Report of the Meeting of the Habitat Guidelines Working Group

NASCO, Edinburgh

10 – 14 November 2025

1. Opening of the Meeting

1.1 The Chair Stephen Gephard (USA) opened the meeting and welcomed the members of NASCO's Working Group on Habitat Guidelines. He thanked everyone for dedicating the entire week to the meeting and especially those able to travel to meet in-person.

1.2 A list of participants is contained in Annex 1.

2. Adoption of the Agenda

2.1 The Working Group adopted the Agenda for the meeting, HGWG(25)03.

3. Background to the Habitat Guidelines Working Group

3.1 The Chair reminded participants that in 2001 NASCO began the process of adopting guidelines and that by the International Year of the Salmon (IYS) in 2019 it was apparent that NASCO's guidelines needed updating. As part of IYS a two-day symposium was held immediately prior to the 2019 NASCO Annual Meeting in Tromsø, the steering committee of which recommended (see CNL(19)16) that NASCO update the existing 'Guidelines for the Protection, Restoration and Enhancement of Atlantic Salmon Habitat', CNL(10)51, in light of the importance of habitat and water quality conservation as a key strategy to conserve salmon into the future. The updated guidelines should not only consider the physical environment and include estuaries but should also seek to optimise water quality by considering:

- the chemical and biological quality (e.g. toxic substances, diffuse agricultural pollution, persistent organic pollutants); and
- availability and distribution of prey in the future.

3.2 The Chair also noted that at its 2024 Annual Meeting, CNL(24)88rev, the Council of NASCO agreed to adopt 'The Future of NASCO – a Ten-Year Strategy', CNL(24)71rev, which incorporates NASCO's high-level actions in a single document. As part of this Action Plan, Council agreed 'to update, and consolidate as appropriate, NASCO's Resolutions, Agreements and Guidelines, incorporating climate change and other factors as key elements of the review' following a priority order, with the review of the habitat guidelines planned to start in 2025 and be completed in 2026.

4. Consideration of the Terms of Reference for the Habitat Guidelines Working Group, CNL(25)53

4.1 The Working Group considered its Terms of Reference, CNL(25)53, which tasked the Group with:

1. Considering the 'Guidelines for the Protection, Restoration and Enhancement of

Atlantic Salmon Habitat', CNL(10)51, and whether they can be revised or redrafted based on developments since they were agreed, to produce a set of up-to-date best management practice guidance for the habitat theme area;

2. Incorporating a number of issues related to the revision of this set of guidelines as outlined in the 'Working Methods' section of the Terms of Reference; and

3. Producing a brief report for Council, by 30 April in 2026, setting out its approach and key decisions, together with its proposed guidance, and reporting its proposed guidance to Council at the 2026 Annual Meeting.

4.2 The Chair and Secretariat clarified that the Group should confine itself to the scope set out in paragraph 3.1 above.

5. Working Methods

5.1 The Working Group noted that its Terms of Reference included a description of the Working Methods it should use, to include:

- 'Cross-cutting Directions and Assignments for all Revisions / Updates' – see Annex 1 of 'NASCO's Action Plan Update 2025', CNL(25)79 – that need to be followed, incorporating:
  - recommendations from NASCO's third performance review, the 2019 Tromsø Symposium and the 2023 Theme-based Special Sessions on climate change, as follows: EPR9, EPR45, T3, TBSS1(3), TBSS2(1), TBSS2(3) and TBSS(2)4;
  - NASCO's 'Agreement on Adoption of a Precautionary Approach' (CNL(98)46);
  - NASCO's 'Guidelines for incorporating social and economic factors in decisions under the Precautionary Approach, (CNL(04)57); and
  - all relevant international agreements, guidelines, and best practices such as the agreement on Biodiversity Beyond National Jurisdiction.

5.2 In addition, the Group noted that it must take into consideration whether the revision / update of NASCO's Guidelines on habitat can be informed by recommendations from NASCO's third performance review (EPR8, EPR15, EPR16), the 2019 Tromsø Symposium (T3), the 2023 Theme-based Special Sessions on climate change that relate to habitat and the Working Group on Future Reporting developing NASCO's fourth reporting cycle (WGFR2, WGFR5, WGFR6).

5.3 The Chair reminded the Working Group that it had a working week to revise the 'Guidelines for the Protection, Restoration and Enhancement of Atlantic Salmon Habitat', CNL(10)51, and asked that all members familiarise themselves with the Terms of Reference.

5.4 The Group compiled a list of recent publications for consideration in its deliberations. A list of those publications is included in Annex 2 and will be shared on the NASCO website as an information resource.

5.5 The Group agreed that the first two tasks from its Terms of Reference, listed in paragraph 4.1 above, would be considered in the report of the meeting. The third task from its Term of Reference would be addressed in the revised guidelines document referred to in paragraph 7.2 below.

6. Consideration of the NASCO Guidelines

6.1 The Group discussed the need to embed references to long-term environmental change throughout the Guidelines and to reflect the Objectives of NASCO's Ten-Year Strategy. The Group agreed that the Guidelines should acknowledge the diversity of regulatory frameworks across jurisdictions and include caveats to ensure recommendations are adaptable to local legislation.

6.2 The Group recognised the variability in definitions of estuaries and marine boundaries across jurisdictions, agreeing that each jurisdiction should define these areas according to its legal and ecological context.

6.3 The Group agreed to avoid overly prescriptive standards, instead providing overarching principles and caveats to guide restoration and improvement actions without mandating specific techniques or species.

6.4 The Group decided that monitoring, evaluation, and adaptive management are critical overarching elements for restoration projects. To include support for these, the Group recognised the need for baseline data, appropriate indicators, and realistic monitoring requirements.

a) Protection of Atlantic Salmon Habitat

6.5 The Working Group made the following observations on the Protection of Atlantic Salmon Habitat:

- maintaining native biodiversity is essential, including the protection of genetic diversity and essential habitats for all life stages of Atlantic salmon;
- measures may be proactive, such as designation of protected areas based on ecological criteria, or reactive, such as responding to development proposals, with each requiring tailored decision-making processes;
- guiding principles to identify high-value habitats include criteria such as natural or near-natural ecological character, resilience, minimal management intervention, and the ability to support all life stages of salmon; and
- habitats that are not pristine but provide critical functions, for example, deep pools and buffered temperatures, should also be considered for protection.

b) Restoration of Atlantic Salmon Habitat

6.6 The Working Group made the following observations on the Restoration of Atlantic Salmon Habitat:

- restoration efforts should prioritise historically available habitats rather than creating new ones, particularly where resources are limited ;
- a detailed process should be followed for restoration and improvement of salmon habitat, covering damage identification, option assessment, consultation with stakeholders and Indigenous Peoples, design, implementation and post-project evaluation;
- restoration can follow a hierarchy of intervention options, ranging from 'passive', such as removal of stressors to allow natural recovery, to 'active', such as re-establishment of habitat; and
- restoration projects can improve water quality through pollution reduction and

increasing habitat resilience to water quality deterioration and temperature stress, for example, with vegetative buffers and wetland rehabilitation.

c) Enhancement of Atlantic Salmon Habitat

6.7 The Working Group made the following observations on the Enhancement of Atlantic Salmon Habitat:

- the term 'enhancement' could have different meanings in different regions and should be replaced within these Guidelines by the term 'improvement', understood to be targeted actions when full restoration is not possible;
- habitat improvements for salmon should be balanced with potential impacts on other native species, for example, actions such as improving fish passage and tree cover may have unintended ecological consequences; and
- long-term monitoring can be challenging due to factors such as funding constraints and the need for realistic expectations regarding the timeframes required to detect ecological improvements.

7. Recommendations to Council

a) Revisions to the 'NASCO Guidelines for the Protection, Restoration and Enhancement of Atlantic Salmon Habitat'

7.1 The Group considered the current structure of the 'Guidelines on the Use of Stock Rebuilding Programmes in the Context of the Precautionary Management of Salmon Stocks', CNL(25)50, that were agreed by Council at the NASCO 2025 Annual Meeting, as a template for the updated guidelines on Atlantic salmon habitat.

7.2 The Group developed 'Draft Revised NASCO Guidelines for the Protection, Restoration and Improvement of Atlantic Salmon Habitat', HGWG(25)04, (Annex 3), and recommends that Council adopt these Draft Revised Guidelines.

