NORTH ATLANTIC SALMON CONSERVATION ORGANIZATION

ORGANISATION POUR LA CONSERVATION DU SAUMON DE L'ATLANTIQUE NORD



Agenda item 6.7(c) For information

Council

CNL(00)25

**Returns Made Under the Oslo Resolution** 

11 Rutland Square Edinburgh EH1 2AS Scotland UK Telephone: (Int+44) 131 228 2551 Fax: (Int+44) 131 228 4384 e-mail: hq@nasco.org.uk website:www.nasco.org.uk



### CNL(00)25

### **Returns Made Under the Oslo Resolution**

- 1. The Resolution by the Parties to the Convention for the Conservation of Salmon in the North Atlantic Ocean to Minimise Impacts from Salmon Aquaculture on the Wild Salmon Stocks (the "Oslo Resolution") was adopted by the Council in 1994. Under Article 5 of the Resolution each Party is required to provide to the Organization, on an annual basis, information of a scope to be determined by the Council concerning measures adopted under Article 2 (measures to minimise genetic and other biological interactions), Article 3 (measures to minimise the risk of transmission of diseases and parasites to the wild stocks of salmon) and on research and development (Article 4).
- 2. In 1998 the Council adopted a revised, more detailed format for the returns by the Parties under the Oslo Resolution so as to ensure that the Organization has available to it comprehensive information concerning the measures in force when deciding if additional measures to those contained in the Oslo Resolution may be necessary. The request for the return of information was circulated on 13 January 2000. The returns as provided by the Parties are attached.
- 3. Last year the Council asked that the format for returns under the Oslo Resolution be reviewed so as to identify any ambiguity and to make any necessary improvements. This we attempted to do by incorporating some guidance notes on completion of the form. For example, where previously reported measures still apply we had proposed that there was no need to repeat the information but that the return should indicate that this was the case. However, there are still some inconsistencies in the returns since some Parties have provided details only of new measures which have been introduced since the last return while others have included previously reported measures together with new measures.
- 4. The Council might, therefore, wish to consider whether in subsequent returns it wishes only to be advised of new measures. If so, the return form could be amended to make this clear and a considerably shorter report presented to the Council. Information returned to the Organization in all earlier returns has been incorporated in a database and the information is now available to the Parties if requested.

Secretary Edinburgh 12 May 2000 ć

# 'Returns made in accordance with the Oslo Resolution for year 2000.

	1	0 11/
Part:	1	General Measures
Section:	1.1	Sites
Item:	1.1.1	Sites only to be assigned for aquaculture where hydrographical, epidemiological, biological and ecological standards can be met
Canada:	Fede New of F	eral siting guidelines exist and are used; existing guidelines have been upgraded in Brunswick and should be adopted in mid-1999 and fully implemented by 2002 (Bay undy Site Allocation and Administrative Policy).
Denmar	k (in r	espect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):
Faroe Islands:	The Islar - env - a g - a g - ger be co	Ministry of Trade and Industry is working jointly with other ministries of the Faroe ads on updating the whole administration concerning aquaculture including: vironmental impact; eneral contingency plan for possible outbreak of new diseases; eneral fish health programme; neral measurements of the sustainability of each locality. This work should probably completed during 2001.
Greenlan	d Nos	almon aquaculture in Greenland.
Europea	n Uni	on:
Denmark	No r	eturn received.
England & Wales:	No r	narine salmon aquaculture sites. (One small estuary site currently in use.)
Finland		
Ireland	Strin	gent impact assessment is carried out prior to licencing.
Northern Ireland:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.
Scotland:	New 1999	"Environmental Impact Assessment (Fish Farming in Marine Waters) Regulations "introduced. Assessment required under these for most new or modified fish farms.
Sweden:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.
France:	No r	eturn received.
Spain:	No r	eturn received.
Iceland:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.
Norway:	Norv rule spec	vegian legislation regulates all relevant elements under this article, and - as a general - the assigned sites meet the regulations. Before taking into use, all sites must have ific permits under the Aquaculture Act, the Fish Disease Act, and the Pollution Act.
Russian Federati	No n on:	neasures reported.

U.S.A.: No change to previously reported measures.

# Part: 1 General Measures

1

Section:	1.1	Sites			
Item:	1.1.2	Siting of units to avoid risk of damage by collision			
Canada:	By f navi	federal regulation, sites are marked on nautical charts and sites are not located in gation channels etc.			
Denmark	t (in r	espect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):			
Faroe Islands:	No o	change to previously reported measures.			
Greenland	l No s	salmon aquaculture in Greenland.			
European	n Uni	on:			
Denmark:	No r	eturn received.			
England & Wales:	Not	Not applicable.			
Finland					
Ireland	Sites and	s are subject to approval of the Engineering Division of the Department of the Marine Natural Resources			
Northern Ireland:	No c	change to previously reported measures.			
Scotland:	No c	change to previously reported measures.			
Sweden:	No a	actions.			
France:	No r	eturn received.			
Spain:	No r	eturn received.			
Iceland:	No c	change to previously reported measures.			
Norway:	Norv	wegian legislation covers this by requiring a permit under the Harbour Act.			
Russian	No r	neasures reported.			
Federatio	on:				
U.S.A.:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.			

Part:	1	General Measures			
Section:	1.1	Sites			
Item:	1.1.3	Adequate marking of aquaculture units			
Canada:	By fe	ederal regulation, sites are marked by lights and other visible markers.			
Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):					
Faroe Islands:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.			
Greenland	l No s	almon aquaculture in Greenland.			
European	n Unic	on:			

Denmark: No return received.

England & Wales:	Not applicable.
Finland	
Ireland	Sites must be clearly marked
Northern Ireland:	No change to previously reported measures.
Scotland:	No change to previously reported measures.
Sweden:	No change to previously reported measures.
France:	No return received.
Spain:	No return received.
Iceland:	No change to previously reported measures.
Norway:	All aquaculture units are required by regulations to use lights and to put up signs showing permit number. The signs must be made according to specific regulations.
Russian	No measures reported.
Federatio	n:
U.S.A.:	No change to previously reported measures.

Part:	1	General Measures
Section:	1.2	Operations
Item:	1.2.1	Management of aquaculture units to prevent and control diseases and parasites
Canada:	<i>a</i> : Provinces have official veterinarians with varying levels of regulatory authority (according to wording of provincial Aquaculture Acts).	

### Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):

Faroe No change to previously reported measures. Islands:

Greenland No salmon aquaculture in Greenland.

### **European Union:**

Denmark: No return received.

**England** No change to previously reported measures.

& Wales:

### Finland

Ireland Control of diseases and parasites is subject to mandatory Single Bay Management of sites. Treatment of sea-lice compulsory if minimum infestations are exceeded. All disease outbreaks are notifiable to the Dept. of the Marine and Natural Resources.

Northern No change to previously reported measures.

- Ireland:
- Scotland: No change to previously reported measures.

Sweden: No change to previously reported measures.

France: No return received.

Spain: No return received.

*Iceland:* No change to previously reported measures.

**Norway:** Existing regulations for operation of aquaculture units contains a number of provisions to prevent and control diseases and parasites.

1997: A national action-plan regarding sea-lice established in 1997 is being revised every year.

1998: New regulations in order to control the sea-lice infestations were established in 1998 and were revised during 1999.

1999: New regulations connected to the Fish Disease Act and the Aquaculture Act came into force on the 1st January 1999. Regular health control is now mandatory for all aquaculture units in Norway. Health and origin certificate is required.

2000: This year, a new regulation regarding sea-lice came into force.

Russian No measures reported.

### Federation:

U.S.A.: No change to previously reported measures.

