistics Sweden. Reporting of finclipped salmon improved in 2021 and 2022 and is now functioning quite well. From 2022, all three rivers with both wild and reared salmon now report catches separated on wild |

| | sub-actions are completed during the reporting year, this should be made clear. Other material (e.g. website links) will not be evaluated) | and reared salmon. Catch and release is now reported in most, but not all rivers. The county board of Halland conducted a survey to estimate catches of salmon and brown trout in the coastal recreational fishery in 2022. These catches were previously unknown. There are still possibilities to get better catch statistics but this action regarding the implementing cycle 2019-2024 is considered completed. |
|---------------|---|--|
| | Current status of action (Please note: 'Completed' means that the overall action is complete for the lifetime of the third reporting cycle. If it is an ongoing action that is reported on annually, it should be marked as 'Ongoing') | Completed |
| | If 'Completed', has the action achieved its objective? | Yes |
| Action F10 | Description of action (as submitted in the IP) Expected outcome (as submitted in the IP) | Initiate and support formation of fish management units (FMU) in salmon rivers. A special project aimed to facilitate the forming of new units and facilitating local management has been initiated in 2018 by the Swedish Federation of Fishing Rights Owners and funded by the Swedish Agency for Marine and Water Management. This will give basis for future actions. |
| | Approach for monitoring effectiveness & enforcement (as submitted in the IP) Progress on action to date (Provide a brief overview with a quantitative measure, or other justified evaluation, of progress. If sub-actions are completed during the reporting year, this should be made clear. Other material (e.g. website links) will not be evaluated) | Project reporting in 2019. For 30 years, many local fish management units have been established in Atlantic salmon rivers. There are still few parts of salmon rivers were fish management units have not been formed. Due to the legislation it is the fishing rights owner themselves who take the decision to begin the process to establish a fish management unit. The state responsibility is to initiate and support the work and take decisions on grants for the formation of these. National funding has been decided 2021-2023 to support and coordinate the ongoing work conducted in fish manage units to restore stocks and manage the fisheries. Since there can be several fish management units in the same river coordination between them is an important work for the county administration boards. |
| | | So far, more than forty fish management units have been formed in Atlantic salmon rivers. |

Twenty-eight management units in Atlantic salmon rivers in County Administration Board of Västra Götaland. These rivers draining in Skagerrak.



Fifteen management units in Atlantic salmon rivers in County Administration Board of Halland. These rivers draining in Kattegat.



Four fish management units in Atlantic salmon rivers in County Administration Board of Skåne. These rivers draining in Kattegatt and the Sound (between Sweden and Denmark)

| | This action is considered completed for the implementation cycle 2019-2024. |
|--|---|
| Current status of action (Please note: 'Completed' | Completed |
| means that the overall action is complete for the | |
| lifetime of the third | |
| reporting cycle. If it is an | |
| ongoing action that is reported on annually, it | |
| should be marked as | |
| 'Ongoing') | |
| If 'Completed', has the | Yes |
| action achieved its | |
| objective? | |

3.2 Provide an update on progress on actions relating to Habitat Protection and Restoration (section 3.5 of the Implementation Plan).

Note: the reports under 'Progress on action to date' should provide a **brief overview** of each action. Please report in relation to the reporting year only or the most relevant recent year. For all actions, provide **clear and concise** quantitative information to demonstrate progress. In circumstances where quantitative information cannot be provided for a particular action because of its nature, a clear rationale must be given for not providing quantitative information and other information should be provided to enable progress with that action to be evaluated. While referring to additional material (e.g. via links to websites) may assist those seeking more detailed information, this will not be evaluated by the Review Group.

| Cratt | mica by the Review Group. | |
|--------|---|--|
| Action | Description of action | Continued liming of acidified salmon rivers and tributaries |
| H1: | (as submitted in the IP) | |
| | Expected outcome (as submitted in the IP) | Keeping pH-levels above 6.0 and inorganic aluminium at non-toxic levels, thereby minimizing mortality of eggs and fry. |
| | | General high biodiversity (especially invertebrates, amphibians and fish) in salmon rivers. |
| | Approach for | Electrofishing & chemical monitoring carried out by the County |
| | monitoring effectiveness | Administrative Boards. |
| | & enforcement | |
| | (as submitted in the IP) | |
| | Progress on action to | The Swedish liming programme was revised during 2021 by |
| | date | the Swedish Agency for Marine and Water Management and |

