CNL(24)74

Pink salmon at sea: Current knowledge, overlap, and potential interactions

Beatriz Diaz Pauli and Kjell R. Utne







Current knowledge

- Spatial distribution in the North Atlantic Ocean Potential migration patterns • Marine diet in the Northeast Atlantic



Pink salmon at sea

Potential interactions: Overlapping diet and distribution

Spatial distribution





Spatial distribution





















Spatial distribution in the North Atlantic Ocean

Distribution expansion linked to high temperature



Spatial distribution in the North Atlantic Ocean

Distribution expansion linked to high temperature

VKM et al. (2020): sea surface temperature in May around Finmark coast and Svalbard (marked yellow) correlates with number of pink salmon returning to rivers the following year.





Spatial distribution in the North Atlantic Ocean

Distribution expansion linked to high temperature



VKM et al. (2020): sea surface temperature in May around Finmark coast and Svalbard (marked yellow) correlates with number of pink salmon returning to rivers the following year.

Maduna et al. (2024): High habitat suitability (marked yellow) related to temperature and precipitation at the time of river ascent.

Still potential for expansion!





Spatial distribution in the North Atlantic Ocean

Based on river records Very little known about distribution in offshore areas



Spatial distribution in the North Atlantic Ocean

Norwegian marine data:



Spatial distribution in the North Atlantic Ocean

Norwegian marine data:

- I. Marine (IMR: Norway, Denmark, Faroe) • Scientific surveys targeting other pelagic species: International Ecosystem Survey in Nordic Seas (May)
- 2. Coastal fishery (ssb.no, NINA)
- Salmonid
- Recreational
- 3. Norwegian Reference Fleet (IMR)





Norwegian marine data





Norwegian marine data









Probable return migration:

Upwards close to Norwegian coast before entering the Barents Sea and rivers

Probability of capture highest: on the eastern side close to the coast up towards the entrance to the Barents Sea





Potential migration patterns



Possible sea migration:

• Some stay in eastern part of the Barents Sea

seldom caught in Norwegian surveys in Barents Sea catching other small pelagic fish





Potential migration patterns



Possible sea migration:

• Some stay in eastern part of the Barents Sea

seldom caught in Norwegian surveys in Barents Sea catching other small pelagic fish

> Maybe post-smolts are in upper layers and taken eastwards by the Norwegian coastal currents towards Novaja Zemlya

> > (Diaz Pauli et al. 2023)



Marine migration



Possible sea migration:

- Some stay in eastern part of the Barents Sea
- Others go west into north of Norwegian Sea







Possible sea migration:

- Some stay in eastern part of the Barents Sea
- Others go west into north of Norwegian Sea

Taken southwards with Arctic waters to Ireland &



They eat what they find:

- South: krill
- Northwest: amphipods
- Northeast: fish



Distribution: May-June









Distribution: May-June









Atlantic salmon



Geographic distribution & diet overlaps

Potential for interaction



(Diaz Pauli et al. 2023; Gilbey et al. 2020; Utne et al. 2022)



Distribution: May-June



Geographic distribution & diet overlaps





Atlantic salmon



Potential for interaction

Actual evidence ?

Amphipod diet



(Diaz Pauli et al. 2023; Gilbey et al. 2020; Utne et al. 2022)

Potential interactions Evidence











Evidence ----- No consensus







Evidence \longrightarrow No consensus







Evidence ----- No consensus



Evidence from Asia Negligible effects



Evidence — No consensus

Evidence from Asia Negligible effects

Evidence — No consensus

Evidence from Asia Negligible effects

Evidence \longrightarrow No consensus

Evidence from North America Strong top-down effects

Differences in productivity

Pink salmon catches

Proportion of pink salmon

2.86 million tonnes

II2 085 tonnes

(FAO 2023; NPAFC 2023)

North Atlantic

Evidence from Asia Negligible effects

Evidence from Asia Negligible effects

North Atlantic

Evidence from North America Strong top-down effects

* 350 000 pink salmon caught in Norway 2023

Evidence from Asia Negligible effects

Negligible effects in offshore ecosystems

North Atlantic

Local effects: Coastal areas, fjords, estuaries

Pink salmon intensively feed: in coastal areas when migrating towards rivers in estuaries after leaving rivers

North Atlantic

(VKM 2020; Bengtsson et al. 2023; Erkinaro et al. (2023)

Local effects: Coastal areas, fjords, estuaries

Pink salmon intensively feed: in coastal areas when migrating towards rivers in estuaries after leaving rivers

North Atlantic

Coastal feeders: Sea trout, Artic char

(VKM 2020; Bengtsson et al. 2023; Erkinaro et al. (2023)

- North Atlantic
- Local effects: Coastal areas, fjords, estuaries
- Only direct comparison pink salmon vs. Artic char

Potential interactions North Atlantic

- Local effects: Coastal areas, fjords, estuaries
- Only direct comparison pink salmon vs. Artic char

Intermediate-high invertebrate diet overlap

Potential interactions North Atlantic

- Local effects: Coastal areas, fjords, estuaries
- Only direct comparison pink salmon vs. Artic char

Intermediate-high invertebrate diet overlap

But char ate more pelagic fish, pink salmon more demersal fish

Local effects: Coastal areas, fjords, estuaries

Pink salmon intensively feed: in coastal areas when migrating towards rivers in estuaries after leaving rivers

Local competition for prey

North Atlantic

Coastal feeders: Sea trout, Artic char

(VKM 2020; Bengtsson et al. 2023; Erkinaro et al. (2023)

Local effects: Coastal areas, fjords, estuaries

How long do they stay in coastal areas?

Pink salmon intensively feed: in coastal areas when migrating towards rivers in estuaries after leaving rivers

Local competition for prey

North Atlantic

Coastal feeders: Sea trout, Artic char

(VKM 2020; Bengtsson et al. 2023; Erkinaro et al. (2023)

Adults mainly overlap

Local competition for prey

- North Atlantic
- Local effects: Coastal areas, fjords, estuaries

Local effects: Coastal areas, fjords, estuaries

Example Different populations of coastal cod have different life history and behaviour

North Atlantic

North Atlantic

Local effects: Coastal areas, fjords, estuaries

Example Different populations of coastal cod have different life history and behaviour

Local competition for prey

Pink salmon might strongly impact some populations but not others

Potential interactions North Atlantic

Lack knowledge Extrapolating from Pacific useful, but maybe not always applicable Introduced pink salmon are expanding, but also evolving

Potential interactions North Atlantic

Lack knowledge Extrapolating from Pacific useful, but maybe not always applicable Introduced pink salmon are expanding, but also evolving

Shorter foraging marine phase Equal or larger size —> Faster growth Higher fecundity, but smaller eggs Longer migration routes?

Introduced pink salmon in White & Barents Seas:

(Gordeeva and Salmenkova 2011)

Conclusion Pink salmon in North Atlantic

Geographic distribution & diet overlaps with other fishes

Potential impact:

- Limited offshore
- Larger locally in coastal areas

Conclusion Pink salmon in North Atlantic

Geographic distribution & diet overlaps with other fishes

Potential impact:

- Limited offshore
- Larger locally in coastal areas

Lack knowledge from introduced areas - Ecological impact in local areas: Where are the post-smolts? How long do they stay in the coast?

Life history: Invasive potential?

Lack knowledge from introduced areas Pink salmon in North Atlantic

26th **February** 2024 67 cm

Lack knowledge from introduced areas Pink salmon in North Atlantic

Lack knowledge from introduced areas

Thank you for listening