7.3 There were no other recommendations.

8. Other Business

8.1 There was no other business.

9. Report of the Meeting

9.1 The Group agreed to consider the report of its meeting by correspondence.

10. Close of the Meeting

10.1 The Chair thanked participants for their contributions and closed the meeting.

Annex 1

Participants in the Habitat Guidelines – November 2025

Carlos Alexandre	University of Évora, Marine and Environmental Sciences Centre, Portugal
Steve Gephard (Chair)	NASCO Commissioner, USA
Jóhannes Guðbrandsson	Marine and Freshwater Research Institute, Hafnarfjörður, Iceland
Seán Kelly (Virtual Participant)	Inland Fisheries Ireland
Jarl Koksvik	Norwegian Environment Agency, Trøndheim
Charlie Marshall (IPRI)	Atlantic Policy Congress of First Nations Chiefs Secretariat, Canada
Marcus McAuley (NGO)	Institute of Fisheries Management, Northern Ireland
Arthur Niven	Department of Agriculture, Environment and Rural Affairs, Belfast
Cathal Gallagher	Secretary, NASCO
Clare Cavers	Assistant Secretary, NASCO

Annex 2

Habitat Guidelines Working Group – List of References

Armstrong, J.B., Fullerton, A.H., Jordan, C.E., Ebersole, J.L., Bellmore, J.R., Arismendi, I., Penaluna, B.E. and Reeves, G.H. 2021. The importance of warm habitat to the growth regime of cold-water fishes. Nature Climate Change, 11, 354-361. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-021-00994-y

Bilby, R.E., Currens, K.P., Fresh, K.L., Booth, D.B., Fuerstenberg, R.R. and Lucchetti, G.L., 2024. Why aren't Salmon responding to habitat restoration in the Pacific Northwest? Fisheries, 49, 16-27. https://doi.org/10.1002/fsh.10991

Bond, M.H., Nodine, T.G., Beechie, T.J. and Zabel, R.W. 2019. Estimating the benefits of widespread floodplain reconnection for Columbia River Chinook salmon. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 76, 1212-1226. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2018-0108

Chittoor Viswanathan, V. and Schirmer, M. 2015. Water quality deterioration as a driver for river restoration: A review of case studies from Asia, Europe and North America. Environmental Earth Sciences, 74, 3145-3158. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-015-4353-3

Dugdale, S.J., Franssen, J., Corey, E., Bergeron, N.E., Lapointe, M. and Cunjak, R.A. 2016. Main stem movement of Atlantic salmon parr in response to high river temperature. Ecology of Freshwater Fish, 25, 429-445. https://doi.org/10.1111/eff.12224

Dugdale, S.J., Malcolm, I.A. and Hannah. D.M. 2024. Understanding the effects of spatially variable riparian tree planting strategies to target water temperature reductions in rivers. Journal of Hydrology 635: 131163. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2024.131163

Ellings, C.S., *et al*. 2016. Changes in habitat availability for outmigrating juvenile salmon (*Oncorhynchus* spp.) following estuary restoration. Restoration Ecology, 24, 415-427. https://doi.org/10.1111/rec.12333

Fjeldstad, H.P., Barlaup, B.T., Stickler, M., Gabrielsen, S.E. and Alfredsen, K. 2012. Removal of weirs and the influence on physical habitat for salmonids in a Norwegian river. River research and applications, 28, 753-763. https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.1529

Fogel. C.B., Nicol, C.L., Jorgensen, J.C., Beechie, T.J., Timpane-Padgham, B., Kiffney, P., Seixas, G. and Winkowski, J. 2022. How riparian and floodplain restoration modify the effects of increasing temperature on adult salmon spawner abundance in the Chehalis River, WA. PLoS ONE 17, e0268813. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0268813

Forestry Commission. 2019. Managing forest operations to protect the water environment. Forestry Commission Practice Guide. Forestry Commission, Edinburgh. 56pp. https://www.forestresearch.gov.uk/publications/managing-forest-operations-to-protect-the-water-environment/

T. Forseth and A. Harby (Eds.). 2014. Handbook for environmental design in regulated salmon rivers. - NINA Special Report 53. 90 pp. 053.pdf

Fuller, M.R., Leinenbach, P., Detenbeck, N.E., Labiosa, R. and Isaak, D.J. 2022. Riparian vegetation shade restoration and loss effects on recent and future stream temperatures. Restoration Ecology, 30, e13626. https://doi.org/10.1111/rec.13626

Garner, G., Malcolm, I.A., Sadler, J.P. and Hannah, D.M. 2017. The role of riparian vegetation density, channel orientation and water velocity in determining river temperature dynamics. Journal of Hydrology, 553, 471-485. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.03.024

Hauer, C., Pulg, U., Reisinger, F. and Flödl, P. 2020. Evolution of artificial spawning sites for Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) and sea trout (Salmo trutta): field studies and numerical modelling in Aurland, Norway. Hydrobiologia, 847, 1139-1158. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-019-04173-1

Hendry, K., Cragg-Hine, D., O'Grady, M., Sambrook, H. and Stephen, A., 2003. Management of habitat for rehabilitation and enhancement of salmonid stocks. Fisheries Research, 62, 171-192. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-7836(02)00161-3

Hodgson, E.E., Wilson, S.M. and Moore, J.W. 2019. Changing estuaries and impacts on juvenile salmon: A systematic review. Global Change Biology, 26, 1986–2001, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14997

Imholt, C., Soulsby, C., Malcolm, I.A. and Gibbins, C.N., 2013. Influence of contrasting riparian forest cover on stream temperature dynamics in salmonid spawning and nursery streams. Ecohydrology, 6, 380-392. https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.1291

Isaak, D. J. *et al*. 2017. The NorWeST summer stream temperature model and scenarios for the western U.S.: A crowd-sourced database and new geospatial tools foster a user community and predict broad climate warming of rivers and streams. Water Resources Research, 53, 9181–9205. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017WR020969

Isaak, D.J., Luce, C.H., Horan, D.L., Chandler, G.L., Wollrab, S.P. and Nagel, D.E. 2018. Global warming of salmon and trout rivers in the Northwestern US: road to ruin or path through purgatory? Transactions of the American Fisheries Society, 147, 566-587. https://doi.org/10.1002/tafs.10059

Jackson, F.L., Fryer, R.J., Hannah, D.M., Millar, C.P. and Malcolm, I.A. 2018. A spatio-temporal statistical model of maximum daily river temperatures to inform the management of Scotland's Atlantic salmon rivers under climate change. Science of the Total Environment, 612, 1543-1558. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.010

Jackson, F. L., Gilbey, J., Eagle, L.J.B., Fryer, R. J., and Malcolm, I. A. 2025. The status of juvenile Atlantic salmon and brown trout populations in Scotland's rivers: The National Electrofishing Programme for Scotland (NEPS) 2023. Scottish Marine and Freshwater Science, 16, 107pp. https://doi.org/10.7489/12543-1

Jackson, F.L., Hannah, D.M., Ouellet, V. and Malcolm, I.A. 2021. A deterministic river temperature model to prioritize management of riparian woodlands to reduce summer maximum river temperatures. Hydrological Processes, 35, 14314. https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.14314

Jackson, F.L., Malcolm, I.A. and Hannah, D.M. 2016. A novel approach for designing large-scale river temperature monitoring networks. Hydrology Research, 47, 569-590. https://doi.org/10.2166/nh.2015.106

Jähnig, S.C., Lorenz, A.W., Hering, D., Antons, C., Sundermann, A., Jedicke, E. and Haase, P. 2011. River restoration success: a question of perception. Ecological Applications, 21, 2007-2015. https://doi.org/10.1890/10-0618.1

Justice, C., White, S.M., McCullough, D.A., Graves, D.S. and Blanchard, M.R. 2017. Can stream and riparian restoration offset climate change impacts to salmon populations? Journal of environmental management, 188, 212-227. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.12.005

Kennedy, R.J., Johnston, P. and Allen, M. 2014. Assessment of a catchmentwide salmon habitat rehabilitation scheme on a drained river system in Northern Ireland. Fisheries Management and Ecology, 21, 275-287. https://doi.org/10.1111/fme.12077

Koed, A., Baktoft, H. and Bak, B.D. 2006. Causes of mortality of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) and brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) smolts in a restored river and its estuary. River research and applications, 22, 69-78. https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.894

Koed, A., Birnie-Gauvin, K., Sivebæk, F. and Aarestrup, K. 2020. From endangered to sustainable: Multi-faceted management in rivers and coasts improves Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) populations in Denmark. Fisheries Management and Ecology, 27, 64-76. https://doi.org/10.1111/fme.12385

Krzeminska, D., Kerkhof, T., Skaalsveen, K. and Stolte, J. 2019. Effect of riparian vegetation on stream bank stability in small agricultural catchments. Catena, 172, 87-96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2018.08.014

Lehane, B.M., Giller, P.S., O'halloran, J., Smith, C. and Murphy, J. 2002. Experimental provision of large woody debris in streams as a trout management technique. Aquatic conservation: Marine and Freshwater ecosystems, 12, 289-311. https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.516