Part:	1	General Measures
Section:	1.2	Operations
Item:	1.2.2	Management of aquaculture units to prevent escape of fish
Canada:	Cont Cont proce	ainment Codes of Practice under development; Newfoundland has upgraded its ainment Guidelines with enhanced monitoring and enforcement principles and edures; Industry in Nova Scotia developing a Code of Practice.
Denmark	t (in re	espect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):
Faroe Islands:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.
Greenland	l No sa	almon aquaculture in Greenland.
European	n Unic	on:
Denmark:	No re	eturn received.
England & Wales:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.
Finland		
Ireland	Imm Natu	ediate and compulsory reporting of fish escapes to Department of the Marine and ral Resources with follow up assessment required.
Northern Ireland:	No cl	hange to previously reported measures.
Scotland:	No cl	hange to previously reported measures.

Sweden: No change to previously reported measures.

France: No return received.

Spain: No return received.

*Iceland:* No change to previously reported measures.

**Norway:** New regulations connected to the Fish Disease Act and the Aquaculture Act came into force on the 1st January 1999. These regulations contain new provisions to prevent escapes of fish.

Russian No measures reported.

# Federation:

**U.S.A.:** The commercial aquaculture industry in Maine adopted a voluntary code of practice for the responsible containment of farmed Atlantic salmon. There was more discussion of this issue in the past year but there is no change to report at this time.

# Part: 1 General Measures

Section: 1.3 Transfers

- Item: 1.3.1 Transfers conducted so as to minimise potential for disease/parasite transmission and for genetic and other biological interactions
- **Canada:** By federal regulation (in Atlantic Canada) under the Fisheries Act, live fish may not move within a province, across provincial boundaries or into Canada without a license to transfer and release under S. 56 of the Fishery (General) Regulations. A fish health certificate is also required for interprovincial or into Canada movement. Some provinces also control within-province movement by provincial licenses.

# Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):

Faroe No change to previously reported measures.

Islands:

Greenland No salmon aquaculture in Greenland.

# European Union:

Denmark: No return received.

<b>England</b> No change to previous reported measur	ed measures.	previous reported	No change to	England
--	--------------	-------------------	--------------	---------

& Wales:

Finland All salmon transfers to the rivers Teno and Naatamo watersheds are prohibited.

Ireland All stocks must be certified as disease free by the Fish Health Unit of the Marine Institute prior to any transfer. Use of vaccines and treatments has reduced the incidence of disease in transfer.

Northern No change to previously reported measures.

Ireland:

Scotland: No change to previously reported measures.

Sweden: No transfers have been reported.

- France: No return received.
- Spain: No return received.

*Iceland:* No change to previously reported measures.

**Norway:** Regulations for transfers of fish meet the general need to avoid spreading of diseases and parasites as well as other biological interactions within the country. Health and origin certificate is required.

5

*Russian* No measures reported.

Federation:

U.S.A.: New fish health regulations were adopted by the State of Maine in 1999.

Part:	1	General Measures
Section:	1.3	Transfers
Item:	1.3.2	Introduction of mechanisms to control transfers where necessary
Canada:	By f Nati Aqu Ana	Tederal regulation fish may not be introduced to waters without a license. The onal Code for Introductions and Transfers is to be signed by Fisheries and aculture Ministers in August 2000. It will ensure uniform application of Risk lysis evaluation criteria prior to movements.
Denmari	k (in r	espect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):
Faroe Islands:	No c	change to previously reported measures.
Greenland	d Nos	almon aquaculture in Greenland.
Europea	n Uni	on:
Denmark	No r	return received.
England & Wales:	No c	change to previously reported measures.
Finland		
Ireland	Cert	ification of disease free status required before transfers can take place.
Northern Ireland:	No c	change to previously reported measures.
Scotland:	No c	change to previously reported measures.
Sweden:	No c	change to previously reported measures.
France:	No r	eturn received.
Spain:	No r	eturn received.
Iceland:	No c	change to previously reported measures.
Norway:	In or may be er of ac	rder to control specific serious diseases, geographical zones or disease combat zones be established. Special measures, as for example a ban on movement of animals, can nforced within the zones. Further limitations may follow from possible introduction quaculture regions which still are under consideration.
Russian	No n	neasures reported.
Federati	on:	

**U.S.A.:** No change to previously reported measures.

Part: 2	2 Measures to Minimise Genetic and Other Biological Interactions
Section: 3	
Section. 2	2.1 Design Standards for Aquaculture Units
Item: 2	2.1.1 Establishment of standards and technical specifications for the design and deployment of aquaculture units (marine and freshwater)
Canada:	Containment Codes of Practice (including standards) under development; Codes will lead to area specific standards required by provincial licensing authorities as basis for regulation.
Denmark	(in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):
Faroe Islands:	No change to previously reported measures.
Greenland	No salmon aquaculture in Greenland.
European	u Union:
Denmark:	No return received.
England & Wales:	
Finland	
Ireland	
Northern Ireland:	No change to previously reported measures.
Scotland:	No change to previously reported measures.
Sweden:	No action.
France:	No return received.
Spain:	No return received.
Iceland:	No change to previously reported measures.
Norway:	A system for classification of technical equipment for use in the aquaculture industry has been proposed as an element in the efforts to prevent escapes. The proposal is limited to new aquaculture plants and new equipment, but will cover equipment for use in freshwater as well as the sea. Existing plants will be covered by this system when major components are changed. The new system will involve the preparation of new regulations and new provisions in the Aquaculture Act.
	2000: Norwegian authorities will take a decision on this proposition this year, and the implementation of the revised regulations will come in the near future.
Russian Federatio	No measures reported.
U.S.A.:	No change to previously reported measures.

Section: 2.1 Design Standards for Aquaculture Units

Item: 2.1.2 Optimisation of containment of fish through use of appropriate technology for prevailing conditions

**Canada:** Containment Code of Practice under development; others Codes relating to habitat protection in preparation; this is good management practice; under provincial jurisdiction; Newfoundland Containment Guidelines specify net type and configuration and specify minimum smolt size.

### Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):

Faroe Islands:	No change to previously reported measures.
Greenland	No salmon aquaculture in Greenland.
European	Union:
Denmark:	No return received.
England & Wales:	No change to previously reported measures.
Finland	
Ireland	
Northern Ireland:	No change to previously reported measures.
Scotland:	ISA suspect farms required to monitor security of cages and reassess anti-predator arrangements.
Sweden:	No action.
France:	No return received.
Spain:	No return received.
Iceland:	No change to previously reported measures.
Norway:	In general the industry uses appropriate technology for prevailing conditions. There are no specific requirements for use of specific technology in today's regulations, but these factors are considered by the authorities before giving localisation permits. The new classification system mentioned above (2.1.1), will include provisions for the containment of fish at the assigned sites.
	2000: The system for classification of technical equipment for use in the aquaculture industry will come in the near future.
	The scheme of approval (TYGUT) can be considered as a barrier to trade and as such not in accordance with the European Economic Agreement (EEA). With reference to the regulations of exception due to environmental reasons, it is assumed that the scheme will be accepted by EEC, but a notification to the EEA countries will be necessary.
	Additional information is attached separately.
Russian	No measures reported.

# Federation:

U.S.A.: No change to previously reported measures.