| | (Provide a brief overview with a quantitative measure, or other justified evaluation, of progress. If sub-actions are completed during the reporting year, this should be made clear. Other material (e.g. website links) will not be evaluated) | 18 out of 24 Atlantic salmon rivers are now included in the liming program. There is no need for liming in the remaining six rivers. It is expected that liming in Atlantic salmon rivers will continue for many years to avoid loss of salmon production. Acid deposition is the major cause, but large-scale land-use and vegetation changes over the past 100 years have also contributed to surface-water acidification. Acid deposition have been reduced since the 1980s and because of that the amount of lime per year has been lowered but the need of liming acidified lakes and streams will continue for many years. |
|---------------|---|--|
| | Current status of action (Please note: 'Completed' means that the overall action is complete for the lifetime of the third reporting cycle. If it is an ongoing action that is reported on annually, it should be marked as 'Ongoing') If 'Completed', has the action achieved its | Ongoing |
| | objective? | |
| Action H2: | Description of action (as submitted in the IP) | Measures to create better knowledge and understanding of the impact of hydropower production and other exploitation of watercourse, on salmon migration and loss of salmon habitat and develop methods to recreate salmon migration routes and restore habitats. Develop a plan for environmentally friendly hydropower production including salmon rivers. Document the distribution of Atlantic salmon in Swedish rivers before hydropower exploitation (1880). Compiling habitat surveys, adding quality assured and new data when required. Development of best available methods to restore salmon habitat. Publish national guidelines for best available technology (BAT) of fish passages. Develop national guidelines for water regulation. |
| | Expected outcome (as submitted in the IP) | Several Atlantic salmon rivers negatively affected by hydropower production could have improved salmon stock status by applying environmental friendly hydropower production. Probably no significant effect on stocks during the IP period 2019-2024, but in the long run. Attain a good basis for planning of restoration efforts, such as connectivity measures, and possibility for environmental consideration in competing river exploitation interests as for example court decision on modern environmentally friendly operational conditions for hydropower plants. The data compilation will form the basis for further actions |

to improve quality and extent of salmon habitats. Web-based guidelines on a planned "Restoration website" of the Swedish Agency for Marine and Water Management. Handbook on BAT for fish passages electronically available. Will facilitate decision-making in planning new fish passages. 6. Recommendations for water regulation successively implemented in water systems with hydropower production, and possibly also in water systems where other water withdrawal occurs. The result of these measures will be monitored and evaluated Approach for as part of the national assessment of salmon production and monitoring effectiveness status of salmon stocks. & enforcement (as submitted in the IP) Progress on action to 1. New legislation requiring modern environmental conditions: A date proposed National Plan was submitted to the government 1st of (Provide a brief overview January 2019 and a new legislation was completed. The with a quantitative Government along with the new legislation gave the Swedish measure, or other justified Agency for Marine and Water Management, The Swedish evaluation, of progress. If Energy Agency and the Svenska Kraftnät (the grid operator), sub-actions are completed the assignment to provide a national plan for the revision of the during the reporting year, hydropower plant licenses. It will be an extensive work as this should be made clear. there are 2100 hydropower plants in Sweden. Planning, Other material (e.g. preparation and coordination have taken place during 2019 website links) will not be 2021. The national plan is to be carried out over an operational evaluated) period of 20 years starting in 2022. A new aspect of the legislation is time-limited environmental requirements with a maximum period of 40 years. The plant owner is responsible to update the license when a requirement is outdated and apply for revision to the Environmental Court. This process can provide goals for each catchment. The environmental plan for hydropower will be a significant game-changer and a major boost in river restoration in Sweden. In the state budget, funding has been dedicated to intensify work with guidelines, supervision, testing and re-evaluation of water activities. This includes the authorities' implementation of the legislation which means that hydropower plants must be provided with modern environmental conditions in a coordinated manner with the greatest possible benefit for the aquatic environment and for efficient national access to hydropower electricity, as well as for river restoration and biological re-establishment. The decision process in environmental courts started in 2022 regarding the Atlantic salmon rivers Bäveån, Enningdalsälven, Fylleån, Genevadsån, Göta älv, Rolfsån, Rönneå, Stensån, Suseån, Tvååkersån and Örekilsälven.

improvement of salmon habitats.

The work has been ongoing during 2023 which includes more detailed planning for carrying out measures such as fish passages, fish friendly water flows and restoration and