Lenane, R. 2016. Keeping Rivers Cool: A Guidance Manual. Creating riparian shade for climate change adaptation. Keeping Rivers Cool partnership initiative, 15pp. keeping-rivers-cool.pdf

Lennox, R.J. *et al.* 2021. The quest for successful Atlantic salmon restoration: perspectives, priorities, and maxims. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 78, 3479-3497. https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsab201

Louhi, P., Mäki-Petäys, A. and Erkinaro, J. 2008. Spawning habitat of Atlantic salmon and brown trout: general criteria and intragravel factors. River research and applications, 24, 330-339. https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.1072

Malcolm, I.A., Millidine, K.J., Glover, R.S., Jackson, F.L., Millar, C.P. and Fryer, R.J. 2019. Development of a large-scale juvenile density model to inform the assessment and management of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) populations in Scotland. Ecological Indicators, 96, 303-316. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2018.09.005

Marsh, J.E., Lauridsen, R.B., Gregory, S.D., Beaumont, W.R., Scott, L.J., Kratina, P. and Jones, J.I. 2020. Above parr: Lowland river habitat characteristics associated with higher juvenile Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) and brown trout (*S. trutta*) densities. Ecology of freshwater fish, 29, 542-556. https://doi.org/10.1111/eff.12529

Marttila, M., Louhi, P., Huusko, A., Vehanen, T., Mäki-Petäys, A., Erkinaro, J., Syrjänen, J.T. and Muotka, T. 2019. Synthesis of habitat restoration impacts on young-of-the-year salmonids in boreal rivers. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries, 29, 513–527. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11160-019-09557-z

Mrozińska, N., Glińska-Lewczuk, K., Burandt, P., Kobus, S., Gotkiewicz, W., Szymańska, M., Bąkowska, M. and Obolewski, K. 2018. Water quality as an indicator of stream restoration effects—A case study of the Kwacza River restoration project. Water, 10, 1249. https://doi.org/10.3390/w10091249

Mundahl, N.D. 2025. Short-Term Response of Instream Habitats and Brown Trout (Salmo trutta) Populations to Habitat Rehabilitation in Two Trout Streams, Minnesota, USA. Fishes, 10, 14. https://doi.org/10.3390/fishes10010014

O'Connor, W.C.K. and Kennedy, R.J. 2002. A comparison of catchment based salmonid habitat survey techniques in three river systems in Northern Ireland. Fisheries Management and Ecology, 9, 149-161. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2400.2002.00294.x

Office for Environmental Protection. 2024. OEP report on the drivers and pressures affecting nature in Northern Ireland. Available at: https://www.theoep.org.uk/report/drivers-and-pressures-northern-ireland (Accessed 07/10/2025).

Pulg, U., Vollset, K.W. and Lennox, R.J. 2019. Linking habitat to density-dependent population regulation: How spawning gravel availability affects abundance of juvenile salmonids (Salmo trutta and Salmo salar) in small streams. Hydrobiologia, 841,13-29. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-019-03997-1

Pulg, U. *et al*. 2022. Long-term effects and cost-benefit analysis of eight spawning gravel augmentations for Atlantic salmon and Brown trout in Norway. Hydrobiologia, 849, 485-507. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-021-04646-2

Pulg, U. *et al.* 2024. Assessing the potential for gas supersaturation downstream of hydropower plants in Norway, Austria and Germany. Science of the Total Environment, 948, p.174645. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.174645

Quilbé, R. *et al.* 2025 Cold-Water Thermal Refuge Enhancement and Creation for Salmonids: Successes, Failures, and Lessons Learned. River Research and Applications, 0, 1-28. https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.4462

Roni, P. and Beechie, T. 2013. Introduction to restoration: key steps for designing effective programs and projects. *In Stream and watershed restoration, a guide to restoring riverine processes and habitats*, First Edition, pp.1-11. P. Roni and T. Beechie (Eds). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Sinclair, J.S., Mademann, J.A., Haubrock, P.J. and Haase, P. 2023. Primarily neutral effects of river restoration on macroinvertebrates, macrophytes, and fishes after a decade of monitoring. Restoration Ecology, 31, e13840. https://doi.org/10.1111/rec.13840

Skoglund, H., Forseth, T. and Einum, S. 2024. Among-river pattern in relative abundance of two salmonid fishes reflects temperature-dependent competition. Freshwater Biology, 69, 1057-1068. https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.14289

Smith, A.S. and Kurylyk, B.L. 2024. Pumping Groundwater to Create Cold-Water Thermal Refuges in Warming Rivers. Ecohydrology, 18, e2739. https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.2739

Soulsby, C., Malcolm, I.A. and Tetzlaff, D. 2024. JAMES BUTTLE REVIEW: Six decades of ecohydrological research connecting landscapes and riverscapes in the Girnock Burn, Scotland: Atlantic salmon population and habitat dynamics in a changing world. Hydrological Processes, 38, 15105. https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.15105

Soulsby, C., Youngson, A. and Webb, J. 2024. The ecohydrology of rewilding: A pressing need for evidence in the restoration of upland Atlantic salmon streams. Hydrological Processes, 38, e15142. https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.15142

Thompson, J., Pelc, C.E., Brogan III, W.R. and Jordan, T.E. 2018. The multiscale effects of stream restoration on water quality. Ecological Engineering, 124, 7-18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2018.09.016

Wegscheider, B., Linnansaari, T. and Curry, R.A. 2020. Mesohabitat modelling in fish ecology: A global synthesis. Fish and Fisheries, 21, 927-939. https://doi.org/10.1111/faf.12477

White, J.C., Khamis, K., Dugdale, S., Jackson, F.L., Malcolm, I.A., Krause, S. and Hannah, D.M. 2023. Drought impacts on river water temperature: A process-based understanding from temperate climates. Hydrological Processes, 37, 14958. https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.14958

Wilbur, N.M., O'Sullivan, A.M., MacQuarrie, K.T., Linnansaari, T. and Curry, R.A. 2020. Characterizing physical habitat preferences and thermal refuge occupancy of brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*) and Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) at high river temperatures. River Research and Applications, 36, 769-783. https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.3570

Zeug, S.C., Sellheim, K., Watry, C., Rook, B., Hannon, J., Zimmerman, J., Cox, D. and Merz, J. 2014. Gravel augmentation increases spawning utilization by anadromous salmonids: a case study from California, USA. River research and applications, 30, 707-718. https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.2680

van Zyll de Jong, M. and Cowx, I.G., 2016. Long-term response of salmonid populations to habitat restoration in a boreal forest stream. Ecological Engineering, 91, 148-157. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2016.02.029

Annex 3

HGWG(25)02

Draft Revised NASCO Guidelines for the Protection, Restoration and Improvement of Atlantic Salmon Habitat

1. Introduction

Atlantic salmon habitat in freshwater and estuaries has been greatly affected by many human activities, and much historic habitat has been lost or degraded. NASCO's objectives of conserving, restoring and enhancing salmon populations can only be achieved if the species' habitat is protected, and where damaged or deteriorated, restored or improved. NASCO's Ten-Year Strategy and Action Plan, CNL(24)71rev, includes an objective to **maintain and, where possible, increase the current productive capacity of salmon habitat**. In 2001, NASCO adopted, its Plan of Action for the Application of the Precautionary Approach to the Protection and Restoration of Atlantic Salmon Habitat, CNL(01)51. This current set of guidelines is an updated version of CNL(01)51.

It is worth noting that NASCO has developed the following guidelines which are relevant to the protection and restoration of salmon habitat:

- Guidelines for Incorporating Social and Economic Factors in Decisions Under the Precautionary Approach, CNL(04)57; and
- Guidelines on the Use of Stock Rebuilding Programmes in the Context of the Precautionary Management of Salmon Stocks, CNL(25)50.

The NASCO Ten-Year Strategy and associated Action Plan, CNL(24)71rev, outline objectives for the appropriate jurisdictions (national, regional and local) that have responsibilities for activities involving salmon habitat. The updated guidelines for habitat protection and restoration will address these objectives, with recognition of the Precautionary Approach. While these guidelines are not intended to be prescriptive, their purpose is:

- to assist jurisdictions in making further progress in protecting, restoring and improving salmon habitat, subject to local legislative constraints;
- to provide for an exchange of relevant information; and
- to assist jurisdictions in the preparation of future Conservation Commitment Reports (CCRs) and Performance Indicators on habitat protection, restoration and improvement as well as the process for reviewing the CCRs[1].

These guidelines offer important recommendations on protection, restoration and improvement. Included is guidance for 'Projects', designed to restore and improve salmon habitat, beginning with the early planning steps through final implementation and evaluation.

[1] See 'Combined Performance Indicators / Conservation Commitment Reports Templates for the Fourth Reporting Cycle (2026 to 2032)', CNL(25)55rev.

In this document, the term 'protection' will refer to the actions needed by jurisdictions to maintain the high-quality salmon habitat that exists, without suggesting any physical alterations of the habitat. Protection will reference administrative and legal actions taken to avoid degradation of such habitat by proposed developments, such as dam building, discharge of waste and channelisation of streams. The term 'restoration' will refer to actions that convert a habitat that has lost most of its function back to fully functional habitat. The term 'improvement' will refer to actions applied to degraded salmon habitat that improve it toward a more functional salmon habitat. In practice, many improvement actions are the same as restoration actions but the two may differ in scope and scale.

2. Salmon Habitat Requirements

Understanding salmon's habitat requirements and identifying damage to these habitats are key requirements in meeting the Objectives of NASCO's Ten-Year Strategy for the protection and restoration of habitats (NASCO's Objectives). This section provides a brief outline of salmon's habitat requirements and the range of factors that could negatively impact it.

Wild salmon have a complex life-cycle and, consequently, complex habitat requirements. As a geographically widespread anadromous species salmon has adapted to highly variable environmental conditions e.g. length of growing season, water quality, temperature and river morphology. These adaptations are often referred to as 'region or river specific' adaptations. However, anthropogenic changes to physical or habitat conditions may be so severe or rapid that salmon are unable to adapt to them. This may result in severe declines in abundance or even local extinctions. Protecting the environmental conditions that allow the maintenance of variable life-history strategies should be a guiding principle in salmon habitat management.

These are critical life-history functions that habitats must be able to support:

- spawning, incubation and early development;
- juvenile rearing;
- in-river migrations; and
- estuarine migration.

Each of these elements of the life-cycle requires specific habitat and environmental conditions; they are summarised in Annex 1.

2.1 Factors that Degrade Salmon Habitat

There are many activities and conditions that can negatively impact salmon habitats, including:

a. Damage to spawning substrate and juvenile habitats through gravel abstraction or increased siltation and sedimentation resulting from activities such as changes in flow regime, development construction, forestry, agricultural and other land management practices, road and urban run-off;

b. Loss of shelter and cover resulting from activities such as substrate alteration and the removal of natural structures such as woody materials and boulders, riparian and in-river vegetation;

c. Reductions in food supply resulting from activities such as removal of riparian and in-river vegetation;

d. Changes in fish species composition and abundance resulting from habitat alteration and the introduction of non-native species, activities such as the introduction of predators or competitors, and reductions in the abundance of species that provide prey and / or a predation buffer for salmon;

e. Physical barriers to migration resulting from the creation or maintenance of structures such as dams / weirs, bridges and culverts;

f. Changes in river morphology and sediment dynamics through the creation and maintenance of structures such as dams / weirs, bridges and culverts, and activities such as channelisation, river-bank armouring, river / channel realignment, dredging, modification of flow regimes. All these activities may also influence water temperature;

g. Changes in water quantity and hydrological regime due to long-term environmental change and activities such as hydro power production, irrigation, abstraction, deforestation and other forestry practices, land drainage and over-grazing. All these activities may also influence water temperature; and

h. Deterioration in water quality due to long-term environmental change which affects water temperature and through addition of chemicals and nutrients from activities such as industrial and domestic waste discharges, agriculture, forestry, and waste from fish farming.

Annex 1 lists some of the above impacts by life-phase. These Guidelines will address how to protect, restore and improve key salmon habitats necessary to support salmon conservation.

3 Considerations Prior to Intervention

Considering the specific habitat requirements across a suitable and relevant spatial scale for salmon at each life stage, and the escalating impacts of existing threats outlined in Section 2 and summarised in Annex 1, there is an urgent need to design and implement measures that mitigate these stressors. These measures should target the protection, improvement and, when possible, restoration of pre-disturbance habitat features to meet NASCO's Objectives.

However, before any action is implemented, a set of considerations must be carefully assessed, as their proper evaluation is fundamental to success. These may include the collection of baseline information, as well as practical considerations that could influence implementation, such as defining intervention targets and objectives, accounting for cultural and socio-economic contexts, and ensuring consultation and engagement with groups of stakeholders and Indigenous Peoples.

3.1 Baseline Information

a. Information should be collected prior to implementation of planned interventions, including characterising the status of local salmon populations, and historic trends in abundance, life-history strategies and habitat availability (both quality and quantity).

b. Information should also be obtained, as detailed as possible, about natural and anthropogenic stressors on salmon populations. This should focus not only on the

identification and characterisation of existing threats, but also on the assessment of their potential to be eliminated or reduced.

Such information is essential in providing an ecological and environmental baseline against which the objectives, actions and achievements of the planned interventions can be later assessed, after suitable and continuous monitoring (see Section 6). The information should be collected, with as much detail as logistically and financially possible, for individual target rivers and their tributaries, maintained in databases and be regularly updated. If such information is not available, efforts should be made to obtain it.

3.2 Intervention Targets and Objectives

a. A realistic and feasible approach from a catchment-wide perspective should be taken when planning actions. Clearly defined objectives should outline how a habitat can be protected, restored or improved through the elimination or reduction of the existent threats and stressors, and should be informed by the baseline data referred to in 3.1. Such objectives should include consideration of stakeholder and Indigenous Peoples perspectives, cost-benefit analysis, native biodiversity and long-term environmental change.

b. These actions often benefit other species, particularly the ones with similar life cycles and habitat requirements. However, prior to their implementation, it should be considered that some of these actions, for example, the enhancement of current habitat or the opening of previously inaccessible habitat, may require careful consideration and consultation, because of the potential to negatively impact other species. These considerations can limit or alter targets and objectives initially foreseen for planned interventions.

3.3 Cultural and Socio-Economic Considerations

a. Prior to any action, an assessment should be conducted to characterise the cultural, social, Indigenous and economic uses of the target area, identify relevant stakeholders (see section 3.4) and anticipate potential conflicts. The magnitude and impact of these uses can vary significantly depending on local or regional cultural and socio-economic factors[2].

3.4 Consultation and Engagement of Stakeholders and Indigenous Peoples

a. Specific strategies for engagement with groups of stakeholders and Indigenous Peoples should be adequately planned and implemented, avoiding a 'one-size-fits-all' approach. Often, each group of stakeholders and Indigenous Peoples has its own characteristics, and the engagement strategy should consider its specific level of involvement, use and exploitation of the target habitat.

b. Meaningful engagement, consultation and information exchange should take place between all relevant agencies, groups of stakeholders and Indigenous Peoples within a jurisdiction. Besides improving awareness of the existing challenges and potential solutions, engaging relevant groups of stakeholders and Indigenous Peoples from the preparatory stage onward is expected to significantly foster

[2] Guidelines for Incorporating Social and Economic Factors in Decisions Under the Precautionary Approach, CNL(04)57

acceptance and involvement of local communities, thereby increasing the likelihood of success for these actions.

4. Protection of Salmon Habitat

4.1 Designation of Habitats for Special Protections

a. In combination with efforts to identify and effectively restore or improve salmon habitat that has been destroyed or damaged (outlined below in section 5), habitats with high conservation status or that serve as relatively natural, pristine examples of salmon habitat should be designated for special protection, to prevent anthropogenic disturbances. Salmon habitats that meet these criteria may already be encoded in policy regulations, which vary by jurisdiction.

b. The criteria used for overall designation and protection of habitats of the highest conservation value to salmon may vary by jurisdiction and legislative framework (Annex 2). For the purposes of developing a Salmon Habitat Protection and Restoration Plan, the protection of these habitats could be identified by each NASCO Party / jurisdiction using the following guiding principles in which the identified habitat:

- should be of either natural or near-natural ecological character (i.e. showcase high fidelity to a defined high-quality condition for that habitat type) and is naturally resilient to external perturbations, such as those associated with long-term environmental change;
- needs little to no management intervention ('passive management');
- must provide naturally resilient salmon habitat functions or features that are generally absent in the broader habitat landscape ('habitat refugia'); and / or
- fulfils the specific requirements of salmon at the appropriate life-cycle stage (Annex 1) and is not subject to human activities at an intensity that results in negative habitat impacts such as those listed in Section 2.1.

The size and scale of the identified habitat for designated protection can vary from entire catchments or estuaries to individual tributaries or river reaches.

Habitats not wholly fulfilling the criteria defined above, but that provide critical habitat resources to salmon, should also be considered a habitat category for priority protection. If impacted by damaging human activities, they are important candidates for restoration or improvement (Section 5) to ensure they are retained as long-term critical salmon habitat. Examples include habitats that provide protective refugia for salmon against external environmental stressors, which may occur at fine spatial scales (e.g. tributaries draining high-elevation areas, shaded reaches, river sections with an abundance of deep pools, habitats with thermal or hydrological regimes influenced by springs or groundwater upwellings). Changing environmental conditions (water temperature and flow extremes) and the necessity for anticipating the need for salmon to adapt underline the importance of such habitats as being critical to protect, restore or improve where necessary.

4.2 Reactive Responses to Proposals that Could Negatively Impact Salmon Habitat

Developments are constantly being proposed and some of these have the potential to impact salmon habitat negatively. Each jurisdiction has its own way of regulating land use decisions and issuing permits, licences, authorisations and / or permissions

(collectively hereinafter referred to as 'Permits'). Given this, certain considerations should be incorporated into the process, regardless of location. The goal of such regulatory oversight is to deny Permits to proposed developments (hereinafter referred to as 'Proposals') that will cause significant damage to salmon habitat or require modifications to the Proposal to minimise impact to the habitat. In some cases, agencies that manage salmon have the authority to issue Permits, but in many cases, agencies that do not manage salmon make these decisions. In the latter case, it is important that authorised salmon experts be consulted by the agencies prior to making decisions on the Proposal.

4.2.1 Decision-Making Considerations

a. When assessing risks to the productive capacity of salmon habitat, decision-makers should demonstrate that they are being consistent with the Precautionary Approach[3] by being more cautious when information is uncertain, unreliable or inadequate, and not using the absence of adequate scientific information as a reason for postponing or failing to take appropriate conservation and management measures. Consistent with the Precautionary Approach, there should be clear transparent descriptions available to all groups of stakeholders and Indigenous Peoples of the process by which regulatory decisions will be taken in relation to habitat protection.

b. Proposals should include all the information needed to allow the risks to the productive capacity of the resource to be assessed, including a range of options for achieving the objectives of the Proposal.

c. Conservation of the productive capacity of the salmon habitat should be of prime consideration when evaluating options for Proposals (see section 2.1 above).

d. If Proposals that could result in the loss of productive capacity of the habitat are approved on the basis of overriding socio-economic / public interest factors, the design should seek to minimise such losses and consideration should be given to the principle of 'No Net Loss'[4].

e. In cases when significant salmon habitat loss is unavoidable, measures to compensate or mitigate for that loss, should be agreed prior to approval of the activity so that there will be no net loss of productive capacity. The costs of these compensation or mitigation measures should be borne by the proponent.

f. Where salmon stocks have been designated for special protection, any loss of productive habitat should be avoided, even where measures to compensate or mitigate for the losses are proposed.

g. Monitoring should be conducted to ensure compliance with all conditions specified in authorising / permitting a Proposal. If monitoring identifies a need for corrective measures, these should be implemented without delay and should achieve their purpose promptly. It should be a requirement / condition of a Permit that monitoring be conducted, and the costs associated with any corrective measures should be borne by those conducting the permitted activity.

---

[3] See NASCO's 'Guidelines for incorporating social and economic factors in decisions under the Precautionary Approach', CNL(04)57.

[4] The principle of 'No Net Loss' is that biodiversity is as a minimum left no worse off after development than before (zu Ermgassen, S.O.S.E., Utamiputri, P., Bennun, L., Edwards, S. and Bull, J.W. 2019. The role of "no net loss" policies in conserving biodiversity threatened by the global infrastructure boom. One Earth, 1, 305-315.

5. Restoration / Improvement of Salmon Habitat

5.1 Actions to Restore / Improve Salmon Habitat

These actions address the impacts listed in Section 2.1 above. Please note this is not an exhaustive list.

a. Increased Siltation and Sedimentation

Reduce sedimentation by restoring riparian buffers with native vegetation and applying best management practices that respect natural land-water linkages. Restore natural flow regimes and river processes and rehabilitate spawning gravels to support healthy, self-sustaining river systems. Work co-operatively with farmers, foresters and developers to implement salmon-habitat friendly projects.

b. Loss of Shelter and Cover

Replant riparian and in-river vegetation and install natural structures such as boulders, cobbles and woody materials to reintroduce shade, cover and habitat complexity. Use natural materials and soft-engineering approaches to stabilise banks, where necessary, while allowing rivers to evolve and function naturally over time.

c. Reductions in Food Supply

Re-establish riparian vegetation and channel diversity to strengthen natural food supply from land to water. Improve invertebrate habitats through varied substrates and woody materials to maintain the ecological balance that supports salmon.

d. Changes in Species Composition and Abundance

Promote balanced ecosystems by preventing harmful introductions and restoring native biodiversity. Manage non-native species through adaptive, place-based approaches that work with natural processes and local ecological knowledge.

e. Physical Barriers to Migration

Restore river connectivity by removing obsolete barriers and creating and maintaining fish passage facilities where needed.

f. Changes in River Morphology

In habitats with modified morphology, implement river restoration projects that follow natural channel design and hydrogeomorphic principles. Use designs that mimic natural processes and preserve flow and sediment dynamics across the catchment.

g. Changes in Water Quantity

Aim to restore natural flow regimes or implement ecological flow regimes. Improve water-use efficiency. Restore natural land-cover, wetlands, forests, and headwaters that store and release water naturally, supporting both aquatic ecosystems and surrounding landscapes.

h. Deterioration in Water Quality

Advocate for reduced pollution at its source through careful land-use planning and improved effluent control. Increase the resilience of habitats to deterioration in water quality (temperature and dissolved oxygen) by restoring natural river

processes and features including rehabilitation of wetlands and flood plains, removing dams and establishing native vegetative buffers. Strengthen water-quality monitoring and shared stewardship to ensure rivers remain capable of supporting all life stages of salmon.

5.2 Approaches to Interventions

Many factors contribute to determining the most appropriate nature of a proposed action; it is not the intention of these guidelines to be prescriptive in this regard. However, actions should be considered only when damage to salmon habitat is identified and they can benefit the salmon population. It is also recommended that restoration is planned at a catchment level, giving due consideration to all the environmental pressures, long-term environmental change, available suitable salmon habitats (see Annex 1) and wider native biodiversity.

When deciding the nature of the action at a specific location, consideration should be given to the following approaches:

a. Passive Restoration

   Passive Restoration involves removing the source anthropogenic stressor, which is damaging the habitat, and allowing the natural riverine processes to return the habitat to a pre-disturbance state. Passive Restoration could involve an active element, for example removing barriers or bank protection, thereby enabling natural river processes to occur and restore the ecosystem. Passive Restoration should not be considered a 'do nothing' option. Passive Restoration, when compared to active intervention in channel morphology, may take longer to establish habitat improvements; however, the results can often deliver the most appropriate self-sustaining habitat for salmon and wider biodiversity.

b. Active Restoration

   Active Restoration of a river channel is a systemic approach to restoration, which aims to eliminate stressors and where the damage to the river habitat is significant and of a scale that will not recover unaided and as such would require instream intervention. Such projects may include instream works to re-establish salmon habitat suitable for all life stages (see Annex 1) or the re-meandering of straightened sections of rivers.

c. Active Improvement

   Depending on the nature of the damaged river habitat and the limiting factors constraining restoration, it may not be possible to fully eliminate the major stressors on the catchment. This should not preclude efforts to improve the existing habitat or connectivity between habitats. For example, in catchments which have been channelised, nature-like restoration may not be possible but localised interventions may improve salmon habitat. In addition, where a barrier impairs the natural river flow or the migration of salmon, and the optimal solution of removing the barrier is not possible, improving fish passage or flow compensation / mitigation measures should be considered.

While these guidelines define three approaches to habitat restoration, restoration / improvement projects, particularly those of a large scale, will often combine these approaches.

5.3 Decision-Making Process

5.3.1 Selection of Locations for Action

a. Where salmon habitat has been degraded or lost, options for its restoration or improvement should be identified and prioritised. Priority should be given to actions that will yield the greatest direct (increase in productive capacity) and indirect (overall value of ecosystem services, socio-economic/public-interest aspects) benefits relative to the costs of the actions.

b. Consultations are needed in the early stages when various options are being considered. These should include diverse groups of stakeholders, such as landowners, regulators and authorities concerned with biodiversity issues, and Indigenous Peoples;

c. Restoration of salmon habitat requires attention to the four life-history stage habitats that support:

- spawning, incubation and early development;
- juvenile rearing;
- in-river migrations; and
- estuarine migration.

See Section 2 and Annex 1.

d. Early consideration should be given to how restoration activities should be evaluated and monitored to assess achievement of the objectives and to inform future activities (see Section 6).

5.3.2. Develop Options for Intervention

It is common for proponents of habitat projects to consider several options for action. They could be quite different in nature (dam removal vs. fish ladder) or variations of the same approach (tree planting along the left bank vs. tree planting along the right bank). Often, they are conceptual in nature, allowing the project team and community to agree on the basic approach. These conceptual options typically include details such as location, basic design, pros and cons, impact on biodiversity, identification of future roles and responsibilities, the general nature of approach(es) to be taken (see Section 5.2) and a general cost estimate.

a. Consultations

It is important to get input on the conceptual plans from groups of stakeholders and Indigenous Peoples as mentioned in 5.3.1.b. Their input at this stage is critical to determine if the design can proceed as proposed or if significant changes are required.

b. Issues to be Addressed in the Options

Options should be developed that include a catchment-wide perspective. Also, it is important to understand other planned projects within the catchment to ensure that different projects do not work at cross purposes. Consideration of long-term environmental changes is essential not only to allow salmon to better adapt to them but also to ensure that any project's design accounts for forecasted changes, such as altered hydrology. All options should be assessed for compliance with all existing

plans, such as catchment plans. Consultation with biodiversity experts is needed to determine if any options may result in a significant negative impact on sensitive species.

5.3.3. Select Preferred Option

After all assessments, studies, and consultations are completed, the project team must identify the preferred option for further development. The preferred option is transferred to the appropriate persons, as dictated by the specifics of the Project, who are responsible for additional design, including technical and environmental specialists, in-house experts, and consultants such as engineers and fluvial geomorphologists.

5.4. Design

The final design of the preferred option for salmon habitat restoration / improvement includes a set of tasks that must be undertaken to ensure success. Tasks such as those described below foster timely intervention and the sustainability of the Project.

5.4.1. Consultation with Local Stakeholders and Indigenous Peoples

Depending on the nature of the Project, there may be a need for ongoing consultation, including during option selection and final design, with the previously identified groups of stakeholders and Indigenous Peoples. Refinement of the preferred option details may include changes for better adaptation to local geomorphology, climate and riverine characteristics, but also to incorporate plans for future operation and maintenance and to consider the local social and cultural context.

5.4.2. Additional Environmental Assessments

Depending on the scale and specifications of the project, there may be a need for additional impact assessments, including sensitive species, flood-risk, historical resources and other environmental resources. These will complement and update previously collected baseline information but also help the project team to identify and possibly reduce potential impacts and risks.

5.4.3. Technical Specifications

There is a need to develop full technical details for a design plan for each project, which will be used for Permits, assistance with procurement / bidding and guiding implementation. Such information might include inputs from an array of specialities such as hydrogeomorphologists, ecologists, engineers, fisheries biologists, among others. Development of technical specifications leads to more precise project costing. It is also required for more technical projects, such as obstacle removal, fish passage construction or retrofitting and riverbank renaturalisation, among others.

5.4.4. Permits and Agreements

Prior to implementing the Project, the project team must obtain the necessary Permits and agreements. This includes Permits from local, regional or national administration, depending on the jurisdiction's legal framework and on the spatial coverage of the project. If the project will take place on private property, the project team may also need to obtain agreements from owners or managers of the targeted site. The Project team should anticipate the amount of time required to obtain necessary Permits and agreements.

5.5 Implementation

When implementing the projects, the recommended practices below should be followed to conduct the work in a timely and successful manner. Details will vary between jurisdictions, but in general, Projects should be developed and pursued to:

a. Guarantee that all involved groups of stakeholders and Indigenous Peoples are continuously engaged with the project and are consulted in respect to proposed changes to the original technical specifications;

b. Ensure that project budgets are actively managed and adjusted as necessary, especially when facing increases in material or labour costs;

c. Ensure compliance with all Permit conditions, including any time-of-year restrictions;

d. Incorporate project timeline contingencies to anticipate operational risks to the project schedule related to local and seasonal conditions, such as weather or changes in river hydrology, and logistics, including machinery;

e. Hire specialised and experienced contractors to conduct, monitor and provide oversight to the project, guaranteeing an evaluation of the ongoing work; and

f. Ensure compliance with all appropriate legal, health and safety and administrative frameworks / regulations of each jurisdiction.

6. Monitoring and Evaluation

A monitoring plan should be developed in advance of project implementation, identifying the critical metrics to be established and monitored. All relevant baseline data against which success can be measured should be assembled, including metrics on the productivity of the catchment at as fine a scale as possible (see section 3.1).

Monitoring the critical metrics should continue after project completion at a temporal and spatial scale and scope that will allow evaluation of the longer-term benefits, the sustainability of benefits and the 'lessons learned'.

Habitat restoration projects can be iterative in that when monitoring demonstrates all objectives have not been fully met, the original actions may be revisited or altered, and on occasion, new actions may be introduced to meet the original project objectives. This adaptive management approach described above is a continuous cycle of action, monitoring, learning and responding to improve outcomes and adapt to changing conditions.

7. Other Considerations

The following are some additional areas for consideration when developing and implementing Projects.

7.1 Integration with Research and Development

Consideration should be given to how habitat plans can be developed to integrate with research and development opportunities. This could involve utilising new and novel technologies and techniques to identify, target and monitor habitat protection, restoration and improvement measures.

7.2 Cultural and Socio-Economic Factors

Cultural and socio-economic factors often influence land and water use in ways that can challenge the protection and restoration of salmon habitats. However, the protection

of salmon habitats also has cultural and socio-economic benefits. Projects should include expertise that reflects these realities, combining economic analysis with cultural and Indigenous perspectives to understand trade-offs and build shared priorities.

Habitat initiatives should apply socio-economic tools and natural-capital approaches to demonstrate the broad value of healthy salmon ecosystems, including their contributions to food security, cultural identity, community well-being, and local economies. Embedding these benefits within national and international biodiversity, restoration, and climate commitments can strengthen justification for conservation action. The concept of natural capital, and the ecosystem services it generates, should be researched and utilised in decision-making processes (see Section 5.3).

Policies and decisions must be transparent and inclusive, ensuring Indigenous Peoples are engaged as rights-holders and partners, not just stakeholders. The 2023 NASCO Special Session on Indigenous Perspectives and Roles in Salmon Conservation highlighted that Indigenous Knowledge systems, guided by principles such as 'two-eyed seeing', provide essential insight for sustaining salmon habitats. Recognising Indigenous cultural and spiritual relationships with salmon, and supporting Indigenous-led monitoring and restoration, will lead to more resilient, place-based outcomes across jurisdictions.

This approach may include placing those requirements in the context of national and / or international commitments and targets on biodiversity and nature restoration.

7.3 Reference Materials

To further inform the development of Projects, NASCO has prepared a reference list of relevant technical literature, agreements and best-practice literature relevant to the field of protection, restoration and improvement of salmon habitat, which can be accessed via the NASCO website. Development of future Projects should also be informed by the latest advances in the field of habitat protection, restoration and improvement science.

7.4 Cross-cutting Opportunities

Many of the benefits of these Projects can be cross-cutting in nature, benefiting other habitats and species. Opportunities should be recognised on how Projects could complement national and international plans. Efficiencies and synergies should be developed when possible.

7.5 Partnerships

The development of partnerships can significantly improve the impact and success of habitat Projects. Roles and responsibilities should be clearly identified and monitored to ensure the effective and efficient use of resources. Many funding bodies will expect partners with specific expertise to develop successful Projects. Co-operation and formal Project management will aid in maximising benefits. Salmon habitat Projects would benefit from environmental networks with complementary expertise.

7.6 Communication and Outreach

To maximise Project impact, effective communication should be developed to deliver information on key benefits to a wide audience, including groups of stakeholders and Indigenous Peoples. The development of suitable communication materials and styles

should be agreed and coordinated by partners to ensure key messages can be communicated in a range of formats.

Glossary

Active Improvement – interventions to repair or partially recover ecological functioning that benefits biodiversity, in scenarios where the potential for full ecosystem recovery is not feasible due to the scale of damage or competing human needs.

Active Restoration – the deliberate, hands-on process of intervening in a heavily damaged or degraded habitat that has lost natural processes and its capacity to recover unaided, in order to reestablish its functional capacity and biodiversity and accelerate its recovery.

Adaptive Management – a systematic process for continually improving management policies and practises by learning from the outcomes of operational programmes.

Catchment – area from which a given body of flowing or standing water is fed through catching and collection of water over a natural drainage area[5].

Channelisation – river and stream channel engineering activities that include straightening, widening, deepening, or relocating existing stream channels[6].

Compensation / mitigation – restoration, establishment, improvement or preservation of natural resources to offset unavoidable adverse impacts to those resources caused by a development project or activity.

Ecological flow – the water quantity and flow regime needed to maintain a healthy and functional aquatic (i.e. stream, river and estuarine) ecosystem.

Heterogeneous size – a mixture of sizes, i.e. a mix of sand, gravel and pebbles.

Hydrogeomorphic – the study of the dynamic interactions between hydrological processes (like water flow and movement) and geomorphic processes (like erosion and landform creation).

Juvenile – young stages of animals, usually between the post larval stages up to the time they become sexually mature[5].

Kelt – a post-spawning mature salmon that has survived reproduction and has not yet recovered its weight or re-entered saltwater[5].

Native Biodiversity – the biological diversity of plant and animal species that occur naturally in each area or region[7].

Naturalised freshwater – freshwater bodies, i.e. rivers, that have been returned to a more natural state through the restoration of original, self-sustaining natural processes.

Parr – the juvenile stage of development in the life of a salmon before the fish develops into a smolt. Usually fish more than one year old, which have developed parr marks (distinctive vertical bars on the sides) and are actively feeding in fresh water. This stage comes after the

[5] FAO. 1978. Glossary of inland fishery terms, EIFAC Occasional Paper No. 12, https://www.fao.org/3/ae987b/ae987b.pdf.
[6] United States Environmental Protection Agency. 2007. National Management Measures to Control Nonpoint Source Pollution from Hydromodification. EPA 841-B-07-002. 287p.
[7] Convention on Biological Diversity cbd-toolkit-glossaries.pdf.

alevin stage, which develops from the egg and still has a yolk sac, and the fry stage, at which the yolk sac is absorbed and the fish is usually less than one year old[8].

Passive Restoration – habitat recovery that occurs once the source(s) of degradation are removed. This is suitable for less severely damaged systems where there is a sufficient timeframe to allow for longer-term natural recovery rates, where natural habitat processes are still intact and nearby populations exist to allow recolonization.

Pre-spawn adults – mature fish that have migrated from the ocean back into freshwater rivers and are in the final stage of preparing to reproduce (spawn) but have not yet deposited or fertilized eggs[8].

Re-meandering – reconnecting a cut-off meander, i.e. a U-form taken by a river, or creating a new meandering course, where rivers have been straightened by cutting off meanders[9].

Smolts – a juvenile salmon which has undergone metamorphosis and is ready to migrate, usually found on its way downstream toward the sea[4]. Metamorphosis is a process by which the salmon undergo physiological changes like losing their parr marks and slowly changing to be more silver in colouring, as well as changing internally to prepare for the saltwater environment.

Stressors – threats that both directly impact Atlantic salmon productivity and indirectly intensify the impacts of other pressures across the species' life cycle[10].

Tidal flow regime – the patterns of tides (i.e. the regular rise and fall of the sea surface) in a specific geographic location.

Two-eyed seeing – (Etuaptmumk in Mi'kmaw) learning to see from one eye with the strengths of Indigenous knowledges and ways of knowing, and from the other eye with the strengths of mainstream knowledges and ways of knowing, and to use both these eyes together, for the benefit of all[11].

Uncompacted substrate – riverbed surface material i.e. gravel, rocks and boulders, that has a relatively low density and a high volume of pore space (gaps for air and water).

[8] Fish-uk. 2007. Fishing Dictionary - A to Z of fishing words and terms with their meanings. (Accessed 27 November 2025).
[9] NWRM project (Service contract 07.0330/2013/659147/SER/ENV.C1). N4: Re-meandering, 10pp. n4_-_re-meandering.pdf (Accessed 27 November 2025).
[10] Damon-Randall, K. and Hatfield, E. 2024. Drafting NASCO's Draft Strategy and Action Plan, CNL(24)69.
[11] Reid, A.J. *et al.* 2021. "Two-Eyed Seeing": An Indigenous framework to transform fisheries research and management. Fish and Fisheries, 22, 243-261. https://doi.org/10.1111/faf.12516

Overview of Essential Atlantic Salmon Habitats

As noted in Section 2, Atlantic salmon has a complex life cycle and complex habitat requirements. Given the range of tolerance to environmental variables among salmon populations (i.e. local adaptations) it is not possible to specifically describe optimal habitat conditions applicable to all salmon populations across the North Atlantic. However, the general requirements are described.

Life History Stage	***Habitat Description***	***Requirements***	***Impact Factors***
Spawning, incubation and early development	Salmon spawn in nests or redds excavated in areas of non-compacted, stable, permeable gravel, often in riffles or at the head or tail of a pool. After hatching from the egg, the young fish, known as alevins, remain in the gravel for several weeks before emerging. Several factors influence the choice of spawning site, including: • intra-gravel flow; • gravel size; • water quantity and quality; and • cover. Egg and alevin survival in the nest depend on many factors but oxygen supply and temperature are particularly important.	• Permeable substrate of gravel and cobble size with an adequate flow of cool, well oxygenated water; and • in proximity to juvenile rearing habitat.	• Changes in land use / management; • increased siltation / sedimentation; • changes in substrate (e.g. gravel removal); • changes in river morphology (e.g. channelisation); and • changes in water temperature, water quantity (e.g. reduced base flows) and quality (e.g. domestic and industrial effluents and nutrient enrichment).
Juvenile rearing	After emergence through spaces in the gravel, juvenile salmon establish and defend territories, the size of which	• Well-connected freshwater areas comprising repeated sequences of diverse stream elements, for	• Increased siltation / sedimentation; • changes to shelter / cover (e.g. removal of in-stream boulders and

	determines the carrying capacity of a stream. The size of a territory is influenced by both biotic and abiotic factors including: • channel morphology; • substrate; • gradient; • water quantity and quality; • cover; • food abundance; and • predator and competitor abundance. Salmon fry and parr generally prefer riffle habitat but may move into deeper water as they grow and during the winter and periods of drought. Juvenile salmon may also occupy lakes, ponds and slackwater areas. Thus, a diverse array of well-connected habitat types is required.	example pools, riffles and glides (with cool, clean, well-oxygenated water); • adequate food supply; • cover for shade and protection from predation and severe environmental events; and • Open coarse substrate of heterogeneous size.	large woody materials, stream fragmentation due to barriers to migration, removal of bankside vegetation); • changes in substrate; • changes in river morphology; • changes in water temperature, quantity and quality; • changes to the food supply (e.g. reduction in invertebrate production); and • changes in species composition and abundance (e.g. introduction of non-native species, increase in predators).
In river migration (parr, smolts, pre-spawning adults and kelts)	Parr migrate to different habitats seasonally. Smolts migrate to sea in spring. Pre-spawn adults migrate upstream to the spawning grounds. After spawning, kelts move downstream and eventually to sea. When migrating, adult salmon often will pause for days, weeks or months.	• Migration corridors free from physical, chemical or biological barriers that prevent or impede: • in-river movements of parr (e.g. to over-wintering habitat or thermal refugia); • downstream movements of smolts and kelts to the estuary / sea; and • upstream migration of adults to spawning grounds.	• Physical, chemical and biological barriers to migration; • changes in river morphology; • changes in water temperature, quantity and quality; • predator interactions; • increased siltation / sedimentation; • changes to shelter / cover; and • changes in substrate.

		Salmon that pause during their migration require; • deep pools with cool, clean, well oxygenated water; and • cover for shade and protection from predation and severe environmental events. Water flow and temperature are important factors.	
Estuarine migration (smolts, pre-spawn adults and kelts)	During outward migration, smolts undergo drastic physiological changes to adapt to salt water. In most cases, smolts migrate quickly through estuaries and near shore areas, but local geography can influence migration time and possible stressors. The same applies to kelts. Pre-spawn adults returning to freshwater may need to wait in estuaries for favourable conditions for upstream migration. All life-phases are subject to increased predation pressures in estuaries.	• Uninterrupted migration routes in estuaries with clean waters; • intact native estuarine vegetation; and • naturalised freshwater and tidal flow regimes.	• Obstructions to migration; • changes in estuarine morphology possibly leading to increased predation; • changes in water temperature, quantity and quality; • changes in species composition and abundance of predator; • modification of freshwater and tidal flow regimes; and • loss of native estuarine vegetation.

Examples of Legislation for the Protection of Atlantic Salmon Habitats

Canada

Canada has no direct Atlantic salmon legislation; there is some greater overarching legislation that applies to Atlantic salmon protection and conservation.

Examples of Canadian legislation and federal policy that provide protection for Atlantic salmon habitat:

- ***Fisheries Act*** – Canada's primary legal instrument for fish and fish habitat protection, including prohibitions on the harmful alteration or destruction of fish habitat and the deposit of harmful substances in waters frequented by fish. https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/f-14/;
- ***Species at Risk Act*** – Provides legal protection for threatened and endangered wildlife, including listed populations of Atlantic salmon, requiring the identification and protection of critical habitat. https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/S-15.3/;
- ***Oceans Act*** – Enables the creation of Marine Protected Areas for the conservation of fishery resources and critical habitat. https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/O-2.4/;
- ***Impact Assessment Act*** – Requires designated projects to assess and mitigate effects on fish and fish habitat, including freshwater and marine environments used by Atlantic salmon. https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/I-2.75/;
- ***Wild Atlantic Salmon Conservation Strategy*** *(Federal Strategy / Policy – Not Legislation)* – A national framework that guides the conservation, restoration, and collaborative management of wild Atlantic salmon. APC was heavily involved in the development and engagement of this strategy. https://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/reports-rapports/regs/wildsalmon-atl-saumonsauvage-eng.htm; and
- ***Listuguj Mi'gmaq Government Salmon Law (2017)*** *(Indigenous Law – Not Federal Legislation)* – A rights-based law enacted by the Listuguj Mi'gmaq Government that governs salmon conservation, harvest, and monitoring based on Mi'kmaq Knowledge, values, and responsibilities to the river. The Law establishes a community-led approach to stock conservation, selective harvesting, habitat protection, enforcement, and data collection. It represents a growing model of Indigenous legal authority and stewardship that complements federal frameworks and aligns with shared conservation objectives for Atlantic salmon. https://listuguj.ca.

In addition to these frameworks, Indigenous-led habitat stewardship and monitoring – including through AAROM (Aboriginal Aquatic Resources and Ocean Management) organizations and Indigenous governance systems such as the Listuguj Salmon Law – play an increasingly central role in the protection and restoration of Atlantic salmon habitat in Canada, complementing federal legislation and aligning with NASCO's strengthened commitment to Indigenous participation through the IPRI.

EU

- ***EU Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC)*** – The main purpose is for member states to manage water resources and to protect or restore the quality of all waterbodies (inland, transitional and coastal waters) in order to reach 'good' status (meaning both good chemical and ecological status), and to prevent deterioration. https://environment.ec.europa.eu/topics/water/water-framework-directive_en;

- ***EU Habitats Directive (92/42/EEC)*** – Annex II lists animal and plant species whose conservation requires the designation of Special Areas of Conservation (SAC) as part of the Natura 2000 network. Atlantic salmon are included as an Annex II species, and therefore in SACs including Atlantic salmon as a qualifying interest, protection of salmon and their habitats is legislated for as part of the site-specific active conservation measures. https://environment.ec.europa.eu/topics/nature-and-biodiversity/habitats-directive_en;
- ***Inland Fisheries Act 2010*** – This Act established Inland Fisheries Ireland (IFI) as the national state agency responsible for managing and protecting Ireland's inland fisheries and sea-angling resources. Through IFI, the state can take action to protect and rehabilitate habitats utilised by salmon (e.g. spawning grounds, migratory routes). https://www.irishstatutebook.ie/eli/2010/act/10/enacted/en/print#sec7;
- ***The Fisheries (Consolidation) Act 1959*** – This Act plus subsequent amendments make it a statutory offence in Ireland to willfully obstruct passage of salmon, disturb spawning grounds or discharge deleterious matter into waters. https://www.irishstatutebook.ie/eli/1959/act/14/enacted/en/html;
- ***EU Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Directive (2011/92/EU as amended by 2014/52/EU)*** – This Directive requires member states of the EU to carry out assessments of the environmental impact of major building or development projects before they are allowed to proceed. If significant impacts on salmon habitat are identified, the competent authority can only consent to the project if avoidance or mitigation measures are applied. https://environment.ec.europa.eu/law-and-governance/environmental-assessments/environmental-impact-assessment_en; and
- ***EU Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Directive (2001/42/EC)*** – This Directive requires EU member states to carry out assessments of the environmental effects of proposed plans and programmes specific before they can be adopted. https://environment.ec.europa.eu/law-and-governance/environmental-assessments/strategic-environmental-assessment_en.

Iceland

- ***Act on Salmon and Trout Fishing (Lög um lax- og silungsveiði)*** – https://www.althingi.is/lagas/nuna/2006061.html. Sections not related to habitat but migration are:
    - Section 14.gr. states that it is illegal to fish salmon at sea;
    - Section 21.gr. restricts fishing in estuaries;
    - Section 22.gr. states that when laying nets in rivers you cannot lay the nets across the river's centreline;
    - Section 33.gr. is the most important for passive protection. It states that you need permission for any structure or action within 100m from a river or lake bank; and
    - Section 35.gr. is on mitigation measures (fishways) if you get permission for structures;
- ***Nature Conservation Act 2013 No. 60 10 April (Lög um náttúruvernd)*** – https://www.althingi.is/lagas/nuna/2013060.html. Mostly a general law on nature conservation but Section 62.gr. is on protection of bank vegetation; and

- ***Water Management Act (Lög um stjórn vatnamála)*** – https://www.althingi.is/lagas/nuna/2011036.html. Iceland has implemented the water framework directive from the EU.

Norway

- ***National Salmon Rivers –*** St.prp. nr. 32 (2006-2007) - regjeringen.no. These are designated watercourses given special protection to preserve wild Atlantic salmon habitats. A special protection regime applies to the National Salmon Rivers to ensure that new interventions do not significantly affect the wild salmon**.** The salmon stocks included will also be prioritized for other measures aimed at strengthening the populations. Norway has 52 National Salmon Rivers;
- ***Protection Plan for River Systems –*** St.prp. nr. 53 (2008-2009) - regjeringen.no. This is a scheme where entire or parts of watercourses are protected primarily against hydropower development, but other values that formed the basis for the protection must also be taken into account. A total of 118 salmon rivers, or part of such, are protected against further hydropower development - thus, this Protection Plan plays a role in the effort to protect Atlantic Salmon habitats in Norway;
- ***Act Relating to Salmonids and Fresh-water Fish*** – Lov om laksefisk og innlandsfisk mv. (lakse- og innlandsfiskloven) - Lovdata The purpose of this Act is to ensure that natural stocks of anadromous salmonids, freshwater fish and their habitats, as well as other freshwater organisms, are managed so that the diversity and productivity are preserved. The Act includes a **Regulation on Physical Measures in Watercourses** (Forskrift om fysiske tiltak i vassdrag - Lovdata, that intends to safeguard fish habitats and other biodiversity when new interventions in watercourses are planned/carried out; and
- **The Water Resources Act** – Lov om vassdrag og grunnvann (vannressursloven) - Lovdata The purpose of this law is to ensure a socially responsible use and management of watercourses and groundwater. The law does not directly address salmon, but it will indirectly have a positive effect on salmon habitat as it imposes requirements such as minimum water flow (E-flow), riparian vegetation etc.

In addition, Norway also has legislation such as the **EU Water Framework Directive** (through a separate Norwegian regulation 'Vannforskriften'), **The Norwegian Nature Diversity Act**, the **Pollution Control Act**, etc.

UK

- ***Salmon and Freshwater Fisheries Act 1975*** –
    - Part II Obstructions to Passage of Fish https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1975/51/part/II/enacted; and
    - Part I Section 2 Roe, spawning and unclean fish, etc. https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1975/51/section/2/enacted;
- ***Salmon and Freshwater Fisheries (Consolidation) (Scotland) Act 2003*** –
    - Section 23 Young salmon and spawning beds https://www.legislation.gov.uk/asp/2003/15/section/23; and
    - Section 10 Offences in relation to passage of salmon https://www.legislation.gov.uk/asp/2003/15/section/10;
- ***Fisheries Act (Northern Ireland) 1966 –***

- PART IV PROTECTION OF FISHERIES Protection of young and breeding fish https://www.legislation.gov.uk/apni/1966/17/part/IV; and
- PART IV PROTECTION OF FISHERIES Obstructions to passage of fish - https://www.legislation.gov.uk/apni/1966/17/part/IV/chapter/n2;

- ***Foyle Fisheries Act (Northern Ireland) 1952 –***
  - PART VI PROTECTION OF FISHERIES Protection of young and breeding fish - https://www.legislation.gov.uk/apni/1952/5/part/VI/chapter/n3;
- ***The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017*** – Part 2 Conservation of Natural Habitats and Habitats of Species https://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2017/1012/part/2;
- ***The Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Amendment (Scotland) Regulations 2004*** – https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ssi/2004/475/contents/made;
- ***The Water Environment (Water Framework Directive) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2017 -*** https://www.legislation.gov.uk/nisr/2017/81/contents/made;
- ***The Water Environment (Water Framework Directive) (England and Wales) Regulations 2017 -*** https://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2017/407/contents;
- ***Water Environment and Water Services (Scotland) Act 2003 -*** https://www.legislation.gov.uk/asp/2003/3/contents;
- ***The Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017 -*** https://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2017/571/contents;
- ***The Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) (Wales) Regulations 2017 -*** https://www.legislation.gov.uk/wsi/2017/567/contents;
- ***The Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) (Scotland) Regulations 2017 -*** https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ssi/2017/102/contents; and
- ***The Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2017 -*** https://www.legislation.gov.uk/nisr/2017/83/made.

US

- ***Endangered Species Act*** Section 4. Determination of Endangered Species and Threatened Species | U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service