Part:	2	Measures to Minimise Genetic and Other Biological Interactions
Section:	2.1	Design Standards for Aquaculture Units
Item:	2.1.3	Regular routine inspection and maintenance of aquaculture systems and upgrading of equipment as new technological improvements become available
Canada:	Goo indu Cont repla	d management practice; under provincial jurisdiction and done regularly by stry. Not legislated; individuals do as circumstances dictate and permit; tainment Code of Practice would require upgrading to new standards (as cages uced).
Denmari	k (in r	espect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):
Faroe Islands:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.
Greenland	d Nos	almon aquaculture in Greenland.
Europea	n Uni	on:
Denmark	No r	eturn received.
England & Wales:		
Finland		
Ireland	Bein	g carried out
Northern Ireland:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.
Scotland:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.
Sweden:	No a	ction.
France:	No r	eturn received.
Spain:	No r	eturn received.
Iceland:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.
Norway:	In ad the a this v cove work Aqua	dition to the government spot control scheme, implementation of internal control in quaculture industry and aquaculture related enterprises is under consideration and will cover inter alia inspections and maintenance. (Upgrading of equipment is red under 2.1.1). Internal control is already in effect on areas such as environment, ters health and safety. A co-ordinated system for internal control with a basis in the aculture Act, the Fish Disease Act and the Animal Welfare Act has been proposed.
	2000	: A full implementation of internal control will come in near future.
	Addi	tional information is given in separate enclosure
Russian Federatio	No n 0 <i>n:</i>	neasures reported.
U.S.A.:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.
Part:	2	Measures to Minimise Genetic and Other Biological Interactions

.

Section: 2.1 Design Standards for Aquaculture Units

Canada: Good management practice; regulated in the case of fish health quarantine units.

### Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):

Faroe No change to previously reported measures.

Islands:

Greenland No salmon aquaculture in Greenland.

### **European Union:** Denmark: No return received. England No change to previously reported measures. & Wales: Finland Ireland Being carried out Northern **Ireland:** Scotland: ISA suspect farms required to monitor security of cages and reassess anti-predator arrangements. No action. Sweden: France: No return received. Spain: No return received. No change to previously reported measures. Iceland: All aquaculture units are required by regulations to perform daily inspections and regular Norwav: control and maintenance. The regulations also require the keeping of a logbook and

contingency plans in the event of large escapes. Units must be controlled immediately after storms, and new regulations require regular gear fishing around the sea cages within 500 m of the unit. Any number of escapees, or suspicion of such, must be reported immediately. Monitoring with the purpose of checking the relative number of escapedfarmed fish in rivers, fjords and coastal areas has been on going since 1989.

Russian No measures reported.

### Federation:

U.S.A.: No change to previously	y reported measur	es.
---------------------------------	-------------------	-----

Part:	2	Measures to Minimise Genetic and Other Biological Interactions
Section:	2.2	Salmon Enhancement
Item:	2.2.1	Use of local stocks wherever possible
Canada: By regulation and/or guidelines.		
Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):		
Faroe Islands:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.
Greenland	d No s	almon aquaculture in Greenland.

10

	Europea	n Union:		
	Denmark:	No return received.		
England & Wales:		No change to previously reported measures.		
	Finland			
	Ireland	This concept is accepted by the Department of the Marine and Natural Resources. New policy on enhancement stocks and stocking under review.		
	Northern Ireland:	No change to previously reported measures.		
	Scotland:	No change to previously reported measures.		
	Sweden:	No change to previously reported measures.		
	France:	No return received.		
	Spain:	No return received.		
	Iceland:	No change to previously reported measures.		
	Norway:	Releases are in general prohibited, and special permission is required to release salmon for enhancement purposes. Such permission includes conditions regarding the brood-stock, and the use of local brood-stocks will be required whenever this is possible. (See 2.2.2).		
<b>Russian</b> Only local stock is used for the release of smolt in the streams.		Only local stock is used for the release of smolt in the streams.		
Federation:		on:		
	U.S.A.:	No change to previously reported measures.		
9				
9	Part:	2 Measures to Minimise Genetic and Other Biological Interactions		
	Section:	2.2 Salmon Enhancement		
	<b>T</b> .			

Item: 2.2.2 Implementation of criteria for broodstock selection and management

Canada: Random sampling for broodstock purposes to maintain genetic diversity.

# Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):

Faroe No change to previously reported measures. Islands:

Greenland No salmon aquaculture in Greenland.

# European Union:

Denmark: No return received.

**England** No change to previously reported measures.

& Wales:

Finland

Ireland New policy under review.

Northern No change to previously reported measures.

Ireland:

Scotland:	No change to previously reported measures.
Sweden:	No change to previously reported measures.
France:	No return received.
Spain:	No return received.
Iceland:	No change to previously reported measures.
Norway:	Modern enhancement activities aim primarily to stimulate good conditions for natural spawning, with release of reared juvenile salmon from local broodstocks as a subsidiary measure. The use of reared juveniles follows guidelines based on good salmon management practices, the situation in the river in question and a precautionary approach.
Russian	No measures reported.
Federatio	n:
U.S.A.:	No change to previously reported measures.

Part:	2	Measures to Minimise Genetic and Other Biological Interactions
Section:	2.3	Salmon ranching
Item:	2.3.1	Use of local stocks or alternatively local ranching stocks
Canada:	Not	practiced in Canada.
Denmarl	k (in r	espect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):
Faroe Islands:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.
Greenland	d Nos	almon aquaculture in Greenland.
Europea	n Uni	on:
Denmark	No r	eturn received.
England & Wales:	No r	anching in England and Wales.
Finland		
Ireland	This polic	concept is accepted by the Department of the Marine and Natural Resources. New cy on enhancement stocks and stocking under review.
Northern Ireland:	No s	almon ranching in Northern Ireland.
Scotland:	No r	anching.
Sweden:	No r	anching has been carried out.
France:	No r	eturn received.
Spain:	No r	eturn received.
Iceland:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.
Norway:	At pr Norv prog shov	resent there is no salmon ranching in Norway. The potential for sea ranching in way based on salmon, cod, char and lobster has been explored through a special ramme to promote commercial development. The programme, named PUSH, has what with the present knowledge and level of costs, industrial sea ranching based

on fish species is not economically feasible.

Russian No measures reported.

# Federation:

-18

U.S.A.: Not applicable - no salmon ranching in the United States.

Part:	2	Measures to Minimise Genetic and Other Biological Interactions
Section:	2.3	Salmon ranching
Item:	2.3.2	Harvesting of ranched fish at or close to release site or in fisheries managed in a way that prevents over-harvesting of wild stocks
Canada:	Not	practiced in Canada.
Denmar	k (in r	espect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):
Faroe Islands:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.
Greenland	d Nos	almon aquaculture in Greenland.
Europea	n Uni	on:
Denmark	No r	eturn received.
England & Wales:	Not	applicable.
Finland		
Ireland	This concept is accepted by the Department of the Marine and Natural Resources. I policy on enhancement stocks and stocking under review	
Northern Ireland:	Not	applicable.
Scotland:	Not	applicable.
Sweden:	No a	ction.
France:	No r	eturn received.
Spain:	No r	eturn received.
Iceland:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.
Norway:	At pr Norv prog show on fi	resent there is no salmon ranching in Norway. The potential for sea ranching in vay based on salmon, cod, char and lobster has been explored through a special ramme to promote commercial development. The programme, named PUSH, has on that with the present knowledge and level of costs, industrial sea ranching based sh species is not economically feasible.
Russian	No n	neasures reported.
Federati	on:	
U.S.A.:	Nota	applicable - no salmon farming in the United States.

Part:	2	Measures to Minimise Genetic and Other Biological Interactions
Section:	2.4	Salmon farming

Ϋ.

Canada: By regulation in some provinces; adherence to NASCO/NAC Protocols.

### Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):

Faroe No change to previously reported measures. Islands:

Greenland No salmon aquaculture in Greenland.

### **European Union:**

Denmark:No return received.EnglandNot applicable.& Wales:

-------

Finland

Ireland	Not currently in practise.
Northern Ireland:	No change to previously reported measures.
Scotland:	Not applicable.
Sweden:	No change to previously reported measures.
France:	No return received.
Spain:	No return received.
Iceland:	No change to previously reported measures.
Norway:	In general, use of local brood-stocks is neither feasible nor interesting in the Norwegian aquaculture industry. There are exceptions, however, where local stocks may be included in the development of special production strategies, inter alia in isolated fjord systems with rivers and salmon stocks of unique national and international value.
Russian	No measures reported.

### Federation:

U.S.A.: No change to previously reported measures.

Part:	2	Measures to Minimise Genetic and Other Biological Interactions
Section:	2.4	Salmon farming
Item:	2.4.2	Efforts to recapture escaped farmed salmon
Canada	Enc	ouraged by governments; done when human safety not put at risk.

### Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):

Faroe No change to previously reported measures.

Islands:

Greenland No salmon aquaculture in Greenland.

### European Union:

Denmark: No return received.

	England & Wales:	Not applicable.
	Finland	In the rivers Teno and Naatamo there are no special efforts.
	Ireland	Carried out in limited circumstances, e.g. at times when this will not result in large captures of wild fish as by-catch. Traps may be operated in some circumstances.
	Northern Ireland:	No change to previously reported measures.
	Scotland:	Not applicable.
	Sweden:	No change to previously reported measures.
	France:	No return received.
	Spain:	No return received.
	Iceland:	No change to previously reported measures.
$\cap$	Norway:	The efficiency of existing recapture systems in the aquaculture industry is poor. From 1999 salmon farmers have an obligation to recapture escapees. In the last few years, regional authorities have at times opened an extraordinary general fishery in order to recapture escapees.
	Russian	No measures reported.
	Federatio	n:

U.S.A.: No change to previously reported measures.

2	Measures to Minimise Genetic and Other Biological Interactions
2.4	Salmon farming
2.4.3	Establishment of site specific contingency plan in the event of large escapes
Reco prior meas	overy plans required and improved procedures being developed; human safety first ity; Newfoundland has adopted a Code of Practice that includes Containment sures.
(in re	espect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):
No c	hange to previously reported measures.
No s	almon aquaculture in Greenland.
u Unio	on:
No re	eturn received.
Not a	applicable.
Not j salm	possible in most instances. Either fish are too small to be taken in commercial on gear or the operation would result in a high wild salmon by-catch.
No c	hange to previously reported measures.
	2 2.4 2.4.3 Reco prior meas <i>(in re</i> No c No s <i>Unic</i> No re No re No re

15

-----

Scotland:	Not	Not applicable.		
Sweden:	No a	No action.		
France:	No 1	return received.		
Spain:	No 1	return received.		
Iceland:	No o	change to previously reported measures.		
Norway:	y: Provisions for specific contingency plans are included in the new regulations for aquaculture operations 1st January 1999.			
Russian	No 1	measures reported.		
Federati	on:	n:		
U.S.A.:	No o	No change to previously reported measures.		
Part:	3	Measures to Minimise Disease and Parasite Interactions		
Section:	3.1	Control and prevention of diseases and parasites		

- Item: 3.1.1 Aquaculture production process conducted in accordance with appropriate fish health protection and veterinary controls, including the application of appropriate husbandry techniques to minimise risk of diseases (vaccination, use of optimum stocking densities, careful handling, frequent inspection of fish, proper diet and feeding regimes, avoidance of unnecessary disturbance, detailed health inspections, disinfection of transportation equipment and use of disinfection baths at production facilities).
- **Canada:** Federal and provincial regulations and implementation guidelines in place and enforced; veterinarians are provincially licensed; in New Brunswick, ISA Virus Fish Health Surveillance Program adopted. Regulated in fish health quarantine units; voluntary adherence by industry in private hatcheries; In New Brunswick, ISA Virus Management Guidelines based on Norwegian guidelines for disinfection etc. are in place.

### Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):

Faroe No change to previously reported measures.

**Islands:** Greenland No salmon aquaculture in Greenland. European Union: Denmark: No return received. England No change to previously reported measures. & Wales: Finland Yearly control of Gyrodactylus salaris by colleting juvenile salmon from the rivers Teno and Naatamo. Ireland Being carried out. No change to previously reported measures. Northern Ireland: Scotland: No change to previously reported measures. Sweden: No change to previously reported measures. No return received. France:

Spain: No return received.

*Iceland:* No change to previously reported measures.

Norway: Existing regulations contain provisions to control all these requirements.

*Russian* No measures reported.

### Federation:

U.S.A.: New fish health regulations were adopted by the State of Maine in 1999.

Part:	3	Measures to Minimise Disease and Parasite Interactions
Section:	3.1	Control and prevention of diseases and parasites
Item:	3.1.2	Treatment or removal of diseased stock and measures to ensure diseased fish are not released to the wild
Canada:	Good indu regu	d management practice; by regulation in one province or voluntary adherence by stry in others. Diseased fish are prevented from being released to the wild by lation.
Denmar	k (in r	espect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):
Faroe Islands:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.
Greenlan	<b>d</b> Nos	almon aquaculture in Greenland.
Europea	n Uni	on:
Denmark	: Nor	eturn received.
England & Wales:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.
Finland		
Ireland	Bein	g carried out.
Northern Ireland:	No c	hange to previous reported measures.
Scotland:	No c	hange to previous reported measures.
Sweden:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.
France:	No r	eturn received.
Spain:	No r	eturn received.
Iceland:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.
Norway:	• Outb most	break of diseases is handled with respect to severity. Contingency plans exist for the t serious diseases.
Russian	No n	neasures reported.
Federati	on:	
U.S.A.:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.

Part:	3	Measures to Minimise Disease and Parasite Interactions
Section:	3.2	Stocking density

Item:	3.2.1	Aquaculture production adapted to the site's holding capacity and stocking density should not exceed levels based on good husbandry practices		
Canada:	Part capa	of site licensing. Considerable work done in Newfoundland to identify assimilative city of salmonid aquaculture areas.		
Denmark	(in re	espect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):		
Faroe Islands:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.		
Greenland	No s	No salmon aquaculture in Greenland.		
European	Unio	Union:		
Denmark:	No re	No return received.		
England & Wales:				
Finland				
Ireland	Bein	Being carried out.		
Northern Ireland:	No c	No change to previously reported measures.		
Scotland:	No cl	hange to previously reported measures.		
Sweden:	No cl	hange to previously reported measures.		
France:	No re	eturn received.		
Spain:	No re	eturn received.		
Iceland:	No cl	No change to previously reported measures.		
Norway:	A new MON imple chang addit aquat	w system for adaptation of aquaculture production to individual sites - called <i>A</i> - (Monitoring of organic effluents), has been established and is expected to be emented in the near future. The provisions regulating stocking density have been ged during the last years, and the scope has been extended to regulate production in ion to the former aim to improve the health status of the fish and conserve the tic environment.		
	New	regulations were implemented 1st January 1999.		
Russian	No m	neasures reported.		
Federatio	n:			
U.S.A.:	No cl	hange to previously reported measures.		

Part:	3	Measures to Minimise Disease and Parasite Interactions
Section:	3.3	Removal of dead of dying fish
Item:	3.3.1	Removal of dead/dying fish and disposal along with waste materials in an approved manner
Canada:	Goo	d management practice; disposal practices by federal and provincial regulation.
Denmar	k (in r	espect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):
Faroe Islands:	No c	change to previously reported measures.

**Greenland** No salmon aquaculture in Greenland.

European	Union:
Denmark:	No return received.
England & Wales:	New "Animal By-Products Order 1999" sets out rules for disposal.
Finland	
Ireland	Being carried out.
Northern Ireland:	No change to previously reported measures.
Scotland:	New "Animal By-Products Order 1999" sets out rules for disposal.
Sweden:	No change to previously reported measures.
France:	No return received.
Spain:	No return received.
Iceland:	No change to previously reported measures.
Norway:	According to the new regulations dead fish should be picked every day. Dead fish must be ground up and disposed of in a container with acid added to a pH of 4 or less. New regulations were implemented 1st January 1999.
Russian	No measures reported.

# Federation:

U.S.A.:	No change to	previously re	ported measures.
C AN AL AN A			r

Part:	3	Measures to Minimise Disease and Parasite Interactions	
Section:	3.3	Removal of dead of dying fish	
Item:	3.3.2	Establishment of procedures for effective removal and disposal of infectious material.	
Canada:	Goo quar	d management practice; disposal practices by regulation, especially in fish health antine facilities.	
Denmar	k (in r	espect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):	
Faroe Islands:	No c	change to previously reported measures.	
Greenlan	d Nos	almon aquaculture in Greenland.	
Europea	n Uni	on:	
Denmark	: Nor	eturn received.	
England & Wales:	No c	No change to previously reported measures.	
Finland			
Ireland	Beir	g carried out.	
Northern Ireland:	No c	change to previously reported measures.	

Scotland: No change to previously reported measures.

Sweden: No change to previously reported measures.

France: No return received.

Spain: No return received.

*Iceland*: No change to previously reported measures.

Norway: Strict regulations for disposal of waste and infectious materials exist.

Russian No measures reported.

# Federation:

**U.S.A.:** No change to previously reported measures.

Part:	3	Measures to Minimise Disease and Parasite Interactions
Section:	3.3	Removal of dead of dying fish
Item:	3.3.3	Establishment of contingency plans for disposal of mortalities from emergency situations.
Canada:	In pi	rogress; disposal practices by regulation.
Denmar	k (in r	espect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):
Faroe Islands:	No c	change to previously reported measures.
Greenlan	d Nos	almon aquaculture in Greenland.
Europea	n Uni	on:
Denmark	: Nor	eturn received.
England & Wales:	No change to previously reported measures.	
Finland		
Ireland	Bein	g carried out.
Northern Ireland:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.
Scotland:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.
Sweden:	No change to previously reported measures.	
France:	No return received.	
Spain:	No return received.	
Iceland:	No change to previously reported measures.	
Norway:	Cont	tingency plans and facilities for approved disposal of heavy mortalities exist.
Russian	No n	neasures reported.
Federati	on:	
U.S.A.:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.

Part:	3 Measures to Minimise Disease and Parasite Interactions
Section:	3.4 Adequate Separation
Item:	3.4.1 Separation of aquaculture facilities on the basis of a general assessment of local conditions
Canada:	In progress (especially in Bay of Fundy).
Denmark	(in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):
Faroe Islands:	No change to previously reported measures.
Greenland	No salmon aquaculture in Greenland.
European	Union:
Denmark:	No return received.
England & Wales:	Not applicable.
Finland	X
Ireland	Being carried out.
Northern Ireland:	No change to previously reported measures.
Scotland:	New "Environmental Impact Assessment (Fish Farming in Marine Waters) Regulations 1999" introduced. Assessment required under these for most new or modified fish farms.
Sweden:	No change to previously reported measures.
France:	No return received.
Spain:	No return received.
Iceland:	No change to previously reported measures.
Norway:	Guidelines regarding separation of units, within and between generations and production periods exist.
Russian	No measures reported.
Federatio	n:
U.S.A.:	No change to previously reported measures.
Part:	3 Measures to Minimise Disease and Parasite Interactions
Section:	3.5 Year Class Separation
Item:	8.5.1 Rearing of different generations in separate locations where possible
Canada:	In progress in some provinces.
Denmark	(in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):

Faroe No change to previously reported measures.

Islands:

Greenland No salmon aquaculture in Greenland.

European Union:

Denmark:	No return received.
England & Wales:	Not applicable.
Finland	
Ireland	Fallowing involves the separation of age classes at sea.
Northern Ireland:	No change to previously reported measures.
Scotland:	Becoming accepted practice.
Sweden:	No change to previously reported measures.
France:	No return received.
Spain:	No return received.
Iceland:	No change to previously reported measures.
Norway:	Different generations of salmon to be reared at separate locations, or a fallowing period between different generations at same site is required.
Russian Federation	No measures reported.
USA.	No change to previously reported measures

**U.S.A.:** No change to previously reported measures.

Part:	3	Measures to Minimise Disease and Parasite Interactions			
Section:	3.6	Fallowing of Sites			
Item:	3.6.1	Use of a fallowing regime wherever possible			
Canada:	Canada: In progress; see 3.1 for New Brunswick; extensive area being fallowed to control ISA.				
Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):					
Faroe Islands:	FaroeNo change to previously reported measures.Islands:				
Greenlan	Greenland No salmon aquaculture in Greenland.				
European Union:					

Denmark: No return received.

England Not applicable.

& Wales:

Finland

Ireland:

Ireland Carried out under Single Bay Management regime.

Northern No change to previously reported measures.

Scotland: New fallowing regime for ISA control sites.

Sweden: No action.

France: No return received.

Spain: No return received.

*Iceland*: No change to previously reported measures.

*Norway:* By means of artificial light Norwegian fish farmers are now able to put smolts into the sea all year round. This situation makes it more difficult to establish a strict separation between year classes.

1999: Provisions for regular fallowing is included in the new regulations for aquaculture operations 1st January 1999. Guidelines regarding this were established in 1999. Based on the Guidelines from 1999 new regulations will be implemented in the near future. After 30 months of continuous use of the site, 2 months of fallowing is obligatory. In salmon farms 2 months of fallowing is obligatory before introducing a new year class at the same site. Fallowing is also used as a legal instrument in combat zones.

Russian No measures reported.

### Federation:

U.S.A.: No change to previously reported measures.

Part:	3	Measures to Minimise Disease and Parasite Interactions		
Section:	3.7	Use of Medicines and Disinfectants		
Item:	3.7.1	Careful use of medicines and disinfectants in accordance with manufacturers' instructions, Codes of Practice and in compliance with regulatory authorities		
Canada:	Acc	ess and dosages by regulation; private and provincial fish health veterinarians cribe and monitor health of fish.		
Denmar	k (in r	espect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):		
Faroe Islands:	No change to previously reported measures.			
Greenlan	d Nos	almon aquaculture in Greenland.		
Europea	n Uni	on:		
Denmark	: No r	No return received.		
England & Wales:	No c	No change to previously reported measures.		
Finland				
Ireland	Beir	ng carried out.		
Northern Ireland:	No d	No change to previously reported measures.		
Scotland:	No	change to previously reported measures.		
Sweden:	No c	change to previously reported measures.		
France:	No r	eturn received.		
Spain:	No r	return received.		
Iceland:	No c	change to previously reported measures.		
Norway:	Reg	Regulatory measures and the use of effective vaccines have reduced the use of antibiotics		

23

by more than 99% in the last 10 years.

Due to stronger measures against sea lice, use of specific medicines against this parasite has not been reduced.

*Russian* No measures reported.

# Federation:

U.S.A.: No change to previously reported measures.

Part:	3	Measures to Minimise Disease and Parasite Interactions		
Section:	3.8	8 Lists of Diseases		
Item:	3.8.1	Lists of prevailing infectious diseases and parasites and methods for control to be maintained by appropriate authorities		
Canada:	Path regu enco	Pathogens listed in federal and some provincial regulations; method of control not regulated (i.e. use of therapeutants not required by regulation and prophylaxis is not encouraged); names of therapeutants approved for use is public knowledge.		
Denmar	k (in r	espect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):		
Faroe Islands:	Infec disea	ctious Salmon Anaemia (ISA) has been added to the previously reported list of ases.		
Greenlan	d Nos	almon aquaculture in Greenland.		
Europea	n Uni	on:		
Denmark	: Nor	eturn received.		
England & Wales:	No c	No change to previously reported measures.		
Finland				
Ireland	Bein	Being carried out.		
Northern Ireland:	No c	No change to previously reported measures.		
Scotland:	No c	No change to previously reported measures.		
Sweden:	No c at an	No change to previously reported measures. However during 1999 only one case of IPN at an eel farm and one case of furunculosis at an arctic char farm were reported.		
France:	No r	No return received.		
Spain:	No r	eturn received.		
Iceland:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.		
Norway:	Lists estab and o	Lists of diseases for a broad range of fish, crustacean and mollusc diseases have been established. The diseases are categorised in three groups with respect to their seriousnes and distribution in Norway.		
Russian	No n	No measures reported.		
Federati	on:			
U.S.A.:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.		

Part:	4	Research and Development	
Section:	4.1	Research, small-scale testing and full-scale implementation	
Item:	4.1.1	Wild salmon protection areas	
Canada:	Mari	ne Protected Areas provided for under the Canada Oceans Act.	
Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):			
Faroe Islands:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.	
Greenland	d Nos	almon aquaculture in Greenland.	
Europea	n Uni	on:	
Denmark	No r	eturn received.	
England & Wales:	No a	ctivity.	
Finland			
Ireland			
Northern Ireland:	No a	ctivity.	
Scotland:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.	
Sweden:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.	
France:	No r	eturn received.	
Spain:	No r	eturn received.	
Iceland:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.	
Norway:	The j indus data prote such	provisional regime for wild salmon protection areas with limited or no aquaculture stry has been prolonged and will be evaluated after collection of more substantive on the effect of such areas. Preliminary results, however, indicate that extensive ection areas with minimal aquaculture within the area can attain the intended goal of areas.	
Russian Federatio	No n on:	neasures reported.	

U.S.A.: No change to previously reported measures.

Part:	4	Research and Development	
Section:	4.1	Research, small-scale testing and full-scale implementation	
Item:	4.1.2	Sterile salmon	
Canada:	Research in progress; findings are variable but indications are that the technique is		
	feas	ible; all-female line of rainbow trout (steelhead) conditionally approved for use in	
	Nev	vfoundland.	

# Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):

25

Faroe No change to previously reported measures.

Islands:

Greenland No salmon aquaculture in Greenland.

### European Union:

Denmark: No return received.

**England** No activity. **& Wales:** 

### Finland

Ireland	AIR3 - CT94 - 2216 ' Minimising the interaction of Cultured and Wild Fish: A
	comprehensive evaluation of the use of sterile, triploid Atlantic salmon.

Northern No activity.

Ireland:

- Scotland: No change to previously reported measures.
- **Sweden:** No action. However the responsible national research authority is recommending a future possible use of sterile salmon.

8. <sub>61</sub>

France: No return received.

Spain: No return received.

- *Iceland:* No change to previously reported measures.
- **Norway:** Norwegian scientists have participated in an EU-funded project, which seeks to evaluate the use of sterile, triploid Atlantic salmon in fish farming. Comparisons between triploid and "normal" salmon have shown marginal differences in growth, quality and survival. The triploids are shown to be more prone to cataract (eye disease). Triploids also have bigger bur fewer muscle fibres. Norwegian scientists have performed studies on triploid behaviour following release.

Russian No measures reported.

# Federation:

U.S.A.: No change to previously reported measures.

Item:	4.1.3	Tagging and Marking
Section:	4.1	Research, small-scale testing and full-scale implementation
Part:	4	Research and Development

*Canada:* In progress; done routinely in some rivers and streams for wild salmon.

# Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):

Faroe No change to previously reported measures.

Islands:

Greenland No salmon aquaculture in Greenland.

# European Union:

Denmark: No return received.

England & Wales:

Finland

**Ireland** Marine Institute - Mass tagging and marking with CWT. Electronic tags applied in studies of estuarine and freshwater behaviour

- Northern DARD R&D Project 8434 contains a large element of tagging. This work, based on the
  Ireland: River Bush, produces annual data on marine survival and exploitation of wild and hatchery-reared salmon to ICES. Estimated expenditure 99/00 £45k.
- Scotland: No change to previously reported measures.
- Sweden: No change to previously reported measures.
- France: No return received.
- Spain: No return received.

*Iceland:* No change to previously reported measures.

- **Norway:** Norwegian scientists have participated in an EU-funded project on this matter. Contact between the relevant research institutions has been established to elucidate the feasibility and possible positive and negative effects for management, research and the industry of schemes for individual marking of aquaculture fish. A pilot project has been started and a status report has recently been finished.
- Russian No measures reported.

### Federation:

U.S.A.: Limited testing on the retention of elastomer tags was conducted in 1999. There was increased discussion on the issue of adopting a universal mark for aquaculture fish in 1999 but no changes have been implemented at this time.

Part:	4	Research and Development		
Section:	4.1	Research, small-scale testing and full-scale implementation		
Item:	4.1.4	Designation of aquaculture regions		
Canada.	: Zoni	ing under consideration or in progress (varies according to province).		
Denmar	k (in r	espect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):		
Faroe Islands:	No c	No change to previously reported measures.		
Greenlan	d Nos	almon aquaculture in Greenland.		
Europed	ın Uni	on:		
Denmark	: Nor	eturn received.		
England & Wales:	Not	applicable.		
Finland				
Ireland				

Northern Ireland:	No activity.
Scotland:	No change to previously reported measures.
Sweden:	No action.
France:	No return received.
Spain:	No return received.
Iceland:	No change to previously reported measures.
Norway:	A provision for implementing a system of aquaculture regions (regionalisation) as a measure for disease control was introduced with the new Fish Disease Act which came into force 1st January 1998. Further consideration of the consequences for the industry will be made before the system can be implemented. It is also desirable to get a similar

*Russian* No measures reported.

# Federation:

U.S.A.: No change to previously reported measures.

authority in the Aquaculture Act.

Part:	4	Research and Development		
Section:	4.1	Research, small-scale testing and full-scale implementation		
Item:	4.1.5	Alternative production methods (land-based, closed or contained floating facilities and other containment technologies)		
Canada:	In pi both	rogress; land based technology is very expensive; closed bag systems being tested on coasts.		
Denmari	k (in r	espect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):		
Faroe Islands:	No d	hange to previously reported measures.		
Greenlan	d Nos	almon aquaculture in Greenland.		
Europea	n Uni	on:		
Denmark	No 1	No return received.		
England & Wales:	Not	Not applicable.		
Finland				
Ireland				
Northern Ireland:	No a	No activity.		
Scotland:	No c	No change to previously reported measures.		
Sweden:	No a	action.		
France:	No 1	eturn received.		
Spain:	No r	eturn received.		
Iceland:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.		

**Norway:** Aquaculture in closed systems has been tried on an experimental basis, both in landbased and floating facilities. At present, these production methods are not economically feasible, and containment measures have in some instances been reported to be insufficient.

Russian No measures reported.

Federation:

**U.S.A.:** There was increased discussion on this issue over the past year but no changes have been implemented at this time.

Part:	4	Research and Development
Section:	4.1	Research, small-scale testing and full-scale implementation
Item:	4.1.6	Use of local broodstocks
Canada	Inp	rogress; done routinely for enhancement.

# Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):

Faroe No change to previously reported measures.

Islands:

Greenland No salmon aquaculture in Greenland.

# European Union:

Denmark: No return received.

**England** Not applicable. **& Wales:** 

Finland

Ireland

# Northern DARD R&D Project 9670 includes work on genetic analysis of the genetic composition Ireland: of broodstock taken from local rivers under the EU funded Salmonid Enhancement Programme. Estimated expenditure 99/00 £17.5k.

- Scotland: No change to previously reported measures.
- Sweden: No change to previously reported measures.

France: No return received.

Spain: No return received.

- *Iceland:* No change to previously reported measures.
- **Norway:** A project has been carried out to estimate genetically based improvements in production traits within the Norwegian industrial breeding programme. In this programme 4th generation salmon from the industrial breeding stock was compared to a wild salmon stock. The provisional results show significant increase in fish growth, both in fresh and sea water. When regard to diseases, no difference was found in resistance to bacterial diseases such as furunculosis, vibriosis and cold water vibriosis, and resistance to ISA was found to be lower than in the wild stock.

The national breeding program compares on a regular basis the improvement of the

program with wild fish material from 1978 (cryopreservated milt).

Russian No measures reported.

# Federation:

U.S.A.: No change to previously reported measures.

	_	
Part:	4	Research and Development
Section:	4.1	Research, small-scale testing and full-scale implementation
Item:	4.1.7	Understanding of genetic interactions
Canada:	In pr	ogress.
Denmar	k (in re	espect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):
Faroe Islands:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.
Greenlan	d Nos	almon aquaculture in Greenland.
European Union:		
Denmark	: No r	eturn received.
England & Wales:		
Finland		
Ireland	AIR intro	CT92 –0719 'An assessment of the consequences of deliberate and inadvertent duction of non-native Atlantic salmon into natural populations
Northern Ireland:	DAR wild plant up pl statu inter expe	2D R&D Project 9318 examined interactions between escaped farmed salmon and salmon, via field experiments using DNA techniques to identify parentage of ted progeny from inter-strain crosses. Project finished in 98/99 and is in the writing hase, with a paper on this experiment expected in 2000. A paper detailing the genetic s of a wild salmon population, seven years after an escape of farmed salmon led to breeding in the wild, has also been submitted for publication. No direct project nditure in 99/00.
Scotland:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.
Sweden:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.
France:	No re	eturn received.
Spain:	No re	eturn received.
Iceland:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.
Norway:	Thro escap have	ughout the last decade, studies have been carried out on genetic interactions between pees from the industry and wild stocks of salmon. During the last few years, studies also been conducted on ecological and genetic consequences for the wild stocks.
Russian	No n	neasures reported.
Federati	on:	
U.S.A.:	Parr aqua	and smolt captured in-river and during outmigration were suspected to be culture escapees based on fin condition. Scale analysis and genetic investigation

30

provided further evidence of escapement from a commercial freshwater hatchery.

Part:	4	Research and Development
Section:	4.1	Research, small-scale testing and full-scale implementation
Item:	4.1.8	Prevention and control of disease and parasites
Canada:	In pr	ogress; transgenics hold promise here.
Denmari	k (in r	espect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland):
Faroe Islands:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.
Greenland	dl Nos	almon aquaculture in Greenland.
Europea	n Uni	on:
Denmark	No r	eturn received.
England & Wales:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.
Finland		
Ireland	Mari	ne Institute ongoing research and monitoring
Northern Ireland:	A sea fishe unde	a lice monitoring programme on wild Atlantic salmon and sea trout in N Irish ries (funded under Project 8434) continued in 99/00. Expenditure accounted for or 4.1.3 above.
Scotland:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.
Sweden:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.
France:	No r	eturn received.
Spain:	No r	eturn received.
Iceland:	No c	hange to previously reported measures.
Norway:	Impo salm mon	ortant areas of research on diseases and parasites include:Sea lice (Lepeophtheirus onis) and Gyrodactylus salaris: Parasite biology, impacts on wild stocks, itoring, treatment regimes, taxonomy and host specificity.
	Infec of an	ctious Salmon Anaemia - ISA: Characterisation of the infective agent, development i improved diagnostic method.
	Infec smol	ctious Pancreatic Necrosis Virus - IPNV: Pathogenesis studies on IPNV in salmon its, Atlantic salmon as carrier of IPNV - effect on the immune system.
	Vaco strate	cines and vaccine-strategies: Development of improved vaccines and vaccine egies with particularly stress on IPNV.
	The	vaccine industry: Development of vaccines against ISA and Sea lice.
Russian	No n	neasures reported.

Federation:

κ.,

1

32

٨.

# Additional Information Provided by Norway

# Approval of floating aquaculture constructions

### Background

The Governmental White Book «Aquaculture - a motive force in the Norwegian coastal industry» states that:

The Norwegian Ministry of Fisheries aims to introduce a scheme of approval and control which implies that all aquaculture cage constructions must satisfy certain national minimum standards. The scheme will be based upon type approval and certification of new equipment, combined with technical inspection of existing constructions as well as the hiring of advanced technical expertise when deemed necessary.

On this basis, the Type Approval Committee (TYGUT) has proposed a scheme of approval, and the Director General of Fisheries has advised the Ministry to establish the scheme broadly as proposed. The arrangement will be the first of its kind in the world.

### Scheme of approval (TYGUT)<sup>1</sup>

The scheme of approval is restricted to new floating cage constructions or their main components. The main components being:

# Mooring Floating Net pen frame

A graphical presentation of the scheme is displayed in the figure below:



#### Step 1

Type approval of the complete construction/main component is to be documented according to a set of regulations adopted by the Director General of Fisheries. The proposed set of regulations is developed by MARINTEK in conjunction with TYGUT. The project is financed by the Research Council of Norway and the Norwegian Ministry of Fisheries. The proposed regulations will give the designers a considerable degree of freedom with regard to the choice of design, but stringent requirements must be met as regards documentation. (provided that they can document the suitability of their solutions in a satisfactory manner.) Approved products will be equipped with a Certificate of Type Approval. The certificates are issued by the Committee of Approval. The quality systems of the manufacturing companies must be certified according to NS-EN-ISO 9002.

### Step 2

The installation of the plant must be sanctioned by the body responsible for the technical standard of the fish farm in whose duty it is to check that the components are within the range of the approval and that they will function as a unit. The body must be approved by the Committee of Approval pursuant to NS-EN 45004, and is engaged by the fish farmer.

### Step 3

The day-to-day supervision of the operation of the plants will still be carried out by the Regional Director of Fisheries.

### The Committee of Approval

The approval is to be carried out by the Committee of Approval. The committee is appointed by the Director General of Fisheries, and will consist of representatives of the authorities, fish farmers, equipment manufacturers and scientists. The committee's expenses are proposed covered by fees on a non-profit basis.

### Implementation

The proposal is now being considered by the appropriate bodies. The deadline for comments is 1. September 1999. It is expected that the scheme will be in effect in 2001.

The scheme is given the name TYGUT, the name of the committee that proposed the scheme.

# Internal control in activities related to aquaculture

### Background

The Norwegian authorities adopted the method of internal control (IC) in the early eighties when they introduced regulations of IC in the offshore sector in order to improve the supervision of the activities. Later, two other regulations of greater importance to the aquaculture industry were introduced, IK-HMS (IC for health, environment and safety in 1991 - new in 1996) and IK-mat (IC for legislation relating to nutrition - in 1994). The transition to IC as supervision scheme was in recognition of the importance of organization to the final result. Instead of detail inspection, the authorities wish to concentrate on the organisation of the activities, and the companies themselves are obliged to supervise that the production complies with current procedures. The Norwegian Governmental White Book "Aqua culture a motive force in the Norwegian coastal industry" states that:

the Norwegian Government deems the scheme of internal control suitable for the aqua culture industry. A co-ordinated plan for internal control pursuant to the acts relating to Fish farming, Pollution, and Fish diseases will be introduced in the industry.

To a much larger extent than previously, IC demands a comprehensive view both on the part of the industry and on the part of the authorities.

# IC versus QA

IC is quality assurance of official specifications. The relation between the terms is shown in the figure below. Own Checks are in the field of sea food.



Quality Assurance versus Internal Control

### IC in aquaculture

An expert group consisting of representatives of the Directorate of Fisheries, the State Animals' Health Authority and the State Pollution Control Authority has submitted a report which recommends the introduction of IC pursuant to the Acts relating to Fish farming, Fish diseases and Animal protection. Since the former regulations of IC explicitly state that they apply exclusively to their specific sectors, new regulations (IK havbruk) will be called for. Three of the regulations will be quite similar, but not identical. In the case of salmon slaughter plants, for instance, all three regulations will apply, and, in addition, internal control will be applicable under two different terms (Internal Control and Own Checks).

# Supervision

The authorities' supervision will be based on system audit and verification. System audit is a comprehensive and systematic examination that will be carried out in order to make sure that the IC activities comply with the legal requirements. Verification consists of investigations, inspections, spot tests, etc. to check that the IC system is functioning properly.

# Integrated or separated systems of internal control

With two or three very similar, but not identical. IC regulations coming into force, there will be a choice between integrating the systems in one comprehensive system or keeping them as individual systems. This decision is left to the company. Generally, companies are recommended to establish one system that may also include the company's quality system, and which has cross-references that indicate where the legal requirements of the different regulations are followed up. The important thing is that the system functions properly. An important assumption in this respect, is to develop the system in such a way that the company gets a feeling of ownership. The main three key words are:

- Proper interpretation of the system, taking care of the totality
- Priority, deciding on work priority
- Easily surveyable, keeping it simple and comprehensible

# Implementation

The proposal will be circulated for comments in the near future and will probably come into force by the end of the year.

# Internal control in activities related to aquaculture

### Background

The Norwegian authorities adopted the method of internal control (IC) in the early eighties when they introduced regulations of IC in the offshore sector in order to improve the supervision of the activities. Later, two other regulations of greater importance to the aquaculture industry were introduced, IK-HMS (IC for health, environment and safety in 1991 - new in 1996) and IK-mat (IC for legislation relating to The transition to IC as nutrition - in 1994). supervision scheme was in recognition of the importance of organization to the final result. Instead of detail inspection, the authorities wish to concentrate on the organisation of the activities, and the companies themselves are obliged to supervise that the production complies with current procedures. The Norwegian Governmental White Book "Aqua culture a motive force in the Norwegian coastal industry" states that:

the Norwegian Government deems the scheme of internal control suitable for the aqua culture industry. A co-ordinated plan for internal control pursuant to the acts relating to Fish farming, Pollution, and Fish diseases will be introduced in the industry.

To a much larger extent than previously, IC demands a comprehensive view both on the part of the industry and on the part of the authorities.

# IC versus QA

IC is quality assurance of official specifications. The relation between the terms is shown in the figure below. Own Checks are in the field of sea food.



**Quality Assurance versus Internal Control** 

# IC in aquaculture

An expert group consisting of representatives of the Directorate of Fisheries, the State Animals' Health Authority and the State Pollution Control Authority has submitted a report which recommends the introduction of IC pursuant to the Acts relating to Fish farming, Fish diseases and Animal protection. Since the former regulations of IC explicitly state that they apply exclusively to their specific sectors, new regulations (IK havbruk) will be called for. Three of the regulations will be quite similar, but not identical. In the case of salmon slaughter plants, for instance, all three regulations will apply, and, in addition, internal control will be applicable under two different terms (Internal Control and Own Checks).

# Supervision

The authorities' supervision will be based on system audit and verification. System audit is a comprehensive and systematic examination that will be carried out in order to make sure that the IC activities comply with the legal requirements. Verification consists of investigations, inspections, spot tests, etc. to check that the IC system is functioning properly.

# Integrated or separated systems of internal control

With two or three very similar, but not identical, IC regulations coming into force, there will be a choice between integrating the systems in one comprehensive system or keeping them as individual systems. This decision is left to the company. Generally, companies are recommended to establish one system that may also include the company's quality system, and which has cross-references that indicate where the legal requirements of the different regulations are followed up. The important thing is that the system functions properly. An important assumption in this respect, is to develop the system in such a way that the company gets a feeling of ownership. The main three key words are:

- **Proper interpretation of the system**, taking care of the totality
- Priority, deciding on work priority
- Easily surveyable, keeping it simple and comprehensible

# Implementation

The proposal will be circulated for comments in the near future and will probably come into force by the end of the year.

# Approval of floating aquaculture constructions

### Background

a .X

The Governmental White Book «Aquaculture - a motive force in the Norwegian coastal industry» states that:

The Norwegian Ministry of Fisheries aims to introduce a scheme of approval and control which implies that all aquaculture cage constructions must satisfy certain national minimum standards. The scheme will be based upon type approval and certification of new equipment, combined with technical inspection of existing constructions as well as the hiring of advanced technical expertise when deemed necessary.

On this basis, the Type Approval Committee (TYGUT) has proposed a scheme of approval, and the Director General of Fisheries has advised the Ministry to establish the scheme broadly as proposed. The arrangement will be the first of its kind in the world.

### Scheme of approval (TYGUT)<sup>1</sup>

The scheme of approval is restricted to new floating cage constructions or their main components. The main components being:

# Mooring Floating Net pen frame

A graphical presentation of the scheme is displayed in the figure below:



### Step 1

Type approval of the complete construction/main component is to be documented according to a set of regulations adopted by the Director General of Fisheries. The proposed set of regulations is developed by MARINTEK in conjunction with TYGUT. The project is financed by the Research Council of Norway and the Norwegian Ministry of Fisheries. The proposed regulations will give the designers a considerable degree of freedom with regard to the choice of design, but stringent requirements must be met as regards documentation. (provided that they can document the suitability of their solutions in a satisfactory manner.) Approved products will be equipped with a Certificate of Type Approval. The certificates are issued by the Committee of Approval. The quality systems of the manufacturing companies must be certified according to NS-EN-ISO 9002.

### Step 2

The installation of the plant must be sanctioned by the body responsible for the technical standard of the fish farm in whose duty it is to check that the components are within the range of the approval and that they will function as a unit. The body must be approved by the Committee of Approval pursuant to NS-EN 45004, and is engaged by the fish farmer.

### Step 3

The day-to-day supervision of the operation of the plants will still be carried out by the Regional Director of Fisheries.

### The Committee of Approval

The approval is to be carried out by the Committee of Approval. The committee is appointed by the Director General of Fisheries, and will consist of representatives of the authorities, fish farmers, equipment manufacturers and scientists. The committee's expenses are proposed covered by fees on a non-profit basis.

### Implementation

The proposal is now being considered by the appropriate bodies. The deadline for comments is 1. September 1999. It is expected that the scheme will be in effect in 2001.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The scheme is given the name TYGUT, the name of the committee that proposed the scheme.