| | Current status of action | 2. Document the distribution of Atlantic salmon in Swedish rivers before hydropower exploitation (1880). This action is completed. 3. A compilation of available habitat was made in 1999 and again in 2016. During 2022 a new compilation have be carried out with the assistance of the County Boards. During 2018, a salmon habitat index was developed (score from 0 to 8 depending on habitat quality). The index will enable both habitat size and quality to be assessed in the future. This action is completed. 4. Development of best available methods to restore salmon habitat. In 2021, a manual on aquatic restoration was published in Swedish (replacing the previous manual from 2008). Work on the website will continue until 2024. 5. Publish national guidelines for best available technology (BAT) of fish passages. A new handbook was produced by the Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences (February 2020) and submitted to the Swedish Agency for Marine and Water Management for approval and publication on the Internet. The handbook is now available online at the SWaM home page. This action is completed. 6. A report with recommendations on a number of basic practises regarding appropriate ecological considerations that should always be taken into account when regulating water is available online at the SWaM home page. This action is completed. |
|---------------|--|--|
| | (Please note: 'Completed' means that the overall action is complete for the lifetime of the third reporting cycle. If it is an ongoing action that is reported on annually, it should be marked as 'Ongoing') If 'Completed', has the | Several of the actions are completed and has achieved the |
| | action achieved its objective? | objective. Some of the actions are still ongoing. |
| Action H3: | Description of action (as submitted in the IP) Expected outcome (as submitted in the IP) | Continued improvement of habitat in salmon rivers. Improved conditions facilitating increased smolt production, salmon genetic diversity and general aquatic and riparian biodiversity. |
| | Approach for monitoring effectiveness & enforcement (as submitted in the IP) | Habitat surveys and electrofishing. |
| | Progress on action to date | Restoration and improvement of habitat sites in the rivers Säveån and Stensån has been carried out during 2023. |

| | (Provide a brief overview with a quantitative measure, or other justified evaluation, of progress. If sub-actions are completed during the reporting year, this should be made clear. Other material (e.g. website links) will not be evaluated) | Demolition of a dam structure that impedes fish passage in river Örekilsälven (Lilla Röd) was planned for in 2023. Because of high water levels, it was not possible for the project to be carried out, therefore it is instead planned for 2024. Preparation for the large-scale habitat restoration in the river Rönneån which includes demolition of three hydroelectric dams has been ongoing during 2023. The public has during 2023 been invited to several information meetings, including walking along the riverbank and, for example, watched electrofishing practices. |
|--------|---|---|
| | Current status of action (Please note: 'Completed' means that the overall action is complete for the lifetime of the third reporting cycle. If it is an ongoing action that is reported on annually, it should be marked as 'Ongoing') If 'Completed', has the | Ongoing |
| Action | action achieved its objective? Description of action | Systematically evaluate risk of introduction of alien species and |
| H4: | (as submitted in the IP) Expected outcome (as submitted in the IP) | measures to prevent introduction and dispersal of alien species. Improved ability to prevent introduction of alien species, detect and identify alien species and take measures against alien species. Such as <i>Oncorhynchus</i> species. Good detection ability and awareness of the risks of introduction |
| | Approach for monitoring effectiveness & enforcement (as submitted in the IP) | of alien species. Monitoring as well as prevention measures for dispersal of invasive species or if possible their removal. Good detection ability and awareness for the monitoring of <i>Oncorhynchus</i> species. |
| | Progress on action to date (Provide a brief overview with a quantitative measure, or other justified evaluation, of progress. If sub-actions are completed during the reporting year | A national website was published in 2019 were the public can identify and report alien species as for example Oncorhynchus species (Reporting (artfakta.se)). The website was updated in 2021. Responsible authorities' websites regarding alien species have been improved to enable the public to recognize invasive species and awareness of the importance of removing alien species such as pink salmon. |
| | during the reporting year, this should be made clear. Other material (e.g. website links) will not be evaluated) | During 2023, the Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, in collaboration with NINA in Norway, investigated the spread of pink salmon in Swedish Atlantic rivers using eDNA and worked to increase awareness and reporting of pink salmon as part of a two-year research project (www.slu.se/pink-salmon). The research project mapping pink salmon will run 2023-2024. |

| | Sweden is also partaking in a NASCO EU eDNA project regarding pink salmon monitoring. |
|---|---|
| Current status of action (Please note: 'Completed' means that the overall action is complete for the lifetime of the third reporting cycle. If it is an ongoing action that is reported on annually, it should be marked as | Ongoing |
| 'Ongoing') If 'Completed', has the action achieved its objective? | |

3.3 Provide an update on progress on actions relating to Aquaculture, Introductions and Transfers and Transgenics (section 4.11 of the Implementation Plan).

Note: the reports under 'Progress on action to date' should provide a brief overview of each action. Please report in relation to the reporting year only or the most relevant recent year. For all actions, provide clear and concise quantitative information to demonstrate progress. In circumstances where quantitative information cannot be provided for a particular action because of its nature, a clear rationale must be given for not providing quantitative information and other information should be provided to enable progress with that action to be evaluated. While referring to additional material (e.g. via links to websites) may assist those seeking more detailed information, this will not be evaluated by the Review Group.

| | 1 | |
|------------|-----------------------------|---|
| Action | Description of action | Continued monitoring of <i>Gyrodactylus salaris</i> , and develop a |
| A1: | (as submitted in the IP) | road map and contingency plan to prevent spread of |
| | | Gyrodactylus salaris to not infected rivers. |
| | Expected outcome | Quality assured data on presence and prevalence of the parasite. |
| | (as submitted in the IP) | Decided actions to be undertaken if the parasite spreads to new |
| | | water systems close to Norway or Finland. Relevant authorities |
| | | and stakeholders identified. The parasite is considered endemic |
| | | to the Baltic sea area. |
| | Approach for | In the present program, salmon fry and parr are collected with |
| | monitoring effectiveness | electrofishing and then screened for Gyrodactylus. Cooperation |
| | & enforcement | with Norway to determine species and haplotype. eDNA may be |
| | (as submitted in the IP) | introduced in the monitoring from 2020. |
| | (as submitted in the IF) | Existing monitoring program (Action A1). |
| | Progress on action to | The monitoring programme has continued as planned. The |
| | date | Gyrodactylus Contingency plan and Roadmap is under |
| | (Provide a brief overview | development. |
| | , | de velopinent. |
| | with a quantitative | |
| | measure, or other justified | |
| | evaluation, of progress. If | |
| | sub-actions are completed | |
| | during the reporting year, | |
| | this should be made clear. | |
| | Other material (e.g. | |
| | website links) will not be | |
| | evaluated) | |

| | Current status of action | Ongoing |
|--------|---|---|
| | (Please note: 'Completed' | |
| | means that the overall | |
| | action is complete for the | |
| | lifetime of the third | |
| | reporting cycle. If it is an | |
| | ongoing action that is | |
| | reported on annually, it | |
| | should be marked as | |
| | 'Ongoing') | |
| | If 'Completed', has the | |
| | action achieved its | |
| | objective? | |
| Action | Description of action (as submitted in the IP) | Develop the national ability to genetically identify alien Atlantic salmon (<i>Salmo salar</i>). |
| A2: | | Ability to identify alien species and stocks. According to the |
| | Expected outcome | impending new legislation only fin-clipped salmon can be |
| | (as submitted in the IP) | landed in stocked salmon rivers. If escapees from salmon farms |
| | | occur, they will have intact adipose fins (and cannot be |
| | | harvested in the river fishery). It is important to rapidly be able |
| | | to identify these fish genetically so that they may be removed |
| | | when they pass fish ladders or are caught in brood stock fishery. |
| | Approach for | Comparing genetic analyses with other countries will secure for |
| | monitoring effectiveness | good detection ability. |
| | & enforcement | |
| | (as submitted in the IP) | |
| | Progress on action to | A compilation of the genetic status of stocks was published in |
| | date | 2020 (Söderberg et al 2020, in Swedish) and the microsatellites |
| | (Provide a brief overview | used by the Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences to |
| | with a quantitative | identify salmon species has been calibrated against the SalSea |
| | measure, or other justified | baseline in 2020 (Palm & Söderberg 2020). A genetic study published in 2021 (Palm et al 2021) also indicate the extent of |
| | evaluation, of progress. If | genetic introgression of farmed salmon on wild populations. |
| | sub-actions are completed | The genetic baseline has been further improved in 2022 by |
| | during the reporting year, this should be made clear. | adding data from 5 rivers and is now considered completed. |
| | Other material (e.g. | |
| | website links) will not be | |
| | evaluated) | |
| | Current status of action | Completed |
| | (Please note: 'Completed' | |
| | means that the overall | |
| | action is complete for the | |
| | lifetime of the third | |
| | reporting cycle. If it is an | |
| | ongoing action that is reported on annually, it | |
| | should be marked as | |
| | 'Ongoing') | |
| | If 'Completed', has the | yes |
| | action achieved its | U ~ 4 |
| | objective? | |
| | objective: | |

4: Additional information required under the Convention

- 4.1 Details of any laws, regulations and programmes that have been adopted or repealed since the last notification.
- 4.2 Details of any new commitments concerning the adoption or maintenance in force for specified periods of time of conservation, restoration, and other management measures.
- 4.3 Details of any new actions to prohibit fishing for salmon beyond 12 nautical miles.
- 4.4 Details of any new actions to invite the attention of States not party to the Convention to matters relating to the activities of its vessels which could adversely affect salmon stocks subject to the Convention.
- 4.5 Details of any actions taken to implement regulatory measures under Article 13 of the Convention including imposition of adequate penalties for violations.

North American Commission Members only:

- 4.6 Details of any new measures to minimise bycatches of salmon originating in the rivers of the other member.
- 4.7 Details of any alteration to fishing patterns that result in the initiation of fishing or increase in catches of salmon originating in the rivers of another Party except with the consent of the